

# WORK TOWARDS INCLUSION

## DEI CAMPUS CLIMATE SURVEY

**Primary Report**  
**Rutgers–New Brunswick**

Released April 2024



# Table of Contents

<b>Executive Summary</b>	<b>2-8</b>
<b>Introduction</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>Background &amp; Methods</b>	<b>10-11</b>
<b>Section A (Students)</b>	<b>12-54</b>
Part I: Data Collection & Methods	12-13
Part II: Dispositions & Response Rates	14
Part III: Results	15
Part IV: Demographics	16-22
Part V: DEI Perceptions & Experiences: Campus Overall	23-42
Part VI: DEI Perceptions & Experiences	43-54
<b>Section B (Employees)</b>	<b>55-98</b>
Part I: Data Collection Methods & Results	55-56
Part II: Dispositions & Response Rates	57
Part III: Results	58
Part IV: Demographics	59-65
Part V: DEI Perceptions & Experiences: Campus Overall	66-85
Part VI: DEI Perceptions & Experiences	86-98
<b>Use of Findings &amp; Next Steps</b>	<b>99</b>

# Executive Summary

To assess the campus climate, Rutgers University conducted a survey in 2023 on Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion (DEI). This survey was designed to help university leaders and campus communities develop a baseline understanding of the climate relating to diversity, equity, and inclusion. Information was gathered from faculty, staff, and students relating to their perceptions, experiences, and perspectives relative to these topics. The survey results will help inform current and future decisions to support a diverse, inclusive, and welcoming community and serve as a benchmark against which to measure change over time. As stated in our mission, Rutgers University “strives to sustain an open exchange of ideas in an environment . . . that provides an atmosphere of mutual respect free from racism, sexism, and other forms of prejudice and intolerance.”

This document is intended to provide a high-level summary of the Rutgers DEI Campus Climate Survey.

The survey was launched on March 20, 2023 and closed on May 10, 2023. All eligible Rutgers undergraduate students, graduate students, staff, and faculty were invited to participate. Of the 43956 individuals invited to participate, 6128 responses were collected, yielding a response rate of **13.9%** and completion rate of **82.5%**.

## Executive Summary - Table 2: Sample Total and Completed Responses by Population

	Eligible Sample	Partial Responses	Completed Responses	Response Rate	Completion Rate
Undergraduate Students	31318	547	2087	8.4%	79.2%
Graduate Students	4910	140	615	15.4%	81.5%
Staff	3132	180	1125	41.7%	86.2%
Faculty	4596	204	1230	31.2%	85.8%

## Demographics

**Executive Summary - Table 3a: Race/Ethnicity by Population**

	Total	Undergraduate Students	Graduate Students	Staff	Faculty
African American/Black	8.6% (496)	7.2% (177)	10.8% (77)	13.1% (161)	6.0% (81)
Asian American/Asian	25.6% (1477)	36.6% (905)	30.5% (217)	10.3% (127)	16.9% (228)
Hispanic/Latino/a	7.3% (423)	8.4% (208)	7.9% (56)	7.9% (97)	4.6% (62)
Middle Eastern/North African	2.3% (134)	2.7% (67)	2.0% (14)	1.7% (21)	2.4% (32)
Native American/Alaskan Native	0.2% (10)	—	—	—	—
Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	—	—	—	—	—
White	44.1% (2544)	30.6% (756)	39.7% (282)	57.7% (710)	58.8% (796)
More Than One Race/Ethnicity	9.5% (546)	13.2% (326)	6.5% (46)	6.7% (82)	6.8% (92)
Preferred response not listed	2.3% (131)	1.3% (32)	2.7% (19)	2.1% (26)	4.0% (54)

† Question: Please indicate the racial or ethnic group(s) with which you identify. (Select all that apply)

**Executive Summary - Table 3b: Gender Identity by Population**

	Total	Undergraduate Students	Graduate Students	Staff	Faculty
Woman	61.9% (3604)	61.7% (1538)	67.0% (481)	71.2% (887)	50.9% (698)
Man	33.8% (1969)	32.3% (804)	29.5% (212)	26.8% (334)	45.1% (619)
Transgender	2.7% (159)	4.7% (118)	2.1% (15)	1.0% (12)	1.0% (14)
Preferred response not listed	1.6% (94)	1.2% (31)	1.4% (10)	1.0% (13)	2.9% (40)

† Question: What is your gender/gender identity? (Select all that apply.)

**Executive Summary - Table 3c: Sexual Orientation by Population**

	Total	Undergraduate Students	Graduate Students	Staff	Faculty
Heterosexual	<b>76.1%</b> (4354)	<b>68.4%</b> (1677)	<b>75.6%</b> (533)	<b>86.6%</b> (1058)	<b>80.7%</b> (1086)
LGBQA+	<b>23.9%</b> (1370)	<b>31.6%</b> (774)	<b>24.4%</b> (172)	<b>13.4%</b> (164)	<b>19.3%</b> (260)

† Question: What is your sexual orientation? (Select all that apply.)

**Executive Summary - Table 3d: Religious Affiliation by Population**

	Total	Undergraduate Students	Graduate Students	Staff	Faculty
Agnostic/Atheist	<b>21.8%</b> (1204)	<b>24.0%</b> (574)	<b>20.0%</b> (138)	<b>13.4%</b> (159)	<b>26.5%</b> (333)
Christian	<b>38.7%</b> (2137)	<b>33.4%</b> (800)	<b>37.0%</b> (255)	<b>55.0%</b> (655)	<b>33.9%</b> (427)
Hindu	<b>7.9%</b> (437)	<b>11.1%</b> (266)	<b>13.1%</b> (90)	<b>2.7%</b> (32)	<b>3.9%</b> (49)
Jewish	<b>6.8%</b> (376)	<b>5.5%</b> (131)	<b>6.5%</b> (45)	<b>6.2%</b> (74)	<b>10.0%</b> (126)
Muslim	<b>5.4%</b> (299)	<b>8.0%</b> (191)	<b>4.9%</b> (34)	<b>2.4%</b> (28)	<b>3.7%</b> (46)
Nothing in particular	<b>12.0%</b> (665)	<b>12.0%</b> (287)	<b>11.0%</b> (76)	<b>11.3%</b> (135)	<b>13.3%</b> (167)
Other Religiously Minoritized	<b>7.4%</b> (411)	<b>6.0%</b> (143)	<b>7.4%</b> (51)	<b>9.0%</b> (107)	<b>8.7%</b> (110)

† Question: With what religion (if any) do you most identify?

**Executive Summary - Table 3e: First Generation in the US by Population**

	Total	Undergraduate Students	Graduate Students	Staff	Faculty
First generation in US	<b>53.9%</b> (3098)	<b>67.0%</b> (1652)	<b>57.1%</b> (404)	<b>34.9%</b> (430)	<b>45.4%</b> (612)

† Question: Please indicate your generation status, response: Neither of my parents were born in the United States

**Executive Summary - Table 3f: Disability by Population**

	Total	Undergraduate Students	Graduate Students	Staff	Faculty
Yes, I have a disability	<b>12.5%</b> (710)	<b>12.2%</b> (299)	<b>16.0%</b> (112)	<b>10.6%</b> (130)	<b>12.7%</b> (169)

† Question: Do you have a disability?

**Executive Summary - Table 3g: Veteran Status by Population**

	Total	Undergraduate Students	Graduate Students	Staff	Faculty
Current or past service	<b>1.5%</b> (87)	<b>1.5%</b> (36)	<b>2.3%</b> (16)	<b>0.9%</b> (11)	<b>1.8%</b> (24)

† Question: Have you ever served in the U.S. Armed Forces, Military Reserves, or National Guard?

## Climate

**Executive Summary - Table 5a: Satisfaction with Overall Rutgers' Campus Climate by Population**

	Total	Undergraduate Students	Graduate Students	Staff	Faculty
Very Satisfied/Satisfied	<b>53.1%</b> (2985)	<b>51.9%</b> (1242)	<b>59.2%</b> (407)	<b>53.2%</b> (647)	<b>52.1%</b> (689)
Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied	<b>29.7%</b> (1671)	<b>32.4%</b> (776)	<b>28.6%</b> (197)	<b>29.9%</b> (364)	<b>25.2%</b> (334)
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	<b>17.2%</b> (965)	<b>15.7%</b> (375)	<b>12.2%</b> (84)	<b>16.9%</b> (206)	<b>22.7%</b> (300)

† Question: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the overall campus climate/environment that you have experienced at Rutgers University within the past 12 months? (If you have been at the university for under 12 months, please consider the time that you have been here.)

**Executive Summary - Table 5b: Satisfaction with Overall Rutgers' Campus Climate by Population and Collapsed Race**

	Total	Undergraduate Students	Graduate Students	Staff	Faculty
<b>Very Satisfied/Satisfied</b>					
Non-White	<b>52.8%</b> (1578)	<b>52.1%</b> (846)	<b>62.1%</b> (244)	<b>52.4%</b> (254)	<b>48.1%</b> (234)
White	<b>54.3%</b> (1342)	<b>51.9%</b> (375)	<b>56.4%</b> (155)	<b>54.5%</b> (380)	<b>55.6%</b> (432)
<b>Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied</b>					
Non-White	<b>31.0%</b> (927)	<b>32.4%</b> (526)	<b>27.7%</b> (109)	<b>32.8%</b> (159)	<b>27.4%</b> (133)
White	<b>28.3%</b> (700)	<b>32.8%</b> (237)	<b>29.8%</b> (82)	<b>27.8%</b> (194)	<b>24.1%</b> (187)
<b>Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied</b>					
Non-White	<b>16.2%</b> (483)	<b>15.5%</b> (252)	<b>10.2%</b> (40)	<b>14.8%</b> (72)	<b>24.5%</b> (119)
White	<b>17.4%</b> (430)	<b>15.4%</b> (111)	<b>13.8%</b> (38)	<b>17.6%</b> (123)	<b>20.3%</b> (158)

† Question: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the overall campus climate/environment that you have experienced at Rutgers University within the past 12 months? (If you have been at the university for under 12 months, please consider the time that you have been here.)

## Institutional Commitment

### Executive Summary - Table 7a: Satisfaction with Rutgers' DEI Actions by Population

	Total	Undergraduate Students	Graduate Students	Staff	Faculty
Very Satisfied/Satisfied	<b>44.8%</b> (2477)	<b>40.7%</b> (952)	<b>45.3%</b> (307)	<b>50.5%</b> (604)	<b>46.8%</b> (614)

† Question: How satisfied are you with the institution's actions in support of its commitment to diversity in the last three years? (If you have been at the university for less than 3 years, please consider the progress you have seen during the time that you have been here.)

### Executive Summary - Table 7b: Satisfaction with Rutgers' DEI Actions by Population and Collapsed Race

	Total	Undergraduate Students	Graduate Students	Staff	Faculty
Very Satisfied/Satisfied					
Non-White	<b>43.3%</b> (1271)	<b>41.2%</b> (657)	<b>47.8%</b> (184)	<b>45.8%</b> (218)	<b>44.2%</b> (212)
White	<b>47.2%</b> (1150)	<b>39.8%</b> (279)	<b>42.5%</b> (116)	<b>54.4%</b> (374)	<b>49.2%</b> (381)

† Question: How satisfied are you with the institution's actions in support of its commitment to diversity in the last three years? (If you have been at the university for less than 3 years, please consider the progress you have seen during the time that you have been here.)

### Executive Summary - Table 13a: Levels of Agreement with Rutgers' Strong Commitment to DEI by Population (% Agree/Strongly Agree)

	Total	Undergraduate Students	Graduate Students	Staff	Faculty
Agree/Strongly Agree	<b>65.6%</b> (3420)	<b>64.4%</b> (1396)	<b>65.4%</b> (417)	<b>69.7%</b> (802)	<b>63.8%</b> (805)

† Question: Considering your experiences over the past 12 months at Rutgers University, please indicate your level of agreement with each of the following statements: (If you have been at the university for under 12 months, please consider the time that you have been here.)

### Executive Summary - Table 13b: Levels of Agreement with Rutgers' Strong Commitment to DEI by Population and Collapsed Race (% Agree/Strongly Agree)

	Total	Undergraduate Students	Graduate Students	Staff	Faculty
Non-White	<b>62.0%</b> (1707)	<b>62.4%</b> (922)	<b>66.8%</b> (244)	<b>61.2%</b> (276)	<b>57.7%</b> (265)
White	<b>69.9%</b> (1622)	<b>69.0%</b> (449)	<b>64.6%</b> (164)	<b>75.4%</b> (504)	<b>67.7%</b> (505)

† Question: Considering your experiences over the past 12 months at Rutgers University, please indicate your level of agreement with each of the following statements: (If you have been at the university for under 12 months, please consider the time that you have been here.)

## Inclusive and Equitable Treatment

**Executive Summary - Table 13c: Levels of Agreement with Statements of Equitable Treatment (% Agree/Strongly Agree)**

	Total	Undergraduate Students	Graduate Students	Staff	Faculty
<b>I am treated with respect at Rutgers University</b>					
Strongly Disagree/Disagree/Neither	<b>27.5%</b> (1437)	<b>25.5%</b> (555)	<b>18.3%</b> (117)	<b>34.0%</b> (390)	<b>29.7%</b> (375)
Agree/Strongly Agree	<b>72.5%</b> (3787)	<b>74.5%</b> (1620)	<b>81.7%</b> (522)	<b>66.0%</b> (756)	<b>70.3%</b> (889)
<b>I feel valued as an individual at Rutgers University</b>					
Strongly Disagree/Disagree/Neither	<b>48.6%</b> (2541)	<b>54.2%</b> (1178)	<b>37.3%</b> (238)	<b>48.3%</b> (556)	<b>44.9%</b> (569)
Agree/Strongly Agree	<b>51.4%</b> (2689)	<b>45.8%</b> (995)	<b>62.7%</b> (400)	<b>51.7%</b> (596)	<b>55.1%</b> (698)
<b>I feel I belong at Rutgers University</b>					
Strongly Disagree/Disagree/Neither	<b>39.2%</b> (2051)	<b>41.0%</b> (891)	<b>35.8%</b> (229)	<b>37.5%</b> (432)	<b>39.4%</b> (499)
Agree/Strongly Agree	<b>60.8%</b> (3180)	<b>59.0%</b> (1282)	<b>64.2%</b> (411)	<b>62.5%</b> (719)	<b>60.6%</b> (768)

## Unfair Treatment

**Executive Summary - Table 13d: Levels of Agreement with Statements of Unfair Treatment (% Agree/Strongly Agree)**

	Total	Undergraduate Students	Graduate Students	Staff	Faculty
<b>I have considered leaving Rutgers because I felt isolated or unwelcomed</b>					
Strongly Disagree/Disagree/Neither	<b>80.1%</b> (4189)	<b>79.2%</b> (1722)	<b>85.9%</b> (548)	<b>81.2%</b> (935)	<b>77.7%</b> (984)
Agree/Strongly Agree	<b>19.9%</b> (1041)	<b>20.8%</b> (451)	<b>14.1%</b> (90)	<b>18.8%</b> (217)	<b>22.3%</b> (283)
<b>I have to work harder than others to be valued equally at Rutgers</b>					
Strongly Disagree/Disagree/Neither	<b>68.8%</b> (3577)	<b>72.3%</b> (1559)	<b>73.7%</b> (466)	<b>64.1%</b> (733)	<b>64.7%</b> (819)
Agree/Strongly Agree	<b>31.2%</b> (1622)	<b>27.7%</b> (598)	<b>26.3%</b> (166)	<b>35.9%</b> (411)	<b>35.3%</b> (447)

† Question: Considering your experiences over the past 12 months at Rutgers University, please indicate your level of agreement with each of the following statements? (If you have been at the university for under 12 months, please consider the time that you have been here.)



## Discrimination Experiences

**Executive Summary - Table 15a: Felt Discrimination in the Past 12 Months by Population**

	Total	Undergraduate Students	Graduate Students	Staff	Faculty
Yes	<b>17.6%</b> (903)	<b>17.2%</b> (367)	<b>14.5%</b> (90)	<b>17.1%</b> (194)	<b>20.1%</b> (252)

† Question: In general over the past 12 months, have you felt discriminated against on your Rutgers University campus? (If you have been at Rutgers University for under 12 months, please consider the time that you have been here.)

**Executive Summary - Table 15b: Felt Discrimination in the Past 12 Months by Population and Collapsed Race**

	Total	Undergraduate Students	Graduate Students	Staff	Faculty
Non-White	<b>19.4%</b> (527)	<b>17.1%</b> (248)	<b>14.4%</b> (52)	<b>22.1%</b> (98)	<b>28.5%</b> (129)
White	<b>14.4%</b> (329)	<b>16.4%</b> (105)	<b>13.5%</b> (33)	<b>13.3%</b> (88)	<b>13.8%</b> (103)

† Question: In general over the past 12 months, have you felt discriminated against on your Rutgers University campus? (If you have been at Rutgers University for under 12 months, please consider the time that you have been here.)

## Introduction

Rutgers University is dedicated to cultivating a university community that fosters constructive participation in a diverse, multicultural world. The university has increasingly supported initiatives that foster inclusive learning and working environments.

The Rutgers Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion (DEI) Campus Climate Survey was administered by SoundRocket, a social science survey research firm, in Spring 2023. *See Part II for details about the specific populations included in this survey.*

The survey was designed to help administrators develop shared knowledge around diversity, equity, and inclusion issues by learning about the community's perspectives, opinions, and experiences related to these topics. Data collected in the DEI survey will establish a baseline understanding of the present climate, help inform current and future decisions about supporting a diverse, inclusive, and vibrant campus community, and serve as a benchmark against which to measure change over time.

## Institutional Context

Tensions were high when the DEI Campus Climate Survey launched on March 20, 2023. On March 10, the Friday before spring break, two faculty unions announced that their strike authorization votes were successful. Data collection and outreach via emails from institutional leaders and social media engagement proceeded as planned for three weeks before the strike announcement on April 10. Institutional outreach paused for several weeks until the strike ended, and the associated contention subsided. After that, SoundRocket conducted additional outreach through phone calls to students and mailed letters to faculty and staff to increase participation. However, most participants completed the survey before the announcement of the strike.

Determining whether the labor context influenced survey participation, or the resulting responses, is not possible. Since this is a baseline survey, we do not have a university-wide comparison of student, faculty, and staff sentiment. However, the results shared below reflect the responses of nearly 10,000 members of the Rutgers community who, in this context, shared their experiences to help us assess the DEI campus climate.

## Background & Methods

This survey was conducted among the populations defined below, using industry standard methodologies for social science data collection. The study was designed to minimize biases from the perspective of the questionnaire, sampling, and reporting.

### Sampling Strategy

The study was conducted as a census of the full community population at the institution. General eligibility to be included in the survey was determined as follows:

- Participants must have been 18 years old or older as of the eligibility date defined below.
- Participants must have been affiliated with the institution as of the eligibility date below.

The specific population eligibility dates and other related qualifications were defined as:

- Population A: Students
  - Eligibility Date: Participants were eligible if enrolled at the institution in a minimum of six credit hours as of October 7, 2022.
- Population B: Employees
  - Eligibility Date: All full-time staff (including the Rutgers University Foundation) and faculty (including part-time) employed at the institution by November 1, 2022.
  - Post-doctoral trainees and associates were considered as staff.

Each population file was provided to SoundRocket by the Institution. The data was transferred using a secure data transfer platform. SoundRocket does not share or sell any customer lists—they have pledged to maintain this information as confidential and will destroy the lists after the completion of this study.

### Confidentiality

To ensure success of this survey, given the sensitive nature of several of the questions, a key element of the study design was to limit direct access between the institution and those individuals who were being surveyed. Integral to this effort was the use of an independent contractor (SoundRocket) for data collection efforts, which provided a firewall between respondents' identity and their survey responses. Consistent with standard practices for confidential data collections such as this, SoundRocket was required to use encryption technologies (including SSL for all web-based interfaces) and adhere to strict guidelines to maintain data security and confidentiality. SoundRocket has been collecting sensitive data from university populations since 2004. SoundRocket communications, staff training, processes and quality inspections all focus on minimizing disclosure risk.

After the participant list was provided to SoundRocket, unless the contact was initiated by the participant, no institution representative ever came into contact with any identifying information on any potential survey respondent in a way that would allow them to link survey response to individual identity. All staff were SoundRocket employees and/or contractors. This fact was openly disclosed during contacts with respondents so that they were assured that their responses would not be linked back to them. After the study was completed, SoundRocket destroyed all identifiable data (electronic and paper) that was received in the effort.

## The Campus Climate Questionnaire

The questionnaire was developed originally via a collaboration between SoundRocket, and a research team at the University of Michigan. A version of the original instrument was adapted by SoundRocket for use at multiple campuses. The final instrument fielded to this institution's community was agreed to collaboratively between SoundRocket and the institution.

The resulting questionnaire is standardized to provide for future comparisons, but also tailored so that the institution may gain a more nuanced understanding of what the climate is like on their campus. Leadership at Rutgers University gave input, which was used to help develop and refine the questions included in the survey.

The survey was designed as a self-administered, interactive, mobile friendly web-based survey.

The final questionnaire was structured as follows:

- **Welcome**-A brief description of the survey and its key objectives, a statement of confidentiality, a note regarding voluntary participation and survey length, information about incentives, and contact information for the SoundRocket survey team.
- **Consent**-An informed, passive consent, wherein study details about the nature and purpose of the research were provided and participants clicked "Next" if they agreed to participate.
- **Demographics-Survey Part IV**-Questions were asked to capture the demographics of each participant, including (but not limited to) gender, race/ethnicity, sexual orientation, religious affiliation, disability, military status, citizenship, and other related descriptors.
- **Campus Climate-Survey Part V**-Questions were asked about satisfaction with the campus climate; perceptions of attributes related to diversity, equity, and inclusion; individual opinions about DEI; frequency of interactions with diverse people; discriminatory events personally experienced; and ratings regarding aspects of being a community member.
- **Unit-Level Climate and COVID Experiences - Survey Part VI**-Questions were asked about satisfaction with the campus climate at a unit-level; individual opinions about DEI at the unit-level; discriminatory events personally experienced at the unit-level; and the adverse effects of COVID-19 in a variety of areas.

## Web Based Survey Data Collection

The survey was administered as a web-based survey. The survey was optimized so that it could be completed successfully on mobile devices and tablets, as well as on desktop or laptop computers. Mobile optimization was implemented dynamically during the survey—if the system detected that a mobile-sized screen was in use, it automatically adjusted the view to accommodate the device.

## Section A—Part I: Data Collection & Methods

The population specific to each section of this report is noted in each section header. Section A includes the results for the following:

- Population A: Students
  - Enrolled at the institution in a minimum of six credit hours as of October 7, 2022.
  - Participants must have been 18 years old or older.

The following population specific data collection methods were used to maximize quality in this survey.

### Respondent Incentives

To encourage participation, all eligible study participants were entered into a random drawing to win one of fifty \$25 value gift cards or one of 50 “Work Towards Inclusion” sweatshirts or an iPad. The random drawings were conducted after data collection was completed, and the incentives were delivered by the university.

### Data Collection Schedule

The overall data collection design protocol included:

- Invitation - emailed on March 20, 2023
- Reminder 1 - emailed on March 24, 2023
- Reminder 2 — emailed on March 27, 2023
- Reminder 3 - emailed on March 31, 2023
- Reminder 4 - emailed on April 3, 2023

A second stage effort followed with a nonresponse analysis that defined the sample for a reminder email and reminder phone call. The stage two sample included non-responders who were male undergraduate students, and non-White male graduate students. The reminder email went out on April 7, 2023, and reminder calls were made over the period of April 20 - May 2, 2023.

The dates identified above for each data collection invitation represent the date that the first communications (of each type) were sent. While most of the communications would have been sent the same day, depending on the time of day and any necessary data quality checks performed, it is possible that some individuals may have received their communications on the next calendar day.

## Survey Completion Time

Determining the actual time taken to participate in a web-based survey is not a straightforward calculation; however, standard practices were employed to calculate the average length of time for respondents to complete this web-based survey.

The completion time for the questionnaire was calculated by computing the elapsed time between when the participant initially logged in and when they clicked submit on the final page of the web survey. Because it was possible for participants to leave the survey and return to it later (returning to where they left off), which would lead to extended time durations “in” the survey, only individuals who completed the full survey in one session were included in this calculation.

Additionally, some participants may have completed a portion of the survey and remained logged in, but they may have been called away from the survey or distracted by other tasks. As such, we often find a significant number of outliers in the total time variable. As such, our standard practice to identify the outliers is to determine the median number of minutes spent in the survey by those who completed the survey in one session. A top end outlier range was then set to be three-times the median value. For example, if the initial median completion time was 15 minutes; anyone who took more than 45 minutes was considered an outlier who likely did not spend that full time working on the questionnaire. Anyone who took above three times the median time were also excluded from the final length calculation. This is a standard practice in the survey research industry to estimate an accurate time to complete a web-based survey.

Web survey completion timetables show final estimates for the length of the web-based survey among the population identified in this section of the report.

### Section A - Table 1.1: Web Survey Completion Time - Undergraduate Students

	Mean Time (minutes)	Median Time (minutes)
Undergraduate Students	11.5	10

### Section A - Table 1.2: Web Survey Completion Time - Graduate Students

	Mean Time (minutes)	Median Time (minutes)
Graduate Students	12.3	11

# Section A - Part II: Dispositions & Response Rates

Disposition codes, response rates, and completion rates presented in this report are based on Standard Definitions as described by The American Association for Public Opinion Research (AAPOR) in their 2011 publication: *Standard Definitions: Final Dispositions of Case Codes and Outcome Rates for Surveys, 7th edition*.

## Final Study Dispositions

Survey dispositions were defined as follows:

- **Login:** An individual who clicked to open the survey but did not consent to participate; these cases were treated as equal to any other nonresponse.
- **Partial:** An individual who consented but did not click “Submit Results” at the end of the survey.
- **Complete:** An individual who consented to participate and who clicked through the entire survey (answering all or some questions), completed the incentive questions and clicked “Submit Results.”
- **Ineligible:** A case initially thought eligible to participate, but determined to not be eligible (e.g., due to not being employed on the eligibility date); ineligible cases were removed from the denominator of all response rate calculations.

## Response Rates

Response rates for the survey were calculated as follows:

- **Response Rate:** Number of completes (c) plus the number of partials (p) divided by the eligible (e) sample size. This calculation follows AAPOR response rate calculation #2:  $((c+p)/e)$ .
- **Completion Rate:** Number of completes (c) divided by the sum of completes (c) plus partials (p):  $(c/(c+p))$ .

An individual is considered to have responded to the survey if their submission met the stated criteria to be considered a complete or a partial. Data from all such cases is included in this report. Response and completion rates are shown for the total number of individuals at Rutgers University who responded to the survey.

**Section A - Table 2.1: Response & Completion Rates- Undergraduate Students**

	Eligible Sample	Partial Responses	Complete Responses	Response Rate	Completion Rate
Undergraduate Students	31318	547	2087	8.4%	79.2%

**Section A - Table 2.2: Response & Completion Rates- Graduate Students**

	Eligible Sample	Partial Responses	Complete Responses	Response Rate	Completion Rate
Graduate Students	4910	140	615	15.4%	81.5%

## Section A — Part III: Results

### Reading the Results

Because the study was designed in part to provide insights and information that could be used to assist in developing programs, key comparison groups are included in the tables. Summary tables in this report include a total column named “Total.” This column shows the combined data of all individuals who completed the survey from among the population defined by this report.

Due to the nature of the voluntary confidential survey, respondents were not required to answer any substantive question. Only the consent question was required to proceed into the survey. If a potential respondent did not consent to participate, they were not shown subsequent survey questions.

**Because participants could choose to skip any question(s) they did not wish to answer, the number of respondents in data tables varies by question.** Additionally, we have included partial responses in these results, so some drop-off as participants continued through the questionnaire is expected.

The data shown in tables throughout this report are population level data (parameters). Statistical testing is not required in a census. Due to this, any differences observed (e.g., between men and women), represent true differences in the population. The magnitude of any observed differences should be interpreted based on the context of the measure and the potential for that measure to be impacted by nonresponse.

To preserve confidentiality, the following data suppression strategy was used:

- A. If any population within a unit did not have 15 or more responses from a specific population (i.e. undergraduate students, graduate students), all data for that population is suppressed in this report.
- B. In any table past Table 5.1 (Part V and beyond), individual cells with fewer than 5 reporting cases has been suppressed. Where appropriate throughout the report, this is indicated in the tables by a dash (–) symbol.

### Interpreting the Results

This effort is intended to provide the institution with the data and results so that local individuals can use them, together with their local knowledge of these issues, to come up with interpretations and meaning.



## Section A - Part IV: Demographics

To best understand the survey results, it is important to get an understanding of who completed the survey. The first section of the survey asked about several background and demographic elements. Characteristics of the responding population identified in the header of this report are shown in the Demographics tables that follow.

**Section A - Table 3.1: Demographics - Undergraduate Students**

Undergraduate Students	
<b>Age</b>	
Average (Years)	20.6 (2515)
<b>Sex</b>	
Male	33.7% (840)
Female	65.7% (1637)
Preferred response not listed	0.5% (13)
<b>Gender Identity</b>	
Man	32.3% (804)
Woman	61.7% (1538)
Transgender/Gender Non-Conforming	4.7% (118)
Preferred response not listed	1.2% (31)
<b>Sexual Orientation</b>	
Heterosexual	68.4% (1677)
LGBQA+†	31.6% (774)
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>	
African American/Black	7.2% (177)
Asian American/Asian	36.6% (905)
Hispanic/Latino/a	8.4% (208)
Middle Eastern/North African	2.7% (67)
Native American/Alaskan Native	0.1% (2)
Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	0.0% (1)
White	30.6% (756)
More Than One Race/Ethnicity	13.2% (326)
Preferred response not listed	1.3% (32)
<b>U.S. Born</b>	
Yes	68.6% (1694)
<b>Generation Status</b>	
First generation in US	67.0% (1652)

† Includes the survey categories of Bisexual, Gay/Lesbian, Queer, Questioning, Asexual, and Preferred response not listed.

**Section A - Table 3.2: Demographics - Undergraduate Students**

<b>Undergraduate Students</b>	
<b>Religiosity</b>	
Religious and spiritual	<b>30.9%</b> (752)
Religious but not spiritual	<b>14.0%</b> (342)
Spiritual but not religious	<b>21.4%</b> (521)
Neither spiritual nor religious	<b>33.7%</b> (820)
<b>Religious Affiliation</b>	
Atheist	<b>13.0%</b> (312)
Agnostic	<b>11.0%</b> (262)
Bahá'í	—
Buddhist	<b>2.1%</b> (50)
Catholic	<b>15.9%</b> (381)
Christian (nonspecific)	<b>16.4%</b> (393)
Confucian	—
Hindu	<b>11.1%</b> (266)
Jewish	<b>5.5%</b> (131)
Muslim	<b>8.0%</b> (191)
Native American Tradition(s)	<b>0.2%</b> (4)
Protestant	<b>1.0%</b> (24)
Sikh	<b>0.8%</b> (18)
Taoist	<b>0.1%</b> (3)
The Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints (Mormons)	<b>0.1%</b> (2)
Wiccan	<b>0.4%</b> (9)
Zoroastrian	<b>0.1%</b> (2)
Nothing in particular	<b>12.0%</b> (287)
Something else	<b>2.4%</b> (57)

**Section A - Table 3.3: Demographics - Undergraduate Students**

<b>Undergraduate Students</b>	
<b>Disability</b>	
Yes	<b>12.2%</b> (299)
<b>Disability Type</b>	
Acquired/Traumatic Brain Injury	<b>2.7%</b> (8)
Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder	<b>36.1%</b> (108)
Autism Spectrum	<b>17.4%</b> (52)
Blind/Low Vision	<b>5.0%</b> (15)
Deaf/Hard of hearing	<b>6.4%</b> (19)
Cognitive or Learning Disability	<b>12.0%</b> (36)
Chronic Illness/Medical/Neurological Condition	<b>21.7%</b> (65)
Mental Health/Psychological Condition	<b>56.9%</b> (170)
Physical/Mobility Impairment	<b>13.4%</b> (40)
Speech/Communication Condition	<b>3.7%</b> (11)
Sensory Impairment	<b>2.7%</b> (8)
Other Disability	<b>8.0%</b> (24)
<b>Military Service</b>	
Current or past service	<b>1.5%</b> (36)
<b>Political Affiliation</b>	
Very liberal	<b>16.5%</b> (398)
Liberal	<b>29.3%</b> (708)
Slightly liberal	<b>13.6%</b> (328)
Moderate/Middle of the road	<b>16.1%</b> (388)
Slightly Conservative	<b>4.8%</b> (115)
Conservative	<b>4.1%</b> (99)
Very conservative	<b>0.9%</b> (22)
Not thought about it/Don't know	<b>14.9%</b> (359)
<b>Housing Status</b>	
Rutgers residence hall, dormitory, or university housing on campus	<b>48.3%</b> (1162)
Off-campus housing	<b>50.7%</b> (1220)
Uncertain housing/no stable housing	<b>1.1%</b> (26)
<b>Employment Status</b>	
Work-study employment	<b>14.3%</b> (328)
On-campus employment (not including work-study)	<b>20.5%</b> (474)
Off-campus employment (not including work-study)	<b>34.9%</b> (807)

**Section A - Table 3.4: Demographics - Graduate Students**

<b>Graduate Students</b>	
<b>Age</b>	
Average (Years)	<b>29.4</b> (724)
<b>Sex</b>	
Male	<b>30.1%</b> (216)
Female	<b>69.2%</b> (496)
Preferred response not listed	<b>0.7%</b> (5)
<b>Gender Identity</b>	
Man	<b>29.5%</b> (212)
Woman	<b>67.0%</b> (481)
Transgender/Gender Non-Conforming	<b>2.1%</b> (15)
Preferred response not listed	<b>1.4%</b> (10)
<b>Sexual Orientation</b>	
Heterosexual	<b>75.6%</b> (533)
LGBQA+†	<b>24.4%</b> (172)
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>	
African American/Black	<b>10.8%</b> (77)
Asian American/Asian	<b>30.5%</b> (217)
Hispanic/Latino/a	<b>7.9%</b> (56)
Middle Eastern/North African	<b>2.0%</b> (14)
Native American/Alaskan Native	—
Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	—
White	<b>39.7%</b> (282)
More Than One Race/Ethnicity	<b>6.5%</b> (46)
Preferred response not listed	<b>2.7%</b> (19)
<b>U.S. Born</b>	
Yes	<b>58.3%</b> (414)
<b>Generation Status</b>	
First generation in US	<b>57.1%</b> (404)

† Includes the survey categories of Bisexual, Gay/Lesbian, Queer, Questioning, Asexual, and Preferred response not listed.

**Section A - Table 3.5: Demographics - Graduate Students**

<b>Graduate Students</b>	
<b>Religiosity</b>	
Religious and spiritual	<b>34.5%</b> (241)
Religious but not spiritual	<b>8.0%</b> (56)
Spiritual but not religious	<b>25.9%</b> (181)
Neither spiritual nor religious	<b>31.5%</b> (220)
<b>Religious Affiliation</b>	
Atheist	<b>8.6%</b> (59)
Agnostic	<b>11.5%</b> (79)
Bahá'í	—
Buddhist	<b>2.3%</b> (16)
Catholic	<b>15.5%</b> (107)
Christian (nonspecific)	<b>18.4%</b> (127)
Confucian	<b>0.1%</b> (1)
Hindu	<b>13.1%</b> (90)
Jewish	<b>6.5%</b> (45)
Muslim	<b>4.9%</b> (34)
Native American Tradition(s)	<b>0.3%</b> (2)
Protestant	<b>2.8%</b> (19)
Sikh	<b>0.4%</b> (3)
Taoist	<b>0.1%</b> (1)
The Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints (Mormons)	<b>0.3%</b> (2)
Wiccan	<b>0.3%</b> (2)
Zoroastrian	—
Nothing in particular	<b>11.0%</b> (76)
Something else	<b>3.8%</b> (26)

**Section A - Table 3.6: Demographics - Graduate Students**

Graduate Students	
Disability	
Yes	<b>16.0%</b> (112)
Disability Type	
Acquired/Traumatic Brain Injury	<b>2.7%</b> (3)
Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder	<b>37.5%</b> (42)
Autism Spectrum	<b>6.2%</b> (7)
Blind/Low Vision	<b>3.6%</b> (4)
Deaf/Hard of hearing	<b>2.7%</b> (3)
Cognitive or Learning Disability	<b>6.2%</b> (7)
Chronic Illness/Medical/Neurological Condition	<b>35.7%</b> (40)
Mental Health/Psychological Condition	<b>58.9%</b> (66)
Physical/Mobility Impairment	<b>15.2%</b> (17)
Speech/Communication Condition	<b>1.8%</b> (2)
Sensory Impairment	<b>3.6%</b> (4)
Other Disability	<b>8.9%</b> (10)
Military Service	
Current or past service	<b>2.3%</b> (16)
Political Affiliation	
Very liberal	<b>23.5%</b> (164)
Liberal	<b>31.4%</b> (219)
Slightly liberal	<b>9.2%</b> (64)
Moderate/Middle of the road	<b>16.6%</b> (116)
Slightly Conservative	<b>3.4%</b> (24)
Conservative	<b>2.9%</b> (20)
Very conservative	<b>0.7%</b> (5)
Not thought about it/Don't know	<b>12.2%</b> (85)
Housing Status	
Rutgers residence hall, dormitory, or university housing on campus	<b>8.8%</b> (61)
Off-campus housing	<b>90.9%</b> (633)
Uncertain housing/no stable housing	<b>0.3%</b> (2)
Employment Status	
Work-study employment	<b>17.9%</b> (111)
On-campus employment (not including work-study)	<b>30.3%</b> (191)
Off-campus employment (not including work-study)	<b>52.5%</b> (334)

## Collapsing by Race and Ethnicity - Simplified Reporting

In many places within this report, breakouts of individual race/ethnicity categories will result in cell sizes that are too small to report. As such, we will also present two collapsed versions of race/ethnicity. The first is a two-category breakout which is noted in report columns as non-White/White, which will include any participant who identified as African American/Black, Asian American/Asian/Pacific Islander, Hispanic/Latino/a, Middle Eastern/North African, Native American/Alaskan Native, Other Race/Ethnicity, or More Than One Race/Ethnicity.

The second expanded strategy uses five categories: African American/Black, AANAPISI (which includes Asian American/Asian/Pacific Islander, and Native American/Alaskan Native), Hispanic/Latino/a, Another Race (which includes Middle Eastern/North African, Other Race/Ethnicity, and More Than One Race/Ethnicity), and White.

The following data represents the distribution of the Non-White/White categories.

**Section A - Table 4.1: Collapsed Race Demographic Distribution of Respondents**

Undergraduate Students	
Non-White	<b>69.0%</b> (1686)
White	<b>31.0%</b> (756)

**Section A - Table 4.2: Collapsed Race Demographic Distribution of Respondents**

Graduate Students	
Non-White	<b>59.2%</b> (410)
White	<b>40.8%</b> (282)

The following data represents the distribution of the expanded race/ethnicity categories.

**Section A - Table 4.3: Expanded Race Demographic Distribution of Respondents**

Undergraduate Students	
African American/Black	<b>7.2%</b> (177)
AANAPISI	<b>36.7%</b> (908)
Hispanic/Latino/a	<b>8.4%</b> (208)
Another Race	<b>17.2%</b> (425)
White	<b>30.6%</b> (756)

**Section A - Table 4.4: Expanded Race Demographic Distribution of Respondents**

Graduate Students	
African American/Black	<b>10.8%</b> (77)
AANAPISI	<b>30.5%</b> (217)
Hispanic/Latino/a	<b>7.9%</b> (56)
Another Race	<b>11.1%</b> (79)
White	<b>39.7%</b> (282)

## Section A — Part V: DEI Perceptions & Experiences: Campus Overall

Next, respondents were asked to rate their overall satisfaction with the campus climate/environment based on their experiences in the past 12 months.

**Section A - Table 5.1: Satisfaction with Overall Campus Climate - Undergraduate Students**

	Total	Gender Identity			Race/Ethnicity	
		Woman	Man	Transgender/Non-Binary	White	Non-White
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	15.7% (375)	13.2% (196)	17.9% (135)	26.5% (30)	15.4% (111)	15.5% (252)
Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied	32.4% (776)	33.2% (492)	30.7% (232)	36.3% (41)	32.8% (237)	32.4% (526)
Very Satisfied/Satisfied	51.9% (1242)	53.6% (795)	51.4% (388)	37.2% (42)	51.9% (375)	52.1% (846)

**Section A - Table 5.2: Satisfaction with Overall Campus Climate - Undergraduate Students - Detailed Race/Ethnicity**

	Total	African American/Black	AANAPISI	Hispanic/Latino/a	Another Race	White
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	15.7% (375)	20.8% (36)	13.8% (120)	14.1% (29)	18.3% (75)	15.4% (111)
Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied	32.4% (776)	41.0% (71)	30.0% (260)	36.6% (75)	31.3% (128)	32.8% (237)
Very Satisfied/Satisfied	51.9% (1242)	38.2% (66)	56.2% (488)	49.3% (101)	50.4% (206)	51.9% (375)

**Section A - Table 5.3: Satisfaction with Overall Campus Climate - Graduate Students**

	Total	Gender Identity			Race/Ethnicity	
		Woman	Man	Transgender/Non-Binary	White	Non-White
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	12.2% (84)	10.8% (50)	14.4% (29)	—	13.8% (38)	10.2% (40)
Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied	28.6% (197)	30.8% (142)	21.9% (44)	50.0% (7)	29.8% (82)	27.7% (109)
Very Satisfied/Satisfied	59.2% (407)	58.4% (269)	63.7% (128)	42.9% (6)	56.4% (155)	62.1% (244)

**Section A - Table 5.4: Satisfaction with Overall Campus Climate - Graduate Students - Detailed Race/Ethnicity**

	Total	African American/Black	AANAPISI	Hispanic/Latino/a	Another Race	White
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	12.2% (84)	6.8% (5)	10.7% (22)	12.5% (7)	14.7% (11)	13.8% (38)
Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied	28.6% (197)	29.7% (22)	21.8% (45)	33.9% (19)	37.3% (28)	29.8% (82)
Very Satisfied/Satisfied	59.2% (407)	63.5% (47)	67.5% (139)	53.6% (30)	48.0% (36)	56.4% (155)



**Section A - Table 6.1: Participation in DEI Activities - Undergraduate Students**

	Total	Gender Identity			Race/Ethnicity	
		Woman	Man	Transgender/Non-Binary	White	Non-White
Attended a DEI-related event (training, seminar, film series, etc.)	<b>21.7%</b> (508)	<b>23.5%</b> (342)	<b>16.1%</b> (118)	<b>31.2%</b> (35)	<b>21.9%</b> (155)	<b>21.6%</b> (344)
Listened to a DEI-related podcast or read a book on a DEI-related topic	<b>18.3%</b> (426)	<b>19.4%</b> (281)	<b>14.5%</b> (106)	<b>23.2%</b> (26)	<b>20.5%</b> (144)	<b>17.3%</b> (275)
Served on a DEI-related committee or taskforce	<b>7.0%</b> (163)	<b>7.5%</b> (108)	<b>5.2%</b> (38)	<b>10.7%</b> (12)	<b>4.0%</b> (28)	<b>8.4%</b> (133)
Had a DEI-related conversation with a colleague/peer	<b>34.2%</b> (800)	<b>35.8%</b> (521)	<b>27.7%</b> (203)	<b>46.4%</b> (52)	<b>39.5%</b> (279)	<b>32.1%</b> (510)

**Section A - Table 6.2: Participation in DEI Activities - Undergraduate Students - Detailed Race/Ethnicity**

	Total	African American/Black	AANAPISI	Hispanic/Latino/a	Another Race	White
Attended a DEI-related event (training, seminar, film series, etc.)	<b>21.7%</b> (508)	<b>23.1%</b> (39)	<b>20.1%</b> (171)	<b>16.9%</b> (34)	<b>26.7%</b> (108)	<b>21.9%</b> (155)
Listened to a DEI-related podcast or read a book on a DEI-related topic	<b>18.3%</b> (426)	<b>19.0%</b> (32)	<b>16.1%</b> (137)	<b>14.9%</b> (30)	<b>20.6%</b> (83)	<b>20.5%</b> (144)
Served on a DEI-related committee or taskforce	<b>7.0%</b> (163)	<b>7.8%</b> (13)	<b>7.5%</b> (64)	<b>7.5%</b> (15)	<b>10.7%</b> (43)	<b>4.0%</b> (28)
Had a DEI-related conversation with a colleague/peer	<b>34.2%</b> (800)	<b>36.7%</b> (62)	<b>29.4%</b> (250)	<b>22.4%</b> (45)	<b>40.5%</b> (163)	<b>39.5%</b> (279)

**Section A - Table 6.3: Participation in DEI Activities - Graduate Students**

	Total	Gender Identity			Race/Ethnicity	
		Woman	Man	Transgender/Non-Binary	White	Non-White
Attended a DEI-related event (training, seminar, film series, etc.)	<b>28.0%</b> (190)	<b>29.9%</b> (135)	<b>21.8%</b> (44)	<b>57.1%</b> (8)	<b>32.6%</b> (89)	<b>24.6%</b> (95)
Listened to a DEI-related podcast or read a book on a DEI-related topic	<b>36.8%</b> (249)	<b>41.1%</b> (185)	<b>24.3%</b> (49)	<b>69.2%</b> (9)	<b>47.6%</b> (131)	<b>29.0%</b> (111)
Served on a DEI-related committee or taskforce	<b>8.6%</b> (58)	<b>8.9%</b> (40)	<b>5.0%</b> (10)	<b>50.0%</b> (7)	<b>9.9%</b> (27)	<b>7.3%</b> (28)
Had a DEI-related conversation with a colleague/peer	<b>52.7%</b> (357)	<b>56.3%</b> (254)	<b>41.1%</b> (83)	<b>92.9%</b> (13)	<b>66.4%</b> (182)	<b>42.4%</b> (163)

**Section A - Table 6.4: Participation in DEI Activities - Graduate Students - Detailed Race/Ethnicity**

	Total	African American/Black	AANAPISI	Hispanic/Latino/a	Another Race	White
Attended a DEI-related event (training, seminar, film series, etc.)	<b>28.0%</b> (190)	<b>29.2%</b> (21)	<b>23.3%</b> (47)	<b>21.8%</b> (12)	<b>28.0%</b> (21)	<b>32.6%</b> (89)
Listened to a DEI-related podcast or read a book on a DEI-related topic	<b>36.8%</b> (249)	<b>40.8%</b> (29)	<b>21.0%</b> (42)	<b>34.5%</b> (19)	<b>36.5%</b> (27)	<b>47.6%</b> (131)
Served on a DEI-related committee or taskforce	<b>8.6%</b> (58)	—	<b>5.0%</b> (10)	<b>14.5%</b> (8)	<b>13.3%</b> (10)	<b>9.9%</b> (27)
Had a DEI-related conversation with a colleague/peer	<b>52.7%</b> (357)	<b>44.4%</b> (32)	<b>33.5%</b> (67)	<b>52.7%</b> (29)	<b>60.0%</b> (45)	<b>66.4%</b> (182)

Participants were asked about their level of satisfaction with the university's commitment to DEI over the past three years. Next, they were asked to rate the DEI climate compared to the climate three years ago. Participants who have been at the institution for less than three years were instructed to consider the time that they had been there when answering the question.

**Section A - Table 7.1: Satisfaction with Commitment to DEI - Undergraduate Students**

	Total	Gender Identity			Race/Ethnicity	
		Woman	Man	Transgender/Non-Binary	White	Non-White
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	<b>13.0%</b> (304)	<b>11.9%</b> (174)	<b>12.1%</b> (88)	<b>30.4%</b> (34)	<b>12.4%</b> (87)	<b>13.0%</b> (208)
Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied	<b>46.3%</b> (1082)	<b>45.7%</b> (666)	<b>48.2%</b> (352)	<b>40.2%</b> (45)	<b>47.8%</b> (335)	<b>45.8%</b> (731)
Very Satisfied/Satisfied	<b>40.7%</b> (952)	<b>42.4%</b> (618)	<b>39.7%</b> (290)	<b>29.5%</b> (33)	<b>39.8%</b> (279)	<b>41.2%</b> (657)

**Section A - Table 7.2: Satisfaction with Commitment to DEI - Undergraduate Students - Detailed Race/Ethnicity**

	Total	African American/Black	AANAPISI	Hispanic/Latino/a	Another Race	White
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	<b>13.0%</b> (304)	<b>26.8%</b> (45)	<b>9.6%</b> (82)	<b>15.8%</b> (32)	<b>13.9%</b> (56)	<b>12.4%</b> (87)
Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied	<b>46.3%</b> (1082)	<b>43.5%</b> (73)	<b>45.7%</b> (390)	<b>48.3%</b> (98)	<b>44.8%</b> (180)	<b>47.8%</b> (335)
Very Satisfied/Satisfied	<b>40.7%</b> (952)	<b>29.8%</b> (50)	<b>44.7%</b> (382)	<b>36.0%</b> (73)	<b>41.3%</b> (166)	<b>39.8%</b> (279)

**Section A - Table 7.3: Satisfaction with Commitment to DEI - Graduate Students**

	Total	Gender Identity			Race/Ethnicity	
		Woman	Man	Transgender/Non-Binary	White	Non-White
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	<b>11.9%</b> (81)	<b>10.0%</b> (45)	<b>12.4%</b> (25)	<b>35.7%</b> (5)	<b>10.6%</b> (29)	<b>11.9%</b> (46)
Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied	<b>42.8%</b> (290)	<b>45.0%</b> (203)	<b>37.8%</b> (76)	<b>42.9%</b> (6)	<b>46.9%</b> (128)	<b>40.3%</b> (155)
Very Satisfied/Satisfied	<b>45.3%</b> (307)	<b>45.0%</b> (203)	<b>49.8%</b> (100)	—	<b>42.5%</b> (116)	<b>47.8%</b> (184)

**Section A - Table 7.4: Satisfaction with Commitment to DEI - Graduate Students - Detailed Race/Ethnicity**

	Total	African American/Black	AANAPISI	Hispanic/Latino/a	Another Race	White
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	<b>11.9%</b> (81)	<b>13.9%</b> (10)	<b>9.4%</b> (19)	<b>9.3%</b> (5)	<b>24.3%</b> (18)	<b>10.6%</b> (29)
Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied	<b>42.8%</b> (290)	<b>40.3%</b> (29)	<b>37.4%</b> (76)	<b>40.7%</b> (22)	<b>45.9%</b> (34)	<b>46.9%</b> (128)
Very Satisfied/Satisfied	<b>45.3%</b> (307)	<b>45.8%</b> (33)	<b>53.2%</b> (108)	<b>50.0%</b> (27)	<b>29.7%</b> (22)	<b>42.5%</b> (116)

**Section A - Table 8.1: Rating of Overall Campus Climate Over Three Years - Undergraduate Students**

	Total	Gender Identity			Race/Ethnicity	
		Woman	Man	Transgender/Non-Binary	White	Non-White
Much Worse/Somewhat Worse	5.0% (114)	4.1% (58)	5.9% (42)	7.3% (8)	5.4% (36)	4.6% (72)
About the Same	67.5% (1526)	66.6% (936)	68.5% (486)	76.1% (83)	67.6% (453)	67.6% (1050)
Much Better/Somewhat Better	27.5% (622)	29.3% (412)	25.6% (182)	16.5% (18)	27.0% (181)	27.8% (431)

**Section A - Table 8.2: Rating of Overall Campus Climate Over Three Years - Undergraduate Students - Detailed Race/Ethnicity**

	Total	African American/Black	AANAPISI	Hispanic/Latino/a	Another Race	White
Much Worse/Somewhat Worse	5.0% (114)	4.3% (7)	4.4% (37)	4.1% (8)	6.4% (25)	5.4% (36)
About the Same	67.5% (1526)	65.4% (106)	67.4% (565)	64.4% (125)	70.0% (273)	67.6% (453)
Much Better/Somewhat Better	27.5% (622)	30.2% (49)	28.2% (236)	31.4% (61)	23.6% (92)	27.0% (181)

**Section A - Table 8.3: Rating of Overall Campus Climate Over Three Years - Graduate Students**

	Total	Gender Identity			Race/Ethnicity	
		Woman	Man	Transgender/Non-Binary	White	Non-White
Much Worse/Somewhat Worse	4.2% (27)	2.6% (11)	6.6% (13)	—	5.3% (14)	3.3% (12)
About the Same	59.6% (386)	59.4% (253)	59.9% (118)	57.1% (8)	59.7% (157)	58.5% (214)
Much Better/Somewhat Better	36.3% (235)	38.0% (162)	33.5% (66)	35.7% (5)	35.0% (92)	38.3% (140)

**Section A - Table 8.4: Rating of Overall Campus Climate Over Three Years - Graduate Students - Detailed Race/Ethnicity**

	Total	African American/Black	AANAPISI	Hispanic/Latino/a	Another Race	White
Much Worse/Somewhat Worse	4.2% (27)	—	2.6% (5)	—	6.8% (5)	5.3% (14)
About the Same	59.6% (386)	64.7% (44)	58.2% (113)	39.6% (19)	69.9% (51)	59.7% (157)
Much Better/Somewhat Better	36.3% (235)	35.3% (24)	39.2% (76)	54.2% (26)	23.3% (17)	35.0% (92)

Participants were asked how well they thought the university is doing in relation to DEI compared to other institutions. Response categories were provided on a 5-point scale, ranging from “Among the very worst” to “Among the very best”.

**Section A - Table 9.1: Comparing DEI Progress to Other Institutions - Undergraduate Students**

	Total	Gender Identity			Race/Ethnicity	
		Woman	Man	Transgender/Non-Binary	White	Non-White
Among the Very Worst/ Worse Than Most	<b>4.8%</b> (109)	<b>4.2%</b> (59)	<b>4.9%</b> (35)	<b>10.0%</b> (11)	<b>3.3%</b> (22)	<b>5.3%</b> (83)
About Equal	<b>49.5%</b> (1128)	<b>48.2%</b> (684)	<b>52.7%</b> (375)	<b>45.5%</b> (50)	<b>49.4%</b> (333)	<b>49.5%</b> (774)
Among the Very Best/ Better Than Most	<b>45.7%</b> (1040)	<b>47.6%</b> (675)	<b>42.4%</b> (302)	<b>44.5%</b> (49)	<b>47.3%</b> (319)	<b>45.2%</b> (708)

**Section A - Table 9.2: Comparing DEI Progress to Other Institutions - Undergraduate Students - Detailed Race/Ethnicity**

	Total	African American/Black	AANAPISI	Hispanic/Latino/a	Another Race	White
		Among the Very Worst/ Worse Than Most	<b>4.8%</b> (109)	<b>8.6%</b> (14)	<b>4.4%</b> (37)	<b>6.1%</b> (12)
About Equal	<b>49.5%</b> (1128)	<b>48.8%</b> (79)	<b>50.5%</b> (425)	<b>48.7%</b> (96)	<b>48.2%</b> (191)	<b>49.4%</b> (333)
Among the Very Best/ Better Than Most	<b>45.7%</b> (1040)	<b>42.6%</b> (69)	<b>45.1%</b> (379)	<b>45.2%</b> (89)	<b>46.0%</b> (182)	<b>47.3%</b> (319)

**Section A - Table 9.3: Comparing DEI Progress to Other Institutions - Graduate Students**

	Total	Gender Identity			Race/Ethnicity	
		Woman	Man	Transgender/Non-Binary	White	Non-White
Among the Very Worst/ Worse Than Most	<b>4.9%</b> (32)	<b>3.2%</b> (14)	<b>8.2%</b> (16)	—	<b>4.1%</b> (11)	<b>5.1%</b> (19)
About Equal	<b>48.9%</b> (321)	<b>49.0%</b> (214)	<b>47.9%</b> (93)	<b>50.0%</b> (7)	<b>53.4%</b> (142)	<b>45.8%</b> (170)
Among the Very Best/ Better Than Most	<b>46.2%</b> (303)	<b>47.8%</b> (209)	<b>43.8%</b> (85)	<b>42.9%</b> (6)	<b>42.5%</b> (113)	<b>49.1%</b> (182)

**Section A - Table 9.4: Comparing DEI Progress to Other Institutions - Graduate Students - Detailed Race/Ethnicity**

	Total	African American/Black	AANAPISI	Hispanic/Latino/a	Another Race	White
		Among the Very Worst/ Worse Than Most	<b>4.9%</b> (32)	—	<b>4.6%</b> (9)	—
About Equal	<b>48.9%</b> (321)	<b>49.3%</b> (34)	<b>42.9%</b> (84)	<b>43.1%</b> (22)	<b>52.8%</b> (38)	<b>53.4%</b> (142)
Among the Very Best/ Better Than Most	<b>46.2%</b> (303)	<b>46.4%</b> (32)	<b>52.6%</b> (103)	<b>52.9%</b> (27)	<b>37.5%</b> (27)	<b>42.5%</b> (113)

After considering the DEI climate at the institution over the past three years, survey participants reflected on several sets of opposite DEI related aspects using a scale called a semantic differential. In this scale, polar adjectives (opposite-meaning terms) are shown and survey participants select a rating for each aspect that they feel best represents their perception of the entity being studied - in this case, individual's perceptions of the overall campus community.

In the following chart, the higher the mean score shown in each bar, the closer ratings were to the positive attribute in each set of adjectives located on the right. A 7-point scale was used to evaluate the paired adjectives, thus the mean values in the following tables utilize the same scale. The colored bars represent the different groups, as defined below.

**Section A - Table 10.1: Perceptions of Overall DEI Aspects (Mean Ratings)\* - Undergraduate Students**

<b>Hostile</b>	5.1	<b>Friendly</b>
<b>Racist</b>	5	<b>Non-Racist</b>
<b>Homogenous</b>	5.4	<b>Diverse</b>
<b>Disrespectful</b>	5.1	<b>Respectful</b>
<b>Contentious</b>	4.4	<b>Collegial</b>
<b>Sexist</b>	4.8	<b>Non-Sexist</b>
<b>Individualistic</b>	4.1	<b>Collaborative</b>
<b>Competitive</b>	4	<b>Cooperative</b>
<b>Homophobic</b>	5.3	<b>Non-Homophobic</b>
<b>Unsupportive</b>	5	<b>Supportive</b>
<b>Ageist</b>	5	<b>Non-Ageist</b>
<b>Unwelcoming</b>	5.1	<b>Welcoming</b>
<b>Elitist</b>	4.4	<b>Non-Elitist</b>
<b>Transphobic</b>	5.1	<b>Non-Transphobic</b>
<b>Ableist</b>	4.7	<b>Accessible</b>

*\*Note: respondents chose one of seven radio buttons in the survey spaced equally between opposite attributes; for analysis purposes, a 7-point scale was used in which 1=negative attribute and 7=positive attribute, mean ratings are calculated based on this 7-point scale.*

**Section A - Table 10.2: Perceptions of Overall DEI Aspects (Mean Ratings)\* - Graduate Students**

<b>Hostile</b>	<b>5.5</b>	<b>Friendly</b>
<b>Racist</b>	<b>5.2</b>	<b>Non-Racist</b>
<b>Homogenous</b>	<b>5.4</b>	<b>Diverse</b>
<b>Disrespectful</b>	<b>5.5</b>	<b>Respectful</b>
<b>Contentious</b>	<b>4.9</b>	<b>Collegial</b>
<b>Sexist</b>	<b>5.1</b>	<b>Non-Sexist</b>
<b>Individualistic</b>	<b>4.7</b>	<b>Collaborative</b>
<b>Competitive</b>	<b>4.7</b>	<b>Cooperative</b>
<b>Homophobic</b>	<b>5.5</b>	<b>Non-Homophobic</b>
<b>Unsupportive</b>	<b>5.2</b>	<b>Supportive</b>
<b>Ageist</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>Non-Ageist</b>
<b>Unwelcoming</b>	<b>5.4</b>	<b>Welcoming</b>
<b>Elitist</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>Non-Elitist</b>
<b>Transphobic</b>	<b>5.2</b>	<b>Non-Transphobic</b>
<b>Ableist</b>	<b>4.9</b>	<b>Accessible</b>

*\*Note: respondents chose one of seven radio buttons in the survey spaced equally between opposite attributes; for analysis purposes, a 7-point scale was used in which 1=negative attribute and 7=positive attribute, mean ratings are calculated based on this 7-point scale.*

Exploratory factor analysis (EFA) is a statistical technique that condenses data by grouping variables into factors (sets of variables) based on shared variance, the statistical index of the degree to which two variables are associated (shared variance is indicated by correlation coefficients). Thus, the goal of EFA is to identify related underlying constructs within the survey responses to help make the data more comprehensible and useful for practical applications. EFA was conducted on each set of data (per population) separately, and EFA results differed for each group. Thus, each groups' factors are unique and are comprised of different sets of aspects, for this reason, **it is not advisable to make direct comparisons between EFA results.**

The EFA conducted on the semantic differential items answered by population A identified two factors: (1) General Climate Elements, and (2) DEI Climate Elements. The variables that make up each of the factors are:

<b>Factor 1 General Climate Elements</b>
Hostile/Friendly
Disrespectful/Respectful
Contentious/Collegial
Individualistic/Collaborative
Competitive/Cooperative
Unsupportive/Supportive
Unwelcoming/Welcoming

<b>Factor 2 DEI Elements</b>
Racist/Non-Racist
Sexist/Non-Sexist
Homophobic/Non-Homophobic
Ageist/Non-Ageist
Transphobic/Non-Transphobic
Homogenous/Diverse

**Section A - Table 11.1: Perceptions of General Climate Elements (Factor 1: Mean Ratings) - Undergraduate Students**

	Total	Gender Identity			Race/Ethnicity	
		Woman	Man	Transgender/Non-Binary	White	Non-White
Factor 1 Average	4.7 (2213)	4.7 (1374)	4.7 (692)	4.4 (109)	4.7 (664)	4.7 (1510)

**Section A - Table 11.2: Perceptions of General Climate Elements (Factor 1: Mean Ratings) - Undergraduate Students - Detailed Race/Ethnicity**

	Total	African American/Black	AANAPISI	Hispanic/Latino/a	Another Race	White
Factor 1 Average	4.7 (2213)	4.7 (156)	4.7 (810)	4.8 (194)	4.6 (380)	4.7 (664)

**Section A - Table 11.3: Perceptions of General Climate Elements (Factor 1: Mean Ratings) - Graduate Students**

	Total	Gender Identity			Race/Ethnicity	
		Woman	Man	Transgender/Non-Binary	White	Non-White
Factor 1 Average	5.1 (645)	5.1 (425)	5.1 (195)	4.8 (14)	5.0 (253)	5.2 (373)

**Section A - Table 11.4: Perceptions of General Climate Elements (Factor 1: Mean Ratings) - Graduate Students - Detailed Race/Ethnicity**

	Total	African American/Black	AANAPISI	Hispanic/Latino/a	Another Race	White
Factor 1 Average	5.1 (645)	5.3 (69)	5.3 (197)	5.0 (51)	4.8 (73)	5.0 (253)

**Section A - Table 12.1: Perceptions of DEI Climate Elements (Factor 2: Mean Ratings) - Undergraduate Students**

	Total	Gender Identity			Race/Ethnicity	
		Woman	Man	Transgender/Non-Binary	White	Non-White
Factor 2 Average	5.1 (2214)	5.1 (1372)	5.3 (695)	4.5 (109)	5.2 (663)	5.1 (1512)

**Section A - Table 12.2: Perceptions of DEI Climate Elements (Factor 2: Mean Ratings) - Undergraduate Students - Detailed Race/Ethnicity**

	Total	African American/Black	AANAPISI	Hispanic/Latino/a	Another Race	White
Factor 2 Average	5.1 (2214)	4.9 (155)	5.1 (813)	5.2 (194)	5.1 (380)	5.2 (663)

**Section A - Table 12.3: Perceptions of DEI Climate Elements (Factor 2: Mean Ratings) - Graduate Students**

	Total	Gender Identity			Race/Ethnicity	
		Woman	Man	Transgender/Non-Binary	White	Non-White
Factor 2 Average	5.3 (645)	5.3 (427)	5.3 (193)	4.5 (14)	5.2 (254)	5.3 (372)

**Section A - Table 12.4: Perceptions of DEI Climate Elements (Factor 2: Mean Ratings) - Graduate Students - Detailed Race/Ethnicity**

	Total	African American/Black	AANAPISI	Hispanic/Latino/a	Another Race	White
Factor 2 Average	5.3 (645)	5.2 (69)	5.4 (196)	5.4 (52)	5.1 (72)	5.2 (254)



Survey participants were asked to respond to a series of questions about various aspects, experiences, and perceptions of working or studying at their campus. Individuals rated their level of agreement with each statement using the following five-point scale: Strongly Disagree (1), Disagree (2), Neither Agree Nor Disagree (3), Agree (4), Strongly Agree (5). The following table shows combined responses for “Agree” plus “Strongly Agree” (4 + 5) ratings.

**Section A - Table 13.1: Levels of Agreement with Statements About Campus Aspects (% Strongly Agree + Agree Responses) - Undergraduate Students**

	Total	Gender Identity			Race/Ethnicity	
		Woman	Man	Transgender/Non-Binary	White	Non-White
I feel valued as an individual at Rutgers	<b>45.8%</b> (995)	<b>48.0%</b> (651)	<b>45.5%</b> (307)	<b>25.7%</b> (27)	<b>43.6%</b> (285)	<b>47.2%</b> (698)
I feel I belong at Rutgers	<b>59.0%</b> (1282)	<b>60.9%</b> (827)	<b>57.5%</b> (388)	<b>47.6%</b> (50)	<b>60.6%</b> (395)	<b>58.7%</b> (869)
Rutgers has a strong commitment to diversity, equity, and inclusion	<b>64.4%</b> (1396)	<b>65.1%</b> (881)	<b>67.9%</b> (457)	<b>40.0%</b> (42)	<b>69.0%</b> (449)	<b>62.4%</b> (922)
I have considered leaving Rutgers because I felt isolated or unwelcomed	<b>20.8%</b> (451)	<b>20.9%</b> (284)	<b>18.1%</b> (122)	<b>30.5%</b> (32)	<b>22.7%</b> (148)	<b>19.9%</b> (295)
I am treated with respect at Rutgers	<b>74.5%</b> (1620)	<b>77.3%</b> (1047)	<b>72.3%</b> (489)	<b>61.1%</b> (66)	<b>74.8%</b> (489)	<b>74.6%</b> (1106)
I feel others don't value my opinions at Rutgers	<b>15.0%</b> (327)	<b>13.4%</b> (181)	<b>16.4%</b> (111)	<b>26.9%</b> (29)	<b>16.8%</b> (110)	<b>14.2%</b> (210)
Rutgers is a place where I am able to perform up to my full potential	<b>56.0%</b> (1217)	<b>57.1%</b> (773)	<b>57.2%</b> (386)	<b>38.0%</b> (41)	<b>58.6%</b> (383)	<b>55.4%</b> (819)
I have opportunities at Rutgers for academic success that are similar to those of my peers	<b>73.2%</b> (1591)	<b>73.7%</b> (999)	<b>73.2%</b> (495)	<b>68.5%</b> (74)	<b>78.1%</b> (510)	<b>71.4%</b> (1058)
I have found one or more communities or groups where I feel I belong at Rutgers	<b>60.0%</b> (1298)	<b>59.2%</b> (796)	<b>60.3%</b> (407)	<b>71.0%</b> (76)	<b>62.7%</b> (408)	<b>59.0%</b> (869)
There is too much emphasis put on issues of diversity, equity, and inclusion at Rutgers	<b>16.0%</b> (347)	<b>12.0%</b> (161)	<b>25.6%</b> (174)	<b>6.5%</b> (7)	<b>17.6%</b> (115)	<b>14.7%</b> (217)
Rutgers provides sufficient programs and resources to foster the success of a diverse student body	<b>60.4%</b> (1308)	<b>62.7%</b> (844)	<b>60.4%</b> (409)	<b>39.3%</b> (42)	<b>59.5%</b> (387)	<b>61.1%</b> (902)
I have to work harder than others to be valued equally at Rutgers	<b>27.7%</b> (598)	<b>28.0%</b> (375)	<b>25.6%</b> (173)	<b>33.6%</b> (36)	<b>20.6%</b> (134)	<b>30.8%</b> (452)
My experience at Rutgers has had a positive influence on my academic growth	<b>61.5%</b> (1328)	<b>62.5%</b> (838)	<b>60.9%</b> (411)	<b>53.3%</b> (57)	<b>66.6%</b> (434)	<b>59.6%</b> (875)

**Section A - Table 13.2: Levels of Agreement with Statements About Campus Aspects (% Strongly Agree + Agree Responses) - Undergraduate Students - Detailed Race/Ethnicity**

	<b>Total</b>	<b>African American/Black</b>	<b>AANAPISI</b>	<b>Hispanic/Latino/a</b>	<b>Another Race</b>	<b>White</b>
I feel valued as an individual at Rutgers	<b>45.8%</b> (995)	<b>39.9%</b> (59)	<b>49.6%</b> (397)	<b>48.1%</b> (91)	<b>43.2%</b> (161)	<b>43.6%</b> (285)
I feel I belong at Rutgers	<b>59.0%</b> (1282)	<b>48.6%</b> (72)	<b>60.6%</b> (484)	<b>58.7%</b> (111)	<b>58.3%</b> (218)	<b>60.6%</b> (395)
Rutgers has a strong commitment to diversity, equity, and inclusion	<b>64.4%</b> (1396)	<b>51.0%</b> (75)	<b>64.9%</b> (518)	<b>59.0%</b> (111)	<b>63.9%</b> (239)	<b>69.0%</b> (449)
I have considered leaving Rutgers because I felt isolated or unwelcomed	<b>20.8%</b> (451)	<b>27.0%</b> (40)	<b>18.3%</b> (146)	<b>21.6%</b> (41)	<b>19.5%</b> (73)	<b>22.7%</b> (148)
I am treated with respect at Rutgers	<b>74.5%</b> (1620)	<b>63.2%</b> (96)	<b>77.3%</b> (616)	<b>79.9%</b> (151)	<b>70.1%</b> (262)	<b>74.8%</b> (489)
I feel others don't value my opinions at Rutgers	<b>15.0%</b> (327)	<b>16.4%</b> (25)	<b>13.0%</b> (104)	<b>12.2%</b> (23)	<b>17.2%</b> (64)	<b>16.8%</b> (110)
Rutgers is a place where I am able to perform up to my full potential	<b>56.0%</b> (1217)	<b>55.9%</b> (85)	<b>52.6%</b> (419)	<b>62.2%</b> (117)	<b>56.7%</b> (211)	<b>58.6%</b> (383)
I have opportunities at Rutgers for academic success that are similar to those of my peers	<b>73.2%</b> (1591)	<b>70.4%</b> (107)	<b>72.6%</b> (579)	<b>66.1%</b> (125)	<b>70.9%</b> (265)	<b>78.1%</b> (510)
I have found one or more communities or groups where I feel I belong at Rutgers	<b>60.0%</b> (1298)	<b>53.3%</b> (81)	<b>60.0%</b> (477)	<b>52.2%</b> (97)	<b>61.9%</b> (229)	<b>62.7%</b> (408)
There is too much emphasis put on issues of diversity, equity, and inclusion at Rutgers	<b>16.0%</b> (347)	<b>11.9%</b> (18)	<b>14.8%</b> (118)	<b>11.1%</b> (21)	<b>19.2%</b> (71)	<b>17.6%</b> (115)
Rutgers provides sufficient programs and resources to foster the success of a diverse student body	<b>60.4%</b> (1308)	<b>54.3%</b> (82)	<b>63.0%</b> (500)	<b>61.4%</b> (116)	<b>58.9%</b> (219)	<b>59.5%</b> (387)
I have to work harder than others to be valued equally at Rutgers	<b>27.7%</b> (598)	<b>41.7%</b> (63)	<b>28.2%</b> (223)	<b>38.3%</b> (72)	<b>27.9%</b> (103)	<b>20.6%</b> (134)
My experience at Rutgers has had a positive influence on my academic growth	<b>61.5%</b> (1328)	<b>53.6%</b> (81)	<b>59.0%</b> (465)	<b>64.4%</b> (121)	<b>60.0%</b> (222)	<b>66.6%</b> (434)

**Section A - Table 13.3: Levels of Agreement with Statements About Campus Aspects (% Strongly Agree + Agree Responses) - Graduate Students**

	Total	Gender Identity			Race/Ethnicity	
		Woman	Man	Transgender/Non-Binary	White	Non-White
I feel valued as an individual at Rutgers	<b>62.7%</b> (400)	<b>64.0%</b> (269)	<b>62.9%</b> (122)	—	<b>60.4%</b> (154)	<b>64.7%</b> (236)
I feel I belong at Rutgers	<b>64.2%</b> (411)	<b>63.7%</b> (268)	<b>67.0%</b> (130)	<b>57.1%</b> (8)	<b>62.7%</b> (160)	<b>66.1%</b> (242)
Rutgers has a strong commitment to diversity, equity, and inclusion	<b>65.4%</b> (417)	<b>67.5%</b> (284)	<b>63.5%</b> (122)	<b>50.0%</b> (7)	<b>64.6%</b> (164)	<b>66.8%</b> (244)
I have considered leaving Rutgers because I felt isolated or unwelcomed	<b>14.1%</b> (90)	<b>14.3%</b> (60)	<b>12.4%</b> (24)	—	<b>14.2%</b> (36)	<b>12.9%</b> (47)
I am treated with respect at Rutgers	<b>81.7%</b> (522)	<b>82.4%</b> (346)	<b>80.4%</b> (156)	<b>85.7%</b> (12)	<b>78.7%</b> (200)	<b>85.0%</b> (312)
I feel others don't value my opinions at Rutgers	<b>13.9%</b> (89)	<b>13.1%</b> (55)	<b>16.0%</b> (31)	—	<b>15.3%</b> (39)	<b>11.7%</b> (43)
Rutgers is a place where I am able to perform up to my full potential	<b>67.2%</b> (430)	<b>69.4%</b> (292)	<b>64.4%</b> (125)	<b>64.3%</b> (9)	<b>69.8%</b> (178)	<b>66.2%</b> (243)
I have opportunities at Rutgers for academic success that are similar to those of my peers	<b>74.1%</b> (474)	<b>75.5%</b> (318)	<b>71.1%</b> (138)	<b>71.4%</b> (10)	<b>78.0%</b> (199)	<b>71.9%</b> (264)
I have found one or more communities or groups where I feel I belong at Rutgers	<b>53.9%</b> (341)	<b>52.0%</b> (217)	<b>56.5%</b> (108)	<b>71.4%</b> (10)	<b>50.8%</b> (128)	<b>56.5%</b> (205)
There is too much emphasis put on issues of diversity, equity, and inclusion at Rutgers	<b>17.8%</b> (113)	<b>14.1%</b> (59)	<b>26.8%</b> (51)	—	<b>14.2%</b> (36)	<b>19.0%</b> (69)
Rutgers provides sufficient programs and resources to foster the success of a diverse student body	<b>57.8%</b> (364)	<b>58.9%</b> (245)	<b>57.7%</b> (109)	<b>64.3%</b> (9)	<b>53.2%</b> (133)	<b>61.6%</b> (223)
I have to work harder than others to be valued equally at Rutgers	<b>26.3%</b> (166)	<b>24.6%</b> (103)	<b>31.2%</b> (59)	—	<b>17.0%</b> (43)	<b>33.0%</b> (119)
My experience at Rutgers has had a positive influence on my academic growth	<b>79.1%</b> (502)	<b>79.2%</b> (332)	<b>78.0%</b> (149)	<b>85.7%</b> (12)	<b>81.8%</b> (207)	<b>77.2%</b> (281)

**Section A - Table 13.4: Levels of Agreement with Statements About Campus Aspects (% Strongly Agree + Agree Responses) - Graduate Students - Detailed Race/Ethnicity**

	<b>Total</b>	<b>African American/Black</b>	<b>AANAPISI</b>	<b>Hispanic/Latino/a</b>	<b>Another Race</b>	<b>White</b>
I feel valued as an individual at Rutgers	<b>62.7%</b> (400)	<b>62.7%</b> (42)	<b>67.0%</b> (130)	<b>59.2%</b> (29)	<b>60.6%</b> (43)	<b>60.4%</b> (154)
I feel I belong at Rutgers	<b>64.2%</b> (411)	<b>67.6%</b> (46)	<b>69.6%</b> (135)	<b>55.1%</b> (27)	<b>58.3%</b> (42)	<b>62.7%</b> (160)
Rutgers has a strong commitment to diversity, equity, and inclusion	<b>65.4%</b> (417)	<b>51.5%</b> (35)	<b>70.1%</b> (136)	<b>79.6%</b> (39)	<b>59.2%</b> (42)	<b>64.6%</b> (164)
I have considered leaving Rutgers because I felt isolated or unwelcomed	<b>14.1%</b> (90)	<b>13.4%</b> (9)	<b>8.8%</b> (17)	<b>24.5%</b> (12)	<b>20.8%</b> (15)	<b>14.2%</b> (36)
I am treated with respect at Rutgers	<b>81.7%</b> (522)	<b>80.6%</b> (54)	<b>88.7%</b> (172)	<b>84.0%</b> (42)	<b>73.6%</b> (53)	<b>78.7%</b> (200)
I feel others don't value my opinions at Rutgers	<b>13.9%</b> (89)	<b>11.9%</b> (8)	<b>11.3%</b> (22)	<b>14.0%</b> (7)	<b>18.1%</b> (13)	<b>15.3%</b> (39)
Rutgers is a place where I am able to perform up to my full potential	<b>67.2%</b> (430)	<b>65.7%</b> (44)	<b>67.0%</b> (130)	<b>64.0%</b> (32)	<b>62.5%</b> (45)	<b>69.8%</b> (178)
I have opportunities at Rutgers for academic success that are similar to those of my peers	<b>74.1%</b> (474)	<b>65.7%</b> (44)	<b>74.7%</b> (145)	<b>70.0%</b> (35)	<b>68.1%</b> (49)	<b>78.0%</b> (199)
I have found one or more communities or groups where I feel I belong at Rutgers	<b>53.9%</b> (341)	<b>44.8%</b> (30)	<b>62.8%</b> (120)	<b>50.0%</b> (25)	<b>52.1%</b> (37)	<b>50.8%</b> (128)
There is too much emphasis put on issues of diversity, equity, and inclusion at Rutgers	<b>17.8%</b> (113)	<b>13.4%</b> (9)	<b>24.5%</b> (47)	<b>12.0%</b> (6)	<b>20.0%</b> (14)	<b>14.2%</b> (36)
Rutgers provides sufficient programs and resources to foster the success of a diverse student body	<b>57.8%</b> (364)	<b>58.2%</b> (39)	<b>65.1%</b> (125)	<b>63.3%</b> (31)	<b>50.0%</b> (35)	<b>53.2%</b> (133)
I have to work harder than others to be valued equally at Rutgers	<b>26.3%</b> (166)	<b>39.4%</b> (26)	<b>32.3%</b> (62)	<b>34.0%</b> (17)	<b>26.1%</b> (18)	<b>17.0%</b> (43)
My experience at Rutgers has had a positive influence on my academic growth	<b>79.1%</b> (502)	<b>82.1%</b> (55)	<b>76.6%</b> (147)	<b>80.0%</b> (40)	<b>71.8%</b> (51)	<b>81.8%</b> (207)

A few survey questions directly focused on interactions with others, as well as personal experiences with discriminatory events in the past 12 months. Survey participants first considered the characteristics of individuals at their campus with whom they interact in a meaningful way on a regular basis.

**Section A - Table 14.1: Frequency of Interactions with Diverse People in Past 12 Months (% Responses) - Undergraduate Students**

<i>Meaningful interactions with people...</i>	<b>Never</b>	<b>Seldom/Sometimes</b>	<b>Often/Very Often</b>
...whose religious beliefs are different than your own	<b>2.5%</b> (52)	<b>27.5%</b> (583)	<b>70.0%</b> (1482)
...whose political opinions are different from your own	<b>7.7%</b> (164)	<b>58.1%</b> (1229)	<b>34.2%</b> (724)
...who are immigrants or from an immigrant family	<b>2.8%</b> (59)	<b>30.7%</b> (650)	<b>66.5%</b> (1409)
...who are of a different nationality than your own	<b>2.1%</b> (44)	<b>24.0%</b> (508)	<b>73.9%</b> (1567)
...who are of a different race or ethnicity than your own	<b>1.3%</b> (28)	<b>16.5%</b> (349)	<b>82.2%</b> (1741)
...whose gender is different than your own	<b>3.1%</b> (65)	<b>24.2%</b> (514)	<b>72.7%</b> (1541)
...whose sexual orientation is different than your own	<b>3.8%</b> (80)	<b>35.4%</b> (750)	<b>60.8%</b> (1289)
...who are from a different social class	<b>2.9%</b> (62)	<b>37.4%</b> (791)	<b>59.6%</b> (1260)
...who have physical or other observable disabilities	<b>16.4%</b> (347)	<b>68.1%</b> (1444)	<b>15.5%</b> (328)
...who have learning, psychological, or other disabilities that are not readily apparent	<b>10.4%</b> (220)	<b>57.1%</b> (1207)	<b>32.4%</b> (685)

**Section A - Table 14.2: Frequency of Interactions with Diverse People in Past 12 Months (% Responses) - Graduate Students**

<i>Meaningful interactions with people...</i>	<b>Never</b>	<b>Seldom/Sometimes</b>	<b>Often/Very Often</b>
...whose religious beliefs are different than your own	<b>3.1%</b> (19)	<b>30.5%</b> (186)	<b>66.4%</b> (405)
...whose political opinions are different from your own	<b>7.2%</b> (44)	<b>58.6%</b> (356)	<b>34.2%</b> (208)
...who are immigrants or from an immigrant family	<b>3.7%</b> (23)	<b>34.4%</b> (211)	<b>61.9%</b> (380)
...who are of a different nationality than your own	<b>2.0%</b> (12)	<b>26.1%</b> (160)	<b>72.0%</b> (442)
...who are of a different race or ethnicity than your own	<b>1.3%</b> (8)	<b>19.9%</b> (122)	<b>78.8%</b> (484)
...whose gender is different than your own	<b>2.0%</b> (12)	<b>24.1%</b> (148)	<b>74.0%</b> (455)
...whose sexual orientation is different than your own	<b>4.8%</b> (29)	<b>40.0%</b> (244)	<b>55.2%</b> (337)
...who are from a different social class	<b>2.9%</b> (18)	<b>38.7%</b> (237)	<b>58.3%</b> (357)
...who have physical or other observable disabilities	<b>20.9%</b> (128)	<b>62.5%</b> (382)	<b>16.5%</b> (101)
...who have learning, psychological, or other disabilities that are not readily apparent	<b>13.1%</b> (80)	<b>54.5%</b> (332)	<b>32.3%</b> (197)

The survey continued with questions related to whether survey participants have personally felt or experienced some form of discrimination at their campus during the past 12 months.

**Section A - Table 15.1: Felt Discrimination in the Past 12 Months (% Responses) - Undergraduate Students**

	Total	Gender Identity			Race/Ethnicity	
		Woman	Man	Transgender/Non-Binary	White	Non-White
Yes	17.2% (367)	16.0% (213)	14.2% (94)	41.3% (43)	16.4% (105)	17.1% (248)
No	82.8% (1765)	84.0% (1118)	85.8% (567)	58.7% (61)	83.6% (534)	82.9% (1206)

**Section A - Table 15.2: Felt Discrimination in the Past 12 Months (% Responses) - Undergraduate Students - Detailed Race/Ethnicity**

	Total	African American/Black	AANAPISI	Hispanic/Latino/a	Another Race	White
Yes	17.2% (367)	28.3% (41)	14.3% (112)	16.1% (30)	20.5% (75)	16.4% (105)
No	82.8% (1765)	71.7% (104)	85.7% (673)	83.9% (156)	79.5% (291)	83.6% (534)

**Section A - Table 15.3: Felt Discrimination in the Past 12 Months (% Responses) - Graduate Students**

	Total	Gender Identity			Race/Ethnicity	
		Woman	Man	Transgender/Non-Binary	White	Non-White
Yes	14.5% (90)	13.7% (56)	13.2% (25)	38.5% (5)	13.5% (33)	14.4% (52)
No	85.5% (531)	86.3% (352)	86.8% (164)	61.5% (8)	86.5% (212)	85.6% (308)

**Section A - Table 15.4: Felt Discrimination in the Past 12 Months (% Responses) - Graduate Students - Detailed Race/Ethnicity**

	Total	African American/Black	AANAPISI	Hispanic/Latino/a	Another Race	White
Yes	14.5% (90)	14.7% (10)	13.8% (26)	18.8% (9)	17.4% (12)	13.5% (33)
No	85.5% (531)	85.3% (58)	86.2% (163)	81.2% (39)	82.6% (57)	86.5% (212)

**Section A - Table 16.1: Frequency of Experience of One or More Discriminatory Events (% Responses) - Undergraduate Students**

	Total	Gender Identity			Race/Ethnicity	
		Woman	Man	Transgender/Non-Binary	White	Non-White
Ability or disability status	<b>7.8%</b> (165)	<b>6.5%</b> (85)	<b>7.0%</b> (46)	<b>22.1%</b> (23)	<b>10.2%</b> (65)	<b>6.5%</b> (94)
Racial or ethnic identity	<b>21.9%</b> (461)	<b>23.2%</b> (305)	<b>19.7%</b> (129)	<b>16.3%</b> (17)	<b>10.9%</b> (69)	<b>26.5%</b> (381)
Sex	<b>19.0%</b> (401)	<b>21.9%</b> (288)	<b>10.7%</b> (70)	<b>32.7%</b> (34)	<b>20.9%</b> (133)	<b>18.1%</b> (261)
Sexual orientation	<b>10.0%</b> (212)	<b>7.6%</b> (100)	<b>9.5%</b> (62)	<b>36.5%</b> (38)	<b>11.8%</b> (75)	<b>9.3%</b> (134)
Gender identity or gender expression	<b>11.6%</b> (244)	<b>9.0%</b> (118)	<b>7.6%</b> (50)	<b>55.8%</b> (58)	<b>14.2%</b> (90)	<b>10.6%</b> (152)
Veteran status	<b>1.6%</b> (33)	—	<b>3.5%</b> (23)	—	—	<b>1.9%</b> (27)
Relationship status	<b>11.1%</b> (233)	<b>10.5%</b> (137)	<b>12.7%</b> (83)	—	<b>12.4%</b> (79)	<b>10.4%</b> (149)
National origin	<b>12.4%</b> (262)	<b>12.0%</b> (158)	<b>13.3%</b> (87)	<b>9.6%</b> (10)	<b>4.6%</b> (29)	<b>15.8%</b> (227)
Age	<b>8.3%</b> (175)	<b>7.7%</b> (101)	<b>8.5%</b> (56)	—	<b>8.2%</b> (52)	<b>8.3%</b> (119)
Religion	<b>13.9%</b> (294)	<b>14.5%</b> (190)	<b>13.7%</b> (90)	—	<b>13.3%</b> (85)	<b>13.9%</b> (200)
Height or weight	<b>16.5%</b> (349)	<b>17.2%</b> (226)	<b>15.2%</b> (100)	<b>16.3%</b> (17)	<b>15.4%</b> (98)	<b>17.1%</b> (246)
Political orientation	<b>14.2%</b> (299)	<b>11.7%</b> (154)	<b>18.1%</b> (119)	<b>18.3%</b> (19)	<b>17.9%</b> (114)	<b>12.1%</b> (174)
Social class	<b>12.1%</b> (255)	<b>11.9%</b> (156)	<b>11.4%</b> (75)	<b>15.4%</b> (16)	<b>9.7%</b> (62)	<b>12.9%</b> (186)
Mental health status	<b>16.8%</b> (355)	<b>17.3%</b> (227)	<b>12.6%</b> (83)	<b>29.8%</b> (31)	<b>17.4%</b> (111)	<b>16.4%</b> (236)

**Section A - Table 16.2: Frequency of Experience of One or More Discriminatory Events (% Responses) - Undergraduate Students - Detailed Race/Ethnicity**

	<b>Total</b>	<b>African American/Black</b>	<b>AANAPISI</b>	<b>Hispanic/Latino/a</b>	<b>Another Race</b>	<b>White</b>
Ability or disability status	<b>7.8%</b> (165)	—	<b>4.3%</b> (33)	<b>5.9%</b> (11)	<b>12.5%</b> (45)	<b>10.2%</b> (65)
Racial or ethnic identity	<b>21.9%</b> (461)	<b>38.2%</b> (55)	<b>25.9%</b> (201)	<b>25.4%</b> (47)	<b>24.1%</b> (87)	<b>10.9%</b> (69)
Sex	<b>19.0%</b> (401)	<b>14.6%</b> (21)	<b>17.4%</b> (135)	<b>17.2%</b> (32)	<b>21.6%</b> (78)	<b>20.9%</b> (133)
Sexual orientation	<b>10.0%</b> (212)	<b>8.4%</b> (12)	<b>8.0%</b> (62)	<b>11.3%</b> (21)	<b>11.4%</b> (41)	<b>11.8%</b> (75)
Gender identity or gender expression	<b>11.6%</b> (244)	<b>16.0%</b> (23)	<b>8.6%</b> (67)	<b>8.6%</b> (16)	<b>13.3%</b> (48)	<b>14.2%</b> (90)
Veteran status	<b>1.6%</b> (33)	—	<b>2.1%</b> (16)	—	—	—
Relationship status	<b>11.1%</b> (233)	<b>11.1%</b> (16)	<b>9.7%</b> (75)	<b>9.2%</b> (17)	<b>12.6%</b> (45)	<b>12.4%</b> (79)
National origin	<b>12.4%</b> (262)	<b>12.5%</b> (18)	<b>17.7%</b> (137)	<b>15.6%</b> (29)	<b>13.1%</b> (47)	<b>4.6%</b> (29)
Age	<b>8.3%</b> (175)	<b>11.1%</b> (16)	<b>6.8%</b> (53)	<b>10.3%</b> (19)	<b>9.7%</b> (35)	<b>8.2%</b> (52)
Religion	<b>13.9%</b> (294)	<b>10.4%</b> (15)	<b>13.5%</b> (105)	<b>9.1%</b> (17)	<b>19.5%</b> (70)	<b>13.3%</b> (85)
Height or weight	<b>16.5%</b> (349)	<b>16.0%</b> (23)	<b>18.6%</b> (145)	<b>14.6%</b> (27)	<b>15.2%</b> (55)	<b>15.4%</b> (98)
Political orientation	<b>14.2%</b> (299)	<b>11.1%</b> (16)	<b>10.8%</b> (84)	<b>9.7%</b> (18)	<b>18.4%</b> (66)	<b>17.9%</b> (114)
Social class	<b>12.1%</b> (255)	<b>20.1%</b> (29)	<b>10.3%</b> (80)	<b>16.8%</b> (31)	<b>14.1%</b> (51)	<b>9.7%</b> (62)
Mental health status	<b>16.8%</b> (355)	<b>17.4%</b> (25)	<b>15.1%</b> (117)	<b>14.1%</b> (26)	<b>20.6%</b> (74)	<b>17.4%</b> (111)



**Section A - Table 16.3: Frequency of Experience of One or More Discriminatory Events (% Responses) - Graduate Students**

	Total	Gender Identity			Race/Ethnicity	
		Woman	Man	Transgender/Non-Binary	White	Non-White
Ability or disability status	6.6% (41)	7.1% (29)	—	—	8.5% (21)	5.3% (19)
Racial or ethnic identity	18.8% (117)	18.4% (75)	19.6% (37)	—	9.8% (24)	25.1% (90)
Sex	10.9% (68)	11.5% (47)	9.0% (17)	—	15.0% (37)	7.8% (28)
Sexual orientation	4.2% (26)	3.2% (13)	5.3% (10)	—	6.1% (15)	3.1% (11)
Gender identity or gender expression	6.9% (43)	5.4% (22)	6.4% (12)	—	8.9% (22)	5.3% (19)
Veteran status	—	—	—	—	—	—
Relationship status	4.5% (28)	4.2% (17)	5.3% (10)	—	4.5% (11)	4.5% (16)
National origin	11.6% (72)	10.0% (41)	15.1% (28)	—	—	17.1% (61)
Age	10.8% (67)	10.8% (44)	10.2% (19)	—	10.9% (27)	10.1% (36)
Religion	5.8% (36)	6.1% (25)	5.9% (11)	—	6.1% (15)	5.9% (21)
Height or weight	7.3% (45)	5.9% (24)	10.1% (19)	—	6.9% (17)	7.8% (28)
Political orientation	8.4% (52)	6.9% (28)	11.1% (21)	—	9.7% (24)	7.3% (26)
Social class	10.5% (65)	11.5% (47)	7.4% (14)	—	7.7% (19)	12.6% (45)
Mental health status	11.1% (69)	11.7% (48)	8.0% (15)	—	11.7% (29)	10.9% (39)

**Section A - Table 16.4: Frequency of Experience of One or More Discriminatory Events (% Responses) - Graduate Students - Detailed Race/Ethnicity**

	<b>Total</b>	<b>African American/Black</b>	<b>AANAPISI</b>	<b>Hispanic/Latino/a</b>	<b>Another Race</b>	<b>White</b>
Ability or disability status	<b>6.6%</b> (41)	—	<b>5.3%</b> (10)	—	—	<b>8.5%</b> (21)
Racial or ethnic identity	<b>18.8%</b> (117)	<b>28.4%</b> (19)	<b>25.9%</b> (49)	<b>31.2%</b> (15)	—	<b>9.8%</b> (24)
Sex	<b>10.9%</b> (68)	—	<b>10.1%</b> (19)	—	—	<b>15.0%</b> (37)
Sexual orientation	<b>4.2%</b> (26)	—	—	—	—	<b>6.1%</b> (15)
Gender identity or gender expression	<b>6.9%</b> (43)	—	<b>5.9%</b> (11)	—	—	<b>8.9%</b> (22)
Veteran status	—	—	—	—	—	—
Relationship status	<b>4.5%</b> (28)	—	<b>5.9%</b> (11)	—	—	<b>4.5%</b> (11)
National origin	<b>11.6%</b> (72)	—	<b>23.0%</b> (43)	—	—	—
Age	<b>10.8%</b> (67)	—	<b>7.5%</b> (14)	—	<b>15.9%</b> (11)	<b>10.9%</b> (27)
Religion	<b>5.8%</b> (36)	—	<b>5.9%</b> (11)	—	—	<b>6.1%</b> (15)
Height or weight	<b>7.3%</b> (45)	—	<b>7.9%</b> (15)	—	—	<b>6.9%</b> (17)
Political orientation	<b>8.4%</b> (52)	—	<b>7.4%</b> (14)	—	—	<b>9.7%</b> (24)
Social class	<b>10.5%</b> (65)	—	<b>10.6%</b> (20)	—	—	<b>7.7%</b> (19)
Mental health status	<b>11.1%</b> (69)	—	<b>11.2%</b> (21)	—	<b>14.7%</b> (10)	<b>11.7%</b> (29)

**Section A - Table 17.1: Levels of Agreement with Statements About Campus Aspects (% Strongly Agree + Agree Responses) - Undergraduate Students**

	Total	Gender Identity			Race/Ethnicity	
		Woman	Man	Transgender/Non-Binary	White	Non-White
I am treated fairly and equitably on campus in general	76.6% (1624)	78.5% (1039)	76.1% (499)	65.4% (68)	80.2% (509)	75.4% (1092)

**Section A - Table 17.2: Levels of Agreement with Statements About Campus Aspects (% Strongly Agree + Agree Responses) - Undergraduate Students - Detailed Race/Ethnicity**

	Total	African American/Black	AANAPISI	Hispanic/Latino/a	Another Race	White
I am treated fairly and equitably on campus in general	76.6% (1624)	63.9% (92)	78.6% (615)	72.4% (134)	73.4% (268)	80.2% (509)

**Section A - Table 17.3: Levels of Agreement with Statements About Campus Aspects (% Strongly Agree + Agree Responses) - Graduate Students**

	Total	Gender Identity			Race/Ethnicity	
		Woman	Man	Transgender/Non-Binary	White	Non-White
I am treated fairly and equitably on campus in general	77.6% (482)	80.4% (329)	73.9% (139)	61.5% (8)	78.0% (192)	78.0% (280)

**Section A - Table 17.4: Levels of Agreement with Statements About Campus Aspects (% Strongly Agree + Agree Responses) - Graduate Students - Detailed Race/Ethnicity**

	Total	African American/Black	AANAPISI	Hispanic/Latino/a	Another Race	White
I am treated fairly and equitably on campus in general	77.6% (482)	69.1% (47)	83.5% (157)	66.7% (32)	75.4% (52)	78.0% (192)

# Section A - Part VI: DEI Perceptions & Experiences

After responding to questions thinking about the campus as a whole, the next few items inquired about students' communities prior to attending Rutgers University, with questions addressing the racial/ethnic composition of the community in which they grew up, and the composition of the school they graduated from.

The tables in Part VI are specific to population A (students) only.

**Section A - Table 18.1: Racial/Ethnic Composition of Previous Community (% Responses) - Undergraduate Students**

How would you describe the racial/ethnic composition of the community where you grew up?	Total	Gender Identity			Race/Ethnicity	
		Woman	Man	Transgender/Non-Binary	White	Non-White
All or nearly all people of my race/ethnicity	13.7% (330)	14.9% (223)	11.8% (90)	10.5% (12)	18.3% (134)	11.5% (188)
Mostly people of my race/ethnicity	23.7% (571)	23.5% (351)	23.6% (179)	30.7% (35)	36.6% (268)	18.1% (297)
Half my race/ethnicity and half people of other races/ethnicities	29.4% (708)	28.7% (429)	30.4% (231)	27.2% (31)	33.5% (245)	27.8% (455)
Mostly people of other races/ethnicities	23.0% (554)	22.9% (342)	23.6% (179)	22.8% (26)	10.0% (73)	28.8% (472)
All or nearly all people of other races/ethnicities	10.1% (244)	10.0% (149)	10.7% (81)	8.8% (10)	1.6% (12)	13.8% (226)

**Section A - Table 18.2: Racial/Ethnic Composition of Previous Community (% Responses) - Undergraduate Students - Detailed Race/Ethnicity**

How would you describe the racial/ethnic composition of the community where you grew up?	Total	African American/Black	AANAPISI	Hispanic/Latino/a	Another Race	White
All or nearly all people of my race/ethnicity	13.7% (330)	17.2% (30)	11.6% (102)	15.1% (31)	8.0% (33)	18.3% (134)
Mostly people of my race/ethnicity	23.7% (571)	19.0% (33)	15.1% (133)	25.4% (52)	20.6% (85)	36.6% (268)
Half my race/ethnicity and half people of other races/ethnicities	29.4% (708)	28.2% (49)	26.5% (233)	29.3% (60)	28.9% (119)	33.5% (245)
Mostly people of other races/ethnicities	23.0% (554)	25.3% (44)	32.0% (281)	20.5% (42)	27.2% (112)	10.0% (73)
All or nearly all people of other races/ethnicities	10.1% (244)	10.3% (18)	14.7% (129)	9.8% (20)	15.3% (63)	1.6% (12)

**Section A - Table 18.3: Racial/Ethnic Composition of Previous Community (% Responses) - Graduate Students**

<i>How would you describe the racial/ethnic composition of the community where you grew up?</i>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Gender Identity</b>			<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>	
		<b>Woman</b>	<b>Man</b>	<b>Transgender/Non-Binary</b>	<b>White</b>	<b>Non-White</b>
All or nearly all people of my race/ethnicity	<b>29.4%</b> (205)	<b>28.9%</b> (135)	<b>31.9%</b> (65)	—	<b>31.9%</b> (89)	<b>27.6%</b> (110)
Mostly people of my race/ethnicity	<b>31.1%</b> (217)	<b>30.0%</b> (140)	<b>32.8%</b> (67)	<b>35.7%</b> (5)	<b>42.3%</b> (118)	<b>24.1%</b> (96)
Half my race/ethnicity and half people of other races/ethnicities	<b>22.4%</b> (156)	<b>22.9%</b> (107)	<b>21.6%</b> (44)	—	<b>20.4%</b> (57)	<b>23.9%</b> (95)
Mostly people of other races/ethnicities	<b>10.8%</b> (75)	<b>12.0%</b> (56)	<b>7.8%</b> (16)	—	<b>3.6%</b> (10)	<b>15.6%</b> (62)
All or nearly all people of other races/ethnicities	<b>6.3%</b> (44)	<b>6.2%</b> (29)	<b>5.9%</b> (12)	—	<b>1.8%</b> (5)	<b>8.8%</b> (35)

**Section A - Table 18.4: Racial/Ethnic Composition of Previous Community (% Responses) - Graduate Students - Detailed Race/Ethnicity**

<i>How would you describe the racial/ethnic composition of the community where you grew up?</i>	<b>Total</b>	<b>African American/Black</b>	<b>AANAPISI</b>	<b>Hispanic/Latino/a</b>	<b>Another Race</b>	<b>White</b>
All or nearly all people of my race/ethnicity	<b>29.4%</b> (205)	<b>33.3%</b> (25)	<b>30.6%</b> (64)	<b>16.1%</b> (9)	<b>23.7%</b> (18)	<b>31.9%</b> (89)
Mostly people of my race/ethnicity	<b>31.1%</b> (217)	<b>21.3%</b> (16)	<b>25.8%</b> (54)	<b>21.4%</b> (12)	<b>22.4%</b> (17)	<b>42.3%</b> (118)
Half my race/ethnicity and half people of other races/ethnicities	<b>22.4%</b> (156)	<b>21.3%</b> (16)	<b>18.7%</b> (39)	<b>33.9%</b> (19)	<b>32.9%</b> (25)	<b>20.4%</b> (57)
Mostly people of other races/ethnicities	<b>10.8%</b> (75)	<b>18.7%</b> (14)	<b>13.4%</b> (28)	<b>25.0%</b> (14)	<b>10.5%</b> (8)	<b>3.6%</b> (10)
All or nearly all people of other races/ethnicities	<b>6.3%</b> (44)	—	<b>11.5%</b> (24)	—	<b>10.5%</b> (8)	<b>1.8%</b> (5)

**Section A - Table 19.1: Racial/Ethnic Composition of School (% Responses) - Undergraduate Students**

How would you describe the racial/ethnic composition of the school that you graduated from prior to attending Rutgers?	Total	Gender Identity			Race/Ethnicity	
		Woman	Man	Transgender/Non-Binary	White	Non-White
All or nearly all people of my race/ethnicity	9.6% (229)	10.2% (152)	8.2% (62)	11.4% (13)	15.2% (111)	6.9% (112)
Mostly people of my race/ethnicity	17.8% (425)	17.3% (257)	18.5% (140)	21.9% (25)	28.2% (205)	13.3% (216)
Half my race/ethnicity and half people of other races/ethnicities	32.6% (781)	32.1% (476)	32.6% (247)	35.1% (40)	40.7% (296)	29.3% (477)
Mostly people of other races/ethnicities	29.3% (700)	29.7% (441)	29.3% (222)	21.9% (25)	13.9% (101)	36.1% (587)
All or nearly all people of other races/ethnicities	10.8% (258)	10.6% (157)	11.5% (87)	9.6% (11)	2.1% (15)	14.5% (236)

**Section A - Table 19.2: Racial/Ethnic Composition of School (% Responses) - Undergraduate Students - Detailed Race/Ethnicity**

How would you describe the racial/ethnic composition of the school that you graduated from prior to attending Rutgers?	Total	African American/Black	AANAPISI	Hispanic/Latino/a	Another Race	White
All or nearly all people of my race/ethnicity	9.6% (229)	6.4% (11)	6.2% (54)	8.3% (17)	8.8% (36)	15.2% (111)
Mostly people of my race/ethnicity	17.8% (425)	14.5% (25)	13.2% (115)	12.3% (25)	13.4% (55)	28.2% (205)
Half my race/ethnicity and half people of other races/ethnicities	32.6% (781)	30.6% (53)	30.3% (264)	24.0% (49)	28.5% (117)	40.7% (296)
Mostly people of other races/ethnicities	29.3% (700)	34.1% (59)	37.8% (329)	36.8% (75)	32.1% (132)	13.9% (101)
All or nearly all people of other races/ethnicities	10.8% (258)	14.5% (25)	12.5% (109)	18.6% (38)	17.3% (71)	2.1% (15)

**Section A - Table 19.3: Racial/Ethnic Composition of School (% Responses) - Graduate Students**

<i>How would you describe the racial/ethnic composition of the school that you graduated from prior to attending Rutgers?</i>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Gender Identity</b>			<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>	
		<b>Woman</b>	<b>Man</b>	<b>Transgender/Non-Binary</b>	<b>White</b>	<b>Non-White</b>
All or nearly all people of my race/ethnicity	<b>14.5%</b> (100)	<b>13.6%</b> (63)	<b>17.3%</b> (35)	—	<b>13.0%</b> (36)	<b>15.7%</b> (62)
Mostly people of my race/ethnicity	<b>27.0%</b> (186)	<b>25.1%</b> (116)	<b>30.2%</b> (61)	<b>35.7%</b> (5)	<b>42.8%</b> (118)	<b>16.5%</b> (65)
Half my race/ethnicity and half people of other races/ethnicities	<b>25.9%</b> (179)	<b>27.0%</b> (125)	<b>23.3%</b> (47)	<b>35.7%</b> (5)	<b>35.5%</b> (98)	<b>19.3%</b> (76)
Mostly people of other races/ethnicities	<b>21.6%</b> (149)	<b>22.9%</b> (106)	<b>19.8%</b> (40)	—	<b>6.2%</b> (17)	<b>32.0%</b> (126)
All or nearly all people of other races/ethnicities	<b>11.0%</b> (76)	<b>11.4%</b> (53)	<b>9.4%</b> (19)	—	<b>2.5%</b> (7)	<b>16.5%</b> (65)

**Section A - Table 19.4: Racial/Ethnic Composition of School (% Responses) - Graduate Students - Detailed Race/Ethnicity**

<i>How would you describe the racial/ethnic composition of the school that you graduated from prior to attending Rutgers?</i>	<b>Total</b>	<b>African American/Black</b>	<b>AANAPISI</b>	<b>Hispanic/Latino/a</b>	<b>Another Race</b>	<b>White</b>
All or nearly all people of my race/ethnicity	<b>14.5%</b> (100)	<b>18.9%</b> (14)	<b>20.3%</b> (42)	—	<b>6.6%</b> (5)	<b>13.0%</b> (36)
Mostly people of my race/ethnicity	<b>27.0%</b> (186)	—	<b>22.2%</b> (46)	<b>10.9%</b> (6)	<b>18.4%</b> (14)	<b>42.8%</b> (118)
Half my race/ethnicity and half people of other races/ethnicities	<b>25.9%</b> (179)	<b>24.3%</b> (18)	<b>13.5%</b> (28)	<b>29.1%</b> (16)	<b>25.0%</b> (19)	<b>35.5%</b> (98)
Mostly people of other races/ethnicities	<b>21.6%</b> (149)	<b>35.1%</b> (26)	<b>31.4%</b> (65)	<b>36.4%</b> (20)	<b>25.0%</b> (19)	<b>6.2%</b> (17)
All or nearly all people of other races/ethnicities	<b>11.0%</b> (76)	<b>18.9%</b> (14)	<b>12.6%</b> (26)	<b>18.2%</b> (10)	<b>25.0%</b> (19)	<b>2.5%</b> (7)

Next, survey participants were instructed to respond to a few questions relating to their experiences in classrooms and classroom settings, interactions with faculty, staff, and administrators, and - lastly - their perceptions about how fairly they feel they are treated in different campus settings.

**Section A - Table 24.1: Levels of Agreement with Statements About Classroom Aspects (% Strongly Agree + Agree Responses) - Undergraduate Students**

<i>In my classrooms and classroom settings (e.g., lectures, seminars, labs, workshops, studio sessions, etc.), I feel listened to by:</i>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Gender Identity</b>			<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>	
		<b>Woman</b>	<b>Man</b>	<b>Transgender/Non-Binary</b>	<b>White</b>	<b>Non-White</b>
Faculty instructors (faculty/postdocs/lecturers)	<b>73.8%</b> (1533)	<b>74.4%</b> (965)	<b>72.6%</b> (466)	<b>75.0%</b> (78)	<b>76.3%</b> (479)	<b>72.8%</b> (1027)
Student instructors (e.g., GSIs, TAs, etc.)	<b>74.8%</b> (1552)	<b>76.5%</b> (992)	<b>71.3%</b> (458)	<b>74.8%</b> (77)	<b>75.7%</b> (474)	<b>74.6%</b> (1054)
Other students	<b>70.2%</b> (1459)	<b>72.2%</b> (938)	<b>66.5%</b> (427)	<b>65.4%</b> (68)	<b>71.8%</b> (451)	<b>69.8%</b> (986)
Staff members	<b>64.0%</b> (1327)	<b>65.1%</b> (843)	<b>63.2%</b> (406)	<b>59.2%</b> (61)	<b>65.0%</b> (406)	<b>63.7%</b> (900)

**Section A - Table 24.2: Levels of Agreement with Statements About Classroom Aspects (% Strongly Agree + Agree Responses) - Undergraduate Students - Detailed Race/Ethnicity**

<i>In my classrooms and classroom settings (e.g., lectures, seminars, labs, workshops, studio sessions, etc.), I feel listened to by:</i>	<b>Total</b>	<b>African American/Black</b>	<b>AANAPISI</b>	<b>Hispanic/Latino/a</b>	<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>	
					<b>Another Race</b>	<b>White</b>
Faculty instructors (faculty/postdocs/lecturers)	<b>73.8%</b> (1533)	<b>68.6%</b> (96)	<b>71.8%</b> (544)	<b>71.0%</b> (130)	<b>76.8%</b> (275)	<b>76.3%</b> (479)
Student instructors (e.g., GSIs, TAs, etc.)	<b>74.8%</b> (1552)	<b>67.1%</b> (94)	<b>75.1%</b> (571)	<b>72.1%</b> (132)	<b>76.8%</b> (274)	<b>75.7%</b> (474)
Other students	<b>70.2%</b> (1459)	<b>58.3%</b> (81)	<b>70.7%</b> (538)	<b>67.8%</b> (124)	<b>72.1%</b> (258)	<b>71.8%</b> (451)
Staff members	<b>64.0%</b> (1327)	<b>58.6%</b> (82)	<b>64.5%</b> (490)	<b>65.0%</b> (119)	<b>62.2%</b> (222)	<b>65.0%</b> (406)



**Section A - Table 24.3: Levels of Agreement with Statements About Classroom Aspects (% Strongly Agree + Agree Responses) - Graduate Students**

<i>In my classrooms and classroom settings (e.g., lectures, seminars, labs, workshops, studio sessions, etc.), I feel listened to by:</i>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Gender Identity</b>			<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>	
		<b>Woman</b>	<b>Man</b>	<b>Transgender/Non-Binary</b>	<b>White</b>	<b>Non-White</b>
Faculty instructors (faculty/postdocs/lecturers)	<b>82.7%</b> (508)	<b>82.7%</b> (335)	<b>82.7%</b> (153)	<b>84.6%</b> (11)	<b>83.7%</b> (206)	<b>82.7%</b> (291)
Student instructors (e.g., GSIs, TAs, etc.)	<b>66.0%</b> (402)	<b>67.2%</b> (270)	<b>64.1%</b> (118)	<b>69.2%</b> (9)	<b>62.4%</b> (151)	<b>69.2%</b> (243)
Other students	<b>82.4%</b> (507)	<b>82.3%</b> (334)	<b>82.7%</b> (153)	<b>76.9%</b> (10)	<b>83.7%</b> (206)	<b>82.4%</b> (291)
Staff members	<b>74.2%</b> (455)	<b>75.5%</b> (305)	<b>71.4%</b> (132)	<b>76.9%</b> (10)	<b>72.7%</b> (178)	<b>75.9%</b> (267)

**Section A - Table 24.4: Levels of Agreement with Statements About Classroom Aspects (% Strongly Agree + Agree Responses) - Graduate Students - Detailed Race/Ethnicity**

<i>In my classrooms and classroom settings (e.g., lectures, seminars, labs, workshops, studio sessions, etc.), I feel listened to by:</i>	<b>Total</b>	<b>African American/Black</b>	<b>AANAPISI</b>	<b>Hispanic/Latino/a</b>	<b>Another Race</b>	<b>White</b>
		Faculty instructors (faculty/postdocs/lecturers)	<b>82.7%</b> (508)	<b>84.4%</b> (54)	<b>83.9%</b> (156)	<b>72.9%</b> (35)
Student instructors (e.g., GSIs, TAs, etc.)	<b>66.0%</b> (402)	<b>67.7%</b> (44)	<b>72.7%</b> (136)	<b>58.7%</b> (27)	<b>64.2%</b> (43)	<b>62.4%</b> (151)
Other students	<b>82.4%</b> (507)	<b>73.8%</b> (48)	<b>84.4%</b> (157)	<b>77.1%</b> (37)	<b>85.3%</b> (58)	<b>83.7%</b> (206)
Staff members	<b>74.2%</b> (455)	<b>76.9%</b> (50)	<b>78.5%</b> (146)	<b>68.1%</b> (32)	<b>69.1%</b> (47)	<b>72.7%</b> (178)

**Section A - Table 25.1: Levels of Agreement with Statements About Outside Classroom Aspects (% Strongly Agree + Agree Responses) - Undergraduate Students**

<i>In spaces outside the classroom, I feel valued by:</i>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Gender Identity</b>			<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>	
		<b>Woman</b>	<b>Man</b>	<b>Transgender/Non-Binary</b>	<b>White</b>	<b>Non-White</b>
Faculty instructors (faculty/postdocs/lecturers)	<b>60.4%</b> (1246)	<b>61.1%</b> (788)	<b>60.2%</b> (384)	<b>52.9%</b> (54)	<b>62.1%</b> (388)	<b>59.6%</b> (836)
Other faculty members	<b>55.2%</b> (1138)	<b>55.9%</b> (720)	<b>55.7%</b> (356)	<b>41.6%</b> (42)	<b>54.8%</b> (340)	<b>55.2%</b> (774)
Student instructors (e.g., GSIs, TAs, etc.)	<b>61.5%</b> (1266)	<b>62.5%</b> (804)	<b>60.1%</b> (382)	<b>57.8%</b> (59)	<b>61.3%</b> (381)	<b>61.7%</b> (863)
Other students	<b>67.8%</b> (1396)	<b>69.0%</b> (887)	<b>65.8%</b> (419)	<b>67.0%</b> (69)	<b>69.0%</b> (429)	<b>67.4%</b> (944)
Staff members	<b>54.2%</b> (1113)	<b>55.5%</b> (713)	<b>54.3%</b> (344)	<b>40.2%</b> (41)	<b>55.3%</b> (342)	<b>53.8%</b> (752)
University administrators	<b>35.5%</b> (731)	<b>36.2%</b> (466)	<b>37.4%</b> (238)	<b>17.8%</b> (18)	<b>33.4%</b> (208)	<b>36.4%</b> (509)
Other university mentors/advisors	<b>50.7%</b> (1044)	<b>52.4%</b> (674)	<b>50.4%</b> (321)	<b>34.3%</b> (35)	<b>49.4%</b> (307)	<b>51.3%</b> (719)

**Section A - Table 25.2: Levels of Agreement with Statements About Outside Classroom Aspects (% Strongly Agree + Agree Responses) - Undergraduate Students - Detailed Race/Ethnicity**

<i>In spaces outside the classroom, I feel valued by:</i>	<b>Total</b>	<b>African</b>	<b>AANAPISI</b>	<b>Hispanic/Latino/a</b>	<b>Another Race</b>	<b>White</b>
		<b>American/Black</b>				
Faculty instructors (faculty/postdocs/lecturers)	<b>60.4%</b> (1246)	<b>51.4%</b> (72)	<b>60.7%</b> (458)	<b>57.5%</b> (104)	<b>61.6%</b> (218)	<b>62.1%</b> (388)
Other faculty members	<b>55.2%</b> (1138)	<b>47.1%</b> (66)	<b>57.1%</b> (432)	<b>58.0%</b> (105)	<b>53.4%</b> (189)	<b>54.8%</b> (340)
Student instructors (e.g., GSIs, TAs, etc.)	<b>61.5%</b> (1266)	<b>52.9%</b> (74)	<b>63.3%</b> (477)	<b>58.7%</b> (105)	<b>63.3%</b> (224)	<b>61.3%</b> (381)
Other students	<b>67.8%</b> (1396)	<b>61.2%</b> (85)	<b>69.0%</b> (521)	<b>62.2%</b> (112)	<b>68.9%</b> (244)	<b>69.0%</b> (429)
Staff members	<b>54.2%</b> (1113)	<b>46.0%</b> (64)	<b>55.2%</b> (415)	<b>56.9%</b> (103)	<b>51.7%</b> (183)	<b>55.3%</b> (342)
University administrators	<b>35.5%</b> (731)	<b>34.3%</b> (48)	<b>38.9%</b> (293)	<b>40.3%</b> (73)	<b>29.9%</b> (106)	<b>33.4%</b> (208)
Other university mentors/advisors	<b>50.7%</b> (1044)	<b>49.3%</b> (69)	<b>52.9%</b> (399)	<b>57.5%</b> (104)	<b>45.9%</b> (163)	<b>49.4%</b> (307)

**Section A - Table 25.3: Levels of Agreement with Statements About Outside Classroom Aspects (% Strongly Agree + Agree Responses) - Graduate Students**

<i>In spaces outside the classroom, I feel valued by:</i>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Gender Identity</b>			<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>	
		<b>Woman</b>	<b>Man</b>	<b>Transgender/Non-Binary</b>	<b>White</b>	<b>Non-White</b>
Faculty instructors (faculty/postdocs/lecturers)	<b>72.7%</b> (445)	<b>72.1%</b> (290)	<b>74.2%</b> (138)	<b>69.2%</b> (9)	<b>73.0%</b> (178)	<b>73.0%</b> (257)
Other faculty members	<b>65.7%</b> (397)	<b>65.0%</b> (258)	<b>68.5%</b> (126)	<b>53.8%</b> (7)	<b>64.3%</b> (155)	<b>67.4%</b> (234)
Student instructors (e.g., GSIs, TAs, etc.)	<b>58.2%</b> (352)	<b>57.3%</b> (228)	<b>59.2%</b> (109)	<b>76.9%</b> (10)	<b>53.3%</b> (128)	<b>62.2%</b> (217)
Other students	<b>78.3%</b> (479)	<b>78.4%</b> (316)	<b>76.8%</b> (142)	<b>84.6%</b> (11)	<b>77.6%</b> (190)	<b>79.0%</b> (278)
Staff members	<b>67.5%</b> (411)	<b>67.5%</b> (270)	<b>69.2%</b> (128)	<b>53.8%</b> (7)	<b>66.7%</b> (162)	<b>68.7%</b> (241)
University administrators	<b>49.5%</b> (302)	<b>50.1%</b> (201)	<b>49.7%</b> (92)	—	<b>38.5%</b> (94)	<b>56.9%</b> (199)
Other university mentors/advisors	<b>60.3%</b> (367)	<b>60.4%</b> (241)	<b>60.8%</b> (113)	<b>61.5%</b> (8)	<b>57.4%</b> (139)	<b>62.7%</b> (220)

**Section A - Table 25.4: Levels of Agreement with Statements About Outside Classroom Aspects (% Strongly Agree + Agree Responses) - Graduate Students - Detailed Race/Ethnicity**

<i>In spaces outside the classroom, I feel valued by:</i>	<b>Total</b>	<b>African American/Black</b>			<b>Another Race</b>	<b>White</b>
		<b>African American/Black</b>	<b>AANAPISI</b>	<b>Hispanic/Latino/a</b>		
Faculty instructors (faculty/postdocs/lecturers)	<b>72.7%</b> (445)	<b>69.2%</b> (45)	<b>76.3%</b> (142)	<b>66.0%</b> (31)	<b>69.1%</b> (47)	<b>73.0%</b> (178)
Other faculty members	<b>65.7%</b> (397)	<b>58.5%</b> (38)	<b>72.3%</b> (133)	<b>60.9%</b> (28)	<b>62.1%</b> (41)	<b>64.3%</b> (155)
Student instructors (e.g., GSIs, TAs, etc.)	<b>58.2%</b> (352)	<b>56.9%</b> (37)	<b>67.7%</b> (126)	<b>48.9%</b> (22)	<b>56.7%</b> (38)	<b>53.3%</b> (128)
Other students	<b>78.3%</b> (479)	<b>72.3%</b> (47)	<b>81.7%</b> (152)	<b>72.3%</b> (34)	<b>80.6%</b> (54)	<b>77.6%</b> (190)
Staff members	<b>67.5%</b> (411)	<b>63.1%</b> (41)	<b>72.0%</b> (134)	<b>60.9%</b> (28)	<b>65.7%</b> (44)	<b>66.7%</b> (162)
University administrators	<b>49.5%</b> (302)	<b>60.9%</b> (39)	<b>60.2%</b> (112)	<b>41.3%</b> (19)	<b>52.9%</b> (36)	<b>38.5%</b> (94)
Other university mentors/advisors	<b>60.3%</b> (367)	<b>67.7%</b> (44)	<b>65.6%</b> (122)	<b>56.5%</b> (26)	<b>50.0%</b> (34)	<b>57.4%</b> (139)

## Adverse Affects of COVID-19

A series of questions were designed to understand how much the community has been adversely affected by COVID-19 in a variety of ways over the past three years. Participants were asked to indicate if each of these areas were affected “Not at all”, “Somewhat”, or “A great deal”. The following tables break down a variety of COVID-19 impacts.

**Section A - Table 27.1: Adverse COVID-19 Effects - Undergraduate Students**

	Total	Gender Identity			Race/Ethnicity	
		Woman	Man	Transgender/Non-Binary	White	Non-White
<b>My own physical health</b>						
Not at all affected	32.7% (674)	28.4% (365)	41.3% (264)	32.7% (34)	32.9% (205)	32.3% (452)
Somewhat affected	50.0% (1030)	52.0% (668)	46.3% (296)	49.0% (51)	51.8% (323)	49.5% (693)
Affected a great deal	17.3% (357)	19.5% (251)	12.4% (79)	18.3% (19)	15.2% (95)	18.3% (256)
<b>My own mental health</b>						
Not at all affected	16.2% (334)	10.4% (134)	29.7% (189)	8.7% (9)	12.5% (78)	17.5% (245)
Somewhat affected	40.6% (835)	40.8% (524)	42.1% (268)	32.7% (34)	40.4% (252)	40.5% (566)
Affected a great deal	43.2% (889)	48.7% (625)	28.3% (180)	58.7% (61)	47.0% (293)	42.0% (587)
<b>The health of a loved one</b>						
Not at all affected	31.9% (655)	29.1% (373)	36.9% (234)	33.7% (35)	30.8% (192)	32.4% (452)
Somewhat affected	43.0% (883)	43.7% (561)	42.9% (272)	33.7% (35)	44.8% (279)	42.2% (588)
Affected a great deal	25.2% (517)	27.2% (349)	20.2% (128)	32.7% (34)	24.4% (152)	25.4% (355)
<b>My ability to maintain social relationships</b>						
Not at all affected	23.5% (484)	18.2% (234)	35.3% (225)	17.3% (18)	23.9% (149)	23.2% (325)
Somewhat affected	41.5% (855)	43.5% (558)	37.8% (241)	42.3% (44)	43.3% (270)	41.0% (573)
Affected a great deal	35.0% (720)	38.3% (492)	26.8% (171)	40.4% (42)	32.7% (204)	35.8% (501)
<b>My financial situation</b>						
Not at all affected	41.2% (848)	36.9% (474)	47.6% (304)	54.8% (57)	45.3% (282)	39.2% (549)
Somewhat affected	35.5% (730)	37.6% (483)	32.9% (210)	26.9% (28)	34.7% (216)	35.9% (503)
Affected a great deal	23.4% (481)	25.4% (326)	19.4% (124)	18.3% (19)	20.1% (125)	24.9% (348)
<b>My housing situation</b>						
Not at all affected	66.5% (1369)	64.9% (832)	68.3% (436)	78.8% (82)	70.8% (441)	64.6% (904)
Somewhat affected	22.7% (468)	23.9% (306)	21.9% (140)	10.6% (11)	19.7% (123)	24.1% (337)
Affected a great deal	10.7% (221)	11.2% (144)	9.7% (62)	10.6% (11)	9.5% (59)	11.3% (158)
<b>My work or academic performance</b>						
Not at all affected	20.0% (413)	17.3% (222)	27.4% (175)	11.5% (12)	21.0% (131)	19.4% (272)
Somewhat affected	41.6% (856)	42.2% (542)	41.1% (262)	39.4% (41)	42.1% (262)	41.3% (578)
Affected a great deal	38.4% (791)	40.5% (520)	31.5% (201)	49.0% (51)	36.9% (230)	39.3% (550)
<b>My experience of discrimination related to one or more of my identities</b>						
Not at all affected	72.7% (1496)	70.7% (907)	78.8% (502)	63.5% (66)	83.0% (517)	68.1% (952)
Somewhat affected	19.3% (397)	20.7% (266)	15.9% (101)	20.2% (21)	12.7% (79)	22.4% (313)
Affected a great deal	8.0% (164)	8.5% (109)	5.3% (34)	16.3% (17)	4.3% (27)	9.4% (132)

**Section A - Table 27.2: Adverse COVID-19 Effects - Undergraduate Students - Detailed Race/Ethnicity**

	Total	African American/Black	AANAPISI	Hispanic/Latino/a	Another Race	White
<b>My own physical health</b>						
Not at all affected	32.7% (674)	41.3% (57)	30.7% (232)	33.3% (60)	32.7% (116)	32.9% (205)
Somewhat affected	50.0% (1030)	36.2% (50)	52.1% (394)	50.6% (91)	47.3% (168)	51.8% (323)
Affected a great deal	17.3% (357)	22.5% (31)	17.2% (130)	16.1% (29)	20.0% (71)	15.2% (95)
<b>My own mental health</b>						
Not at all affected	16.2% (334)	16.7% (23)	19.1% (144)	20.6% (37)	13.8% (49)	12.5% (78)
Somewhat affected	40.6% (835)	34.8% (48)	43.2% (325)	36.1% (65)	39.7% (141)	40.4% (252)
Affected a great deal	43.2% (889)	48.6% (67)	37.7% (284)	43.3% (78)	46.5% (165)	47.0% (293)
<b>The health of a loved one</b>						
Not at all affected	31.9% (655)	32.8% (45)	33.2% (250)	30.0% (54)	31.6% (112)	30.8% (192)
Somewhat affected	43.0% (883)	40.9% (56)	44.0% (331)	36.1% (65)	41.2% (146)	44.8% (279)
Affected a great deal	25.2% (517)	26.3% (36)	22.7% (171)	33.9% (61)	27.1% (96)	24.4% (152)
<b>My ability to maintain social relationships</b>						
Not at all affected	23.5% (484)	21.2% (29)	22.6% (171)	26.1% (47)	23.7% (84)	23.9% (149)
Somewhat affected	41.5% (855)	47.4% (65)	41.9% (316)	33.3% (60)	40.0% (142)	43.3% (270)
Affected a great deal	35.0% (720)	31.4% (43)	35.5% (268)	40.6% (73)	36.3% (129)	32.7% (204)
<b>My financial situation</b>						
Not at all affected	41.2% (848)	31.9% (44)	44.2% (334)	25.6% (46)	38.6% (137)	45.3% (282)
Somewhat affected	35.5% (730)	36.2% (50)	35.5% (268)	36.7% (66)	36.1% (128)	34.7% (216)
Affected a great deal	23.4% (481)	31.9% (44)	20.3% (153)	37.8% (68)	25.4% (90)	20.1% (125)
<b>My housing situation</b>						
Not at all affected	66.5% (1369)	57.2% (79)	65.4% (494)	58.9% (106)	68.1% (241)	70.8% (441)
Somewhat affected	22.7% (468)	27.5% (38)	24.8% (187)	26.1% (47)	20.6% (73)	19.7% (123)
Affected a great deal	10.7% (221)	15.2% (21)	9.8% (74)	15.0% (27)	11.3% (40)	9.5% (59)
<b>My work or academic performance</b>						
Not at all affected	20.0% (413)	16.7% (23)	20.8% (157)	14.4% (26)	20.0% (71)	21.0% (131)
Somewhat affected	41.6% (856)	39.9% (55)	43.0% (325)	38.3% (69)	40.3% (143)	42.1% (262)
Affected a great deal	38.4% (791)	43.5% (60)	36.2% (273)	47.2% (85)	39.7% (141)	36.9% (230)
<b>My experience of discrimination related to one or more of my identities</b>						
Not at all affected	72.7% (1496)	68.1% (94)	65.9% (497)	71.7% (129)	71.1% (251)	83.0% (517)
Somewhat affected	19.3% (397)	18.8% (26)	24.8% (187)	21.7% (39)	18.7% (66)	12.7% (79)
Affected a great deal	8.0% (164)	13.0% (18)	9.3% (70)	6.7% (12)	10.2% (36)	4.3% (27)

**Section A - Table 27.3: Adverse COVID-19 Effects - Graduate Students**

	Total	Gender Identity			Race/Ethnicity	
		Woman	Man	Transgender/Non-Binary	White	Non-White
<b>My own physical health</b>						
Not at all affected	35.4% (216)	33.2% (134)	41.8% (77)	—	37.1% (91)	33.9% (119)
Somewhat affected	51.6% (315)	53.7% (217)	46.7% (86)	69.2% (9)	53.1% (130)	51.0% (179)
Affected a great deal	13.1% (80)	13.1% (53)	11.4% (21)	—	9.8% (24)	15.1% (53)
<b>My own mental health</b>						
Not at all affected	19.2% (117)	17.6% (71)	23.9% (44)	—	13.9% (34)	22.3% (78)
Somewhat affected	46.4% (283)	47.4% (191)	46.2% (85)	46.2% (6)	51.4% (126)	44.3% (155)
Affected a great deal	34.4% (210)	35.0% (141)	29.9% (55)	46.2% (6)	34.7% (85)	33.4% (117)
<b>The health of a loved one</b>						
Not at all affected	33.2% (202)	29.6% (119)	39.7% (73)	38.5% (5)	36.7% (90)	30.6% (107)
Somewhat affected	42.9% (261)	45.8% (184)	37.0% (68)	53.8% (7)	40.8% (100)	44.9% (157)
Affected a great deal	24.0% (146)	24.6% (99)	23.4% (43)	—	22.4% (55)	24.6% (86)
<b>My ability to maintain social relationships</b>						
Not at all affected	29.2% (178)	28.2% (114)	32.2% (59)	—	29.0% (71)	28.8% (101)
Somewhat affected	45.9% (280)	48.5% (196)	42.1% (77)	38.5% (5)	46.5% (114)	46.7% (164)
Affected a great deal	24.9% (152)	23.3% (94)	25.7% (47)	38.5% (5)	24.5% (60)	24.5% (86)
<b>My financial situation</b>						
Not at all affected	37.5% (229)	35.4% (143)	43.7% (80)	—	44.1% (108)	33.1% (116)
Somewhat affected	35.4% (216)	35.9% (145)	32.8% (60)	69.2% (9)	32.7% (80)	38.0% (133)
Affected a great deal	27.0% (165)	28.7% (116)	23.5% (43)	—	23.3% (57)	28.9% (101)
<b>My housing situation</b>						
Not at all affected	61.6% (376)	63.1% (255)	60.7% (111)	46.2% (6)	68.2% (167)	57.1% (200)
Somewhat affected	26.4% (161)	26.2% (106)	25.7% (47)	38.5% (5)	22.0% (54)	30.3% (106)
Affected a great deal	12.0% (73)	10.6% (43)	13.7% (25)	—	9.8% (24)	12.6% (44)
<b>My work or academic performance</b>						
Not at all affected	33.2% (203)	33.2% (134)	35.9% (66)	—	38.8% (95)	29.6% (104)
Somewhat affected	42.1% (257)	42.6% (172)	40.8% (75)	46.2% (6)	40.4% (99)	43.6% (153)
Affected a great deal	24.7% (151)	24.3% (98)	23.4% (43)	38.5% (5)	20.8% (51)	26.8% (94)
<b>My experience of discrimination related to one or more of my identities</b>						
Not at all affected	78.2% (477)	79.9% (322)	77.2% (142)	46.2% (6)	88.6% (217)	71.4% (250)
Somewhat affected	13.9% (85)	12.7% (51)	15.8% (29)	38.5% (5)	7.3% (18)	18.9% (66)
Affected a great deal	7.9% (48)	7.4% (30)	7.1% (13)	—	4.1% (10)	9.7% (34)

**Section A - Table 27.4: Adverse COVID-19 Effects - Graduate Students - Detailed Race/Ethnicity**

	Total	African American/Black	AANAPISI	Hispanic/Latino/a	Another Race	White
<b>My own physical health</b>						
Not at all affected	35.4% (216)	35.9% (23)	32.6% (61)	36.2% (17)	33.3% (22)	37.1% (91)
Somewhat affected	51.6% (315)	46.9% (30)	56.7% (106)	40.4% (19)	45.5% (30)	53.1% (130)
Affected a great deal	13.1% (80)	17.2% (11)	10.7% (20)	23.4% (11)	21.2% (14)	9.8% (24)
<b>My own mental health</b>						
Not at all affected	19.2% (117)	21.9% (14)	24.7% (46)	12.8% (6)	25.8% (17)	13.9% (34)
Somewhat affected	46.4% (283)	42.2% (27)	47.8% (89)	44.7% (21)	28.8% (19)	51.4% (126)
Affected a great deal	34.4% (210)	35.9% (23)	27.4% (51)	42.6% (20)	45.5% (30)	34.7% (85)
<b>The health of a loved one</b>						
Not at all affected	33.2% (202)	17.5% (11)	33.7% (63)	29.8% (14)	36.9% (24)	36.7% (90)
Somewhat affected	42.9% (261)	54.0% (34)	44.9% (84)	38.3% (18)	35.4% (23)	40.8% (100)
Affected a great deal	24.0% (146)	28.6% (18)	21.4% (40)	31.9% (15)	27.7% (18)	22.4% (55)
<b>My ability to maintain social relationships</b>						
Not at all affected	29.2% (178)	25.0% (16)	31.6% (59)	29.8% (14)	26.2% (17)	29.0% (71)
Somewhat affected	45.9% (280)	51.6% (33)	45.5% (85)	44.7% (21)	40.0% (26)	46.5% (114)
Affected a great deal	24.9% (152)	23.4% (15)	23.0% (43)	25.5% (12)	33.8% (22)	24.5% (60)
<b>My financial situation</b>						
Not at all affected	37.5% (229)	20.3% (13)	38.7% (72)	34.0% (16)	30.3% (20)	44.1% (108)
Somewhat affected	35.4% (216)	34.4% (22)	39.8% (74)	31.9% (15)	34.8% (23)	32.7% (80)
Affected a great deal	27.0% (165)	45.3% (29)	21.5% (40)	34.0% (16)	34.8% (23)	23.3% (57)
<b>My housing situation</b>						
Not at all affected	61.6% (376)	51.6% (33)	57.0% (106)	61.7% (29)	60.6% (40)	68.2% (167)
Somewhat affected	26.4% (161)	29.7% (19)	32.3% (60)	27.7% (13)	21.2% (14)	22.0% (54)
Affected a great deal	12.0% (73)	18.8% (12)	10.8% (20)	10.6% (5)	18.2% (12)	9.8% (24)
<b>My work or academic performance</b>						
Not at all affected	33.2% (203)	23.4% (15)	33.2% (62)	21.3% (10)	30.3% (20)	38.8% (95)
Somewhat affected	42.1% (257)	42.2% (27)	42.8% (80)	44.7% (21)	43.9% (29)	40.4% (99)
Affected a great deal	24.7% (151)	34.4% (22)	24.1% (45)	34.0% (16)	25.8% (17)	20.8% (51)
<b>My experience of discrimination related to one or more of my identities</b>						
Not at all affected	78.2% (477)	68.8% (44)	70.6% (132)	71.7% (33)	74.2% (49)	88.6% (217)
Somewhat affected	13.9% (85)	23.4% (15)	20.9% (39)	13.0% (6)	10.6% (7)	7.3% (18)
Affected a great deal	7.9% (48)	7.8% (5)	8.6% (16)	15.2% (7)	15.2% (10)	4.1% (10)

## Section B — Part I: Data Collection Methods & Results

The population specific to each section of this report is noted in each section header. Section B includes the results for the following:

- Population B: Employees
  - All full-time staff (including the Rutgers University Foundation) and faculty (including part-time) employed at the institution by November 1, 2022.
  - Post-doctoral trainees and associates were surveyed as staff.
  - Participants must have been 18 years old or older.

The following population specific data collection methods were used to maximize quality in this survey.

### Respondent Incentives

To encourage participation, all eligible study participants were entered into a random drawing to win one of fifty \$25 value gift cards or one of 50 “Work Towards Inclusion” sweatshirts or an iPad. The random drawings were conducted after data collection was completed, and the incentives were delivered by the university.

### Data Collection Schedule

The overall data collection design protocol included:

- Invitation - emailed on March 20, 2023
- Reminder 1 - emailed on March 24, 2023
- Reminder 2 — emailed on March 27, 2023
- Reminder 3 - emailed on March 31, 2023
- Reminder 4 - emailed on April 3, 2023

A second stage effort followed with a nonresponse analysis that defined the sample for a mailed reminder letter and two reminder emails. The stage two sample included faculty and staff non-responders who were male or non-White male, dependent upon campus location. The mailed reminder letter went out on April 14, 2023. The two reminder emails were sent on May 10 and 17, 2023.

The dates identified above for each data collection invitation represent the date that the first communications (of each type) were sent. While most of the communications would have been sent the same day, depending on the time of day and any necessary data quality checks performed, it is possible that some individuals may have received their communications on the next calendar day.



## Survey Completion Time

Determining the actual time taken to participate in a web-based survey is not a straightforward calculation; however, standard practices were employed to calculate the average length of time for respondents to complete this web-based survey.

The completion time for the questionnaire was calculated by computing the elapsed time between when the participant initially logged in and when they clicked submit on the final page of the web survey. Because it was possible for participants to leave the survey and return to it later (returning to where they left off), which would lead to extended time durations “in” the survey, only individuals who completed the full survey in one session were included in this calculation.

Additionally, some participants may have completed a portion of the survey and remained logged in, but they may have been called away from the survey or distracted by other tasks. As such, we often find a significant number of outliers in the total time variable. As such, our standard practice to identify the outliers is to determine the median number of minutes spent in the survey by those who completed the survey in one session. A top end outlier range was then set to be three-times the median value. For example, if the initial median completion time was 15 minutes; anyone who took more than 45 minutes was considered an outlier who likely did not spend that full time working on the questionnaire. Anyone who took above three times the median time were also excluded from the final length calculation. This is a standard practice in the survey research industry to estimate an accurate time to complete a web-based survey.

Web survey completion timetables show final estimates for the length of the web-based survey among the population identified in this section of the report.

**Section B - Table 1.1: Web Survey Completion Time - Staff**

	Mean Time (minutes)	Median Time (minutes)
Staff	14 . 6	13

**Section B - Table 1.2: Web Survey Completion Time - Faculty**

	Mean Time (minutes)	Median Time (minutes)
Faculty	14	13

## Section B - Part II: Dispositions & Response Rates

Disposition codes, response rates, and completion rates presented in this report are based on Standard Definitions as described by The American Association for Public Opinion Research (AAPOR) in their 2011 publication: *Standard Definitions: Final Dispositions of Case Codes and Outcome Rates for Surveys, 7th edition*.

### Final Study Dispositions

Survey dispositions were defined as follows:

- **Login:** An individual who clicked to open the survey but did not consent to participate; these cases were treated as equal to any other nonresponse.
- **Partial:** An individual who consented but did not click “Submit Results” at the end of the survey.
- **Complete:** An individual who consented to participate and who clicked through the entire survey (answering all or some questions), completed the incentive questions and clicked “Submit Results.”
- **Ineligible:** A case initially thought eligible to participate, but determined to not be eligible (e.g., due to not being employed on the eligibility date); ineligible cases were removed from the denominator of all response rate calculations.

### Response Rates

Response rates for the survey were calculated as follows:

- **Response Rate:** Number of completes (c) plus the number of partials (p) divided by the eligible (e) sample size. This calculation follows AAPOR response rate calculation #2:  $((c+p)/e)$ .
- **Completion Rate:** Number of completes (c) divided by the sum of completes (c) plus partials (p):  $(c/(c+p))$ .

An individual is considered to have responded to the survey if their submission met the stated criteria to be considered a complete or a partial. Data from all such cases is included in this report. Response and completion rates are shown for the total number of individuals at Rutgers University who responded to the survey.

**Section B Table 2.1: Response & Completion Rates - Staff**

	Eligible Sample	Partial Responses	Complete Responses	Response Rate	Completion Rate
Staff	3132	180	1125	41.7%	86.2%

**Section B Table 2.2: Response & Completion Rates - Faculty**

	Eligible Sample	Partial Responses	Complete Responses	Response Rate	Completion Rate
Faculty	4596	204	1230	31.2%	85.8%

## Section B — Part III: Results

### Reading the Results

Because the study was designed in part to provide insights and information that could be used to assist in developing programs, key comparison groups are included in the tables. Summary tables in this report include a total column named “Total.” This column shows the combined data of all individuals who completed the survey from among the population defined by this report.

Due to the nature of the voluntary confidential survey, respondents were not required to answer any substantive question. Only the consent question was required to proceed into the survey. If a potential respondent did not consent to participate, they were not shown subsequent survey questions.

**Because participants could choose to skip any question(s) they did not wish to answer, the number of respondents in data tables varies by question.** Additionally, we have included partial responses in these results, so some drop-off as participants continued through the questionnaire is expected.

The data shown in tables throughout this report are population level data (parameters). Statistical testing is not required in a census. Due to this, any differences observed (e.g., between men and women), represent true differences in the population. The magnitude of any observed differences should be interpreted based on the context of the measure and the potential for that measure to be impacted by nonresponse.

To preserve confidentiality, the following data suppression strategy was used:

- A. If any population within a unit did not have 15 or more responses from a specific population (i.e. undergraduate students, graduate students), all data for that population is suppressed in this report.
- B. In any table past Table 5.1 (Part V and beyond), individual cells with fewer than 5 reporting cases has been suppressed. Where appropriate throughout the report, this is indicated in the tables by a dash (–) symbol.

### Interpreting the Results

This effort is intended to provide the institution with the data and results so that local individuals can use them, together with their local knowledge of these issues, to come up with interpretations and meaning.

## Section B - Part IV: Demographics

To best understand the survey results, it is important to get an understanding of who completed the survey. The first section of the survey asked about several background and demographic elements. Characteristics of the responding population identified in the header of this report are shown in the Demographics tables that follow.

**Section B - Table 3.1: Demographics - Staff**

	Staff
<b>Age</b>	
Average (Years)	46.2 (1255)
<b>Sex</b>	
Male	27.4% (340)
Female	71.9% (892)
Preferred response not listed	0.6% (8)
<b>Gender Identity</b>	
Man	26.8% (334)
Woman	71.2% (887)
Transgender/Gender Non-Conforming	1.0% (12)
Preferred response not listed	1.0% (13)
<b>Sexual Orientation</b>	
Heterosexual	86.6% (1058)
LGBQA+†	13.4% (164)
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>	
African American/Black	13.1% (161)
Asian American/Asian	10.3% (127)
Hispanic/Latino/a	7.9% (97)
Middle Eastern/North African	1.7% (21)
Native American/Alaskan Native	0.2% (3)
Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	0.3% (4)
White	57.7% (710)
More Than One Race/Ethnicity	6.7% (82)
Preferred response not listed	2.1% (26)
<b>U.S. Born</b>	
Yes	77.6% (951)
<b>Generation Status</b>	
First generation in US	34.9% (430)

† Includes the survey categories of Bisexual, Gay/Lesbian, Queer, Questioning, Asexual, and Preferred response not listed.

**Section B - Table 3.2: Demographics - Staff**

	<b>Staff</b>
<b>Religiosity</b>	
Religious and spiritual	<b>37.1%</b> (449)
Religious but not spiritual	<b>5.0%</b> (61)
Spiritual but not religious	<b>35.9%</b> (434)
Neither spiritual nor religious	<b>21.9%</b> (265)
<b>Religious Affiliation</b>	
Atheist	<b>7.0%</b> (83)
Agnostic	<b>6.4%</b> (76)
Bahá'í	—
Buddhist	<b>1.9%</b> (23)
Catholic	<b>29.0%</b> (345)
Christian (nonspecific)	<b>21.8%</b> (259)
Confucian	<b>0.1%</b> (1)
Hindu	<b>2.7%</b> (32)
Jewish	<b>6.2%</b> (74)
Muslim	<b>2.4%</b> (28)
Native American Tradition(s)	<b>0.2%</b> (2)
Protestant	<b>4.0%</b> (48)
Sikh	<b>0.2%</b> (2)
Taoist	<b>0.1%</b> (1)
The Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints (Mormons)	<b>0.3%</b> (3)
Wiccan	<b>1.0%</b> (12)
Zoroastrian	—
Nothing in particular	<b>11.3%</b> (135)
Something else	<b>5.5%</b> (66)

**Section B - Table 3.3: Demographics - Staff**

Staff	
Disability	
Yes	<b>10.6%</b> (130)
Disability Type	
Acquired/Traumatic Brain Injury	<b>3.1%</b> (4)
Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder	<b>24.6%</b> (32)
Autism Spectrum	<b>4.6%</b> (6)
Blind/Low Vision	<b>3.1%</b> (4)
Deaf/Hard of hearing	<b>3.1%</b> (4)
Cognitive or Learning Disability	<b>7.7%</b> (10)
Chronic Illness/Medical/Neurological Condition	<b>33.1%</b> (43)
Mental Health/Psychological Condition	<b>40.0%</b> (52)
Physical/Mobility Impairment	<b>20.0%</b> (26)
Speech/Communication Condition	<b>0.8%</b> (1)
Sensory Impairment	<b>2.3%</b> (3)
Other Disability	<b>10.8%</b> (14)
Military Service	
Current or past service	<b>0.9%</b> (11)
Political Affiliation	
Very liberal	<b>14.3%</b> (172)
Liberal	<b>32.3%</b> (389)
Slightly liberal	<b>13.0%</b> (157)
Moderate/Middle of the road	<b>19.6%</b> (236)
Slightly Conservative	<b>5.6%</b> (67)
Conservative	<b>5.8%</b> (70)
Very conservative	<b>1.1%</b> (13)
Not thought about it/Don't know	<b>8.3%</b> (100)
Highest Degree Level	
High school diploma or GED	<b>6.3%</b> (78)
Two-year college degree (Associate's)	<b>6.2%</b> (76)
Four-year college degree (Bachelor's)	<b>29.5%</b> (363)
Post-Graduate	<b>56.2%</b> (691)
Other degree	<b>1.8%</b> (22)

**Section B - Table 3.4: Demographics - Faculty**

Faculty	
Age	
Average (Years)	<b>46.8</b> (1388)
Sex	
Male	<b>45.7%</b> (626)
Female	<b>52.3%</b> (716)
Preferred response not listed	<b>2.0%</b> (27)
Gender Identity	
Man	<b>45.1%</b> (619)
Woman	<b>50.9%</b> (698)
Transgender/Gender Non-Conforming	<b>1.0%</b> (14)
Preferred response not listed	<b>2.9%</b> (40)
Sexual Orientation	
Heterosexual	<b>80.7%</b> (1086)
LGBQA+†	<b>19.3%</b> (260)
Race/Ethnicity	
African American/Black	<b>6.0%</b> (81)
Asian American/Asian	<b>16.9%</b> (228)
Hispanic/Latino/a	<b>4.6%</b> (62)
Middle Eastern/North African	<b>2.4%</b> (32)
Native American/Alaskan Native	<b>0.4%</b> (5)
Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	<b>0.2%</b> (3)
White	<b>58.8%</b> (796)
More Than One Race/Ethnicity	<b>6.8%</b> (92)
Preferred response not listed	<b>4.0%</b> (54)
U.S. Born	
Yes	<b>62.2%</b> (837)
Generation Status	
First generation in US	<b>45.4%</b> (612)

† Includes the survey categories of Bisexual, Gay/Lesbian, Queer, Questioning, Asexual, and Preferred response not listed.

**Section B - Table 3.5: Demographics - Faculty**

	<b>Faculty</b>
<b>Religiosity</b>	
Religious and spiritual	<b>24.3%</b> (317)
Religious but not spiritual	<b>4.4%</b> (57)
Spiritual but not religious	<b>31.3%</b> (409)
Neither spiritual nor religious	<b>40.1%</b> (524)
<b>Religious Affiliation</b>	
Atheist	<b>11.3%</b> (142)
Agnostic	<b>15.2%</b> (191)
Bahá'í	<b>0.1%</b> (1)
Buddhist	<b>2.6%</b> (33)
Catholic	<b>14.5%</b> (182)
Christian (nonspecific)	<b>13.1%</b> (165)
Confucian	<b>0.1%</b> (1)
Hindu	<b>3.9%</b> (49)
Jewish	<b>10.0%</b> (126)
Muslim	<b>3.7%</b> (46)
Native American Tradition(s)	<b>0.2%</b> (3)
Protestant	<b>6.1%</b> (77)
Sikh	<b>0.1%</b> (1)
Taoist	<b>0.2%</b> (3)
The Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints (Mormons)	<b>0.2%</b> (3)
Wiccan	<b>0.1%</b> (1)
Zoroastrian	<b>0.2%</b> (3)
Nothing in particular	<b>13.3%</b> (167)
Something else	<b>5.1%</b> (64)



**Section B - Table 3.6: Demographics - Faculty**

Faculty	
Disability	
Yes	<b>12.7%</b> (169)
Disability Type	
Acquired/Traumatic Brain Injury	<b>3.0%</b> (5)
Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder	<b>28.4%</b> (48)
Autism Spectrum	<b>7.7%</b> (13)
Blind/Low Vision	<b>3.0%</b> (5)
Deaf/Hard of hearing	<b>10.7%</b> (18)
Cognitive or Learning Disability	<b>7.1%</b> (12)
Chronic Illness/Medical/Neurological Condition	<b>33.1%</b> (56)
Mental Health/Psychological Condition	<b>37.3%</b> (63)
Physical/Mobility Impairment	<b>18.9%</b> (32)
Speech/Communication Condition	<b>0.6%</b> (1)
Sensory Impairment	<b>1.8%</b> (3)
Other Disability	<b>7.7%</b> (13)
Military Service	
Current or past service	<b>1.8%</b> (24)
Political Affiliation	
Very liberal	<b>28.8%</b> (377)
Liberal	<b>35.3%</b> (462)
Slightly liberal	<b>11.2%</b> (147)
Moderate/Middle of the road	<b>12.1%</b> (158)
Slightly Conservative	<b>3.9%</b> (51)
Conservative	<b>2.4%</b> (32)
Very conservative	<b>0.6%</b> (8)
Not thought about it/Don't know	<b>5.6%</b> (73)
Highest Degree Level	
High school diploma or GED	<b>0.1%</b> (2)
Two-year college degree (Associate's)	<b>0.1%</b> (2)
Four-year college degree (Bachelor's)	<b>10.2%</b> (137)
Post-Graduate	<b>88.8%</b> (1192)
Other degree	<b>0.7%</b> (10)

## Collapsing by Race and Ethnicity - Simplified Reporting

In many places within this report, breakouts of individual race/ethnicity categories will result in cell sizes that are too small to report. As such, we will also present two collapsed versions of race/ethnicity. The first is a two-category breakout which is noted in report columns as non-White/White, which will include any participant who identified as African American/Black, Asian American/Asian/Pacific Islander, Hispanic/Latino/a, Middle Eastern/North African, Native American/Alaskan Native, Other Race/Ethnicity, or More Than One Race/Ethnicity.

The second expanded strategy uses five categories: African American/Black, AANAPISI (which includes Asian American/Asian/Pacific Islander, and Native American/Alaskan Native), Hispanic/Latino/a, Another Race (which includes Middle Eastern/North African, Other Race/Ethnicity, and More Than One Race/Ethnicity), and White.

The following data represents the distribution of the Non-White/White categories.

**Section B - Table 4.1: Collapsed Race Demographic Distribution of Respondents**

	Staff
Non-White	<b>41.1%</b> (495)
White	<b>58.9%</b> (710)

**Section B - Table 4.2: Collapsed Race Demographic Distribution of Respondents**

	Faculty
Non-White	<b>38.7%</b> (503)
White	<b>61.3%</b> (796)

The following data represents the distribution of the expanded race/ethnicity categories.

**Section B - Table 4.3: Expanded Race Demographic Distribution of Respondents**

	Staff
African American/Black	<b>13.1%</b> (161)
AANAPISI	<b>10.9%</b> (134)
Hispanic/Latino/a	<b>7.9%</b> (97)
Another Race	<b>10.5%</b> (129)
White	<b>57.7%</b> (710)

**Section B - Table 4.4: Expanded Race Demographic Distribution of Respondents**

	Faculty
African American/Black	<b>6.0%</b> (81)
AANAPISI	<b>17.4%</b> (236)
Hispanic/Latino/a	<b>4.6%</b> (62)
Another Race	<b>13.2%</b> (178)
White	<b>58.8%</b> (796)

## Section B — Part V: DEI Perceptions & Experiences: Campus Overall

Next, respondents were asked to rate their overall satisfaction with the campus climate/environment based on their experiences and indicate whether they have participated in DEI-related activities at the university in the past 12 months.

**Section B - Table 5.1: Satisfaction with Overall Campus Climate - Staff**

	Total	Gender Identity			Race/Ethnicity	
		Woman	Man	Transgender/Non-Binary	White	Non-White
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	16.9% (206)	14.8% (128)	21.1% (68)	41.7% (5)	17.6% (123)	14.8% (72)
Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied	29.9% (364)	31.1% (270)	26.7% (86)	—	27.8% (194)	32.8% (159)
Very Satisfied/Satisfied	53.2% (647)	54.1% (469)	52.2% (168)	—	54.5% (380)	52.4% (254)

**Section B - Table 5.2: Satisfaction with Overall Campus Climate - Staff - Detailed Race/Ethnicity**

	Total	African American/Black	AANAPISI	Hispanic/Latino/a	Another Race	White
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	16.9% (206)	13.8% (22)	9.4% (12)	13.5% (13)	27.3% (35)	17.6% (123)
Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied	29.9% (364)	39.6% (63)	26.6% (34)	33.3% (32)	28.9% (37)	27.8% (194)
Very Satisfied/Satisfied	53.2% (647)	46.5% (74)	64.1% (82)	53.1% (51)	43.8% (56)	54.5% (380)

**Section B - Table 5.3: Satisfaction with Overall Campus Climate - Faculty**

	Total	Gender Identity			Race/Ethnicity	
		Woman	Man	Transgender/Non-Binary	White	Non-White
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	22.7% (300)	22.9% (155)	20.9% (123)	—	20.3% (158)	24.5% (119)
Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied	25.2% (334)	28.1% (190)	21.4% (126)	41.7% (5)	24.1% (187)	27.4% (133)
Very Satisfied/Satisfied	52.1% (689)	49.0% (332)	57.7% (339)	—	55.6% (432)	48.1% (234)

**Section B - Table 5.4: Satisfaction with Overall Campus Climate - Faculty - Detailed Race/Ethnicity**

	Total	African American/Black	AANAPISI	Hispanic/Latino/a	Another Race	White
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	22.7% (300)	24.4% (19)	21.4% (48)	25.8% (16)	32.0% (55)	20.3% (158)
Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied	25.2% (334)	29.5% (23)	25.0% (56)	30.6% (19)	27.9% (48)	24.1% (187)
Very Satisfied/Satisfied	52.1% (689)	46.2% (36)	53.6% (120)	43.5% (27)	40.1% (69)	55.6% (432)

**Section B - Table 6.1: Participation in DEI Activities - Staff**

	Total	Gender Identity			Race/Ethnicity	
		Woman	Man	Transgender/Non-Binary	White	Non-White
Attended a DEI-related event (training, seminar, film series, etc.)	<b>63.1%</b> (754)	<b>66.4%</b> (564)	<b>53.5%</b> (170)	<b>75.0%</b> (9)	<b>63.0%</b> (433)	<b>63.1%</b> (299)
Listened to a DEI-related podcast or read a book on a DEI-related topic	<b>46.4%</b> (539)	<b>49.3%</b> (406)	<b>38.6%</b> (120)	<b>58.3%</b> (7)	<b>45.9%</b> (304)	<b>47.2%</b> (220)
Served on a DEI-related committee or taskforce	<b>22.7%</b> (261)	<b>24.0%</b> (196)	<b>17.8%</b> (55)	<b>66.7%</b> (8)	<b>20.1%</b> (132)	<b>26.5%</b> (122)
Had a DEI-related conversation with a colleague/peer	<b>75.1%</b> (888)	<b>77.7%</b> (651)	<b>68.5%</b> (217)	<b>83.3%</b> (10)	<b>76.2%</b> (518)	<b>73.1%</b> (343)

**Section B - Table 6.2: Participation in DEI Activities - Staff - Detailed Race/Ethnicity**

	Total	African American/Black	AANAPISI	Hispanic/Latino/a	Another Race	White
Attended a DEI-related event (training, seminar, film series, etc.)	<b>63.1%</b> (754)	<b>73.7%</b> (115)	<b>48.8%</b> (60)	<b>60.6%</b> (57)	<b>64.3%</b> (81)	<b>63.0%</b> (433)
Listened to a DEI-related podcast or read a book on a DEI-related topic	<b>46.4%</b> (539)	<b>48.4%</b> (74)	<b>36.1%</b> (44)	<b>47.3%</b> (43)	<b>52.8%</b> (66)	<b>45.9%</b> (304)
Served on a DEI-related committee or taskforce	<b>22.7%</b> (261)	<b>30.1%</b> (46)	<b>16.7%</b> (20)	<b>27.6%</b> (24)	<b>29.0%</b> (36)	<b>20.1%</b> (132)
Had a DEI-related conversation with a colleague/peer	<b>75.1%</b> (888)	<b>79.4%</b> (123)	<b>60.2%</b> (74)	<b>71.1%</b> (64)	<b>80.2%</b> (101)	<b>76.2%</b> (518)

**Section B - Table 6.3: Participation in DEI Activities - Faculty**

	Total	Gender Identity			Race/Ethnicity	
		Woman	Man	Transgender/Non-Binary	White	Non-White
Attended a DEI-related event (training, seminar, film series, etc.)	<b>63.1%</b> (823)	<b>65.9%</b> (442)	<b>59.4%</b> (343)	<b>58.3%</b> (7)	<b>68.7%</b> (530)	<b>54.5%</b> (259)
Listened to a DEI-related podcast or read a book on a DEI-related topic	<b>53.6%</b> (686)	<b>59.6%</b> (393)	<b>45.7%</b> (259)	<b>50.0%</b> (6)	<b>59.3%</b> (448)	<b>46.0%</b> (216)
Served on a DEI-related committee or taskforce	<b>29.7%</b> (379)	<b>33.2%</b> (218)	<b>24.4%</b> (137)	—	<b>30.1%</b> (226)	<b>29.4%</b> (138)
Had a DEI-related conversation with a colleague/peer	<b>76.9%</b> (997)	<b>79.9%</b> (533)	<b>73.0%</b> (419)	<b>83.3%</b> (10)	<b>81.9%</b> (627)	<b>69.3%</b> (328)

**Section B - Table 6.4: Participation in DEI Activities - Faculty - Detailed Race/Ethnicity**

	Total	African American/Black	AANAPISI	Hispanic/Latino/a	Another Race	White
Attended a DEI-related event (training, seminar, film series, etc.)	<b>63.1%</b> (823)	<b>78.1%</b> (57)	<b>41.6%</b> (92)	<b>62.9%</b> (39)	<b>58.4%</b> (97)	<b>68.7%</b> (530)
Listened to a DEI-related podcast or read a book on a DEI-related topic	<b>53.6%</b> (686)	<b>54.8%</b> (40)	<b>39.0%</b> (85)	<b>60.7%</b> (37)	<b>43.9%</b> (72)	<b>59.3%</b> (448)
Served on a DEI-related committee or taskforce	<b>29.7%</b> (379)	<b>42.5%</b> (31)	<b>18.0%</b> (39)	<b>45.0%</b> (27)	<b>33.3%</b> (55)	<b>30.1%</b> (226)
Had a DEI-related conversation with a colleague/peer	<b>76.9%</b> (997)	<b>93.2%</b> (69)	<b>57.7%</b> (127)	<b>73.3%</b> (44)	<b>72.9%</b> (121)	<b>81.9%</b> (627)

Participants were asked about their level of satisfaction with the university's commitment to DEI over the past three years. Next, they were asked to rate the DEI climate compared to the climate three years ago. Participants who have been at the institution for less than three years were instructed to consider the time that they had been there when answering the question.

**Section B - Table 7.1: Satisfaction with Commitment to DEI - Staff**

	Total	Gender Identity			Race/Ethnicity	
		Woman	Man	Transgender/Non-Binary	White	Non-White
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	13.4% (160)	10.6% (90)	17.9% (57)	50.0% (6)	11.0% (76)	15.1% (72)
Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied	36.2% (433)	35.3% (300)	39.0% (124)	—	34.6% (238)	39.1% (186)
Very Satisfied/Satisfied	50.5% (604)	54.2% (461)	43.1% (137)	—	54.4% (374)	45.8% (218)

**Section B - Table 7.2: Satisfaction with Commitment to DEI - Staff - Detailed Race/Ethnicity**

	Total	African American/Black	AANAPISI	Hispanic/Latino/a	Another Race	White
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	13.4% (160)	16.6% (26)	5.7% (7)	13.7% (13)	28.8% (36)	11.0% (76)
Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied	36.2% (433)	39.5% (62)	39.8% (49)	38.9% (37)	35.2% (44)	34.6% (238)
Very Satisfied/Satisfied	50.5% (604)	43.9% (69)	54.5% (67)	47.4% (45)	36.0% (45)	54.4% (374)

**Section B - Table 7.3: Satisfaction with Commitment to DEI - Faculty**

	Total	Gender Identity			Race/Ethnicity	
		Woman	Man	Transgender/Non-Binary	White	Non-White
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	19.7% (259)	20.2% (136)	17.0% (99)	—	16.4% (127)	22.9% (110)
Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied	33.5% (440)	35.1% (236)	32.4% (189)	41.7% (5)	34.4% (266)	32.9% (158)
Very Satisfied/Satisfied	46.8% (614)	44.7% (301)	50.6% (295)	—	49.2% (381)	44.2% (212)

**Section B - Table 7.4: Satisfaction with Commitment to DEI - Faculty - Detailed Race/Ethnicity**

	Total	African American/Black	AANAPISI	Hispanic/Latino/a	Another Race	White
Dissatisfied/Very Dissatisfied	19.7% (259)	23.7% (18)	18.0% (40)	24.2% (15)	32.0% (54)	16.4% (127)
Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied	33.5% (440)	38.2% (29)	29.7% (66)	37.1% (23)	32.5% (55)	34.4% (266)
Very Satisfied/Satisfied	46.8% (614)	38.2% (29)	52.3% (116)	38.7% (24)	35.5% (60)	49.2% (381)

**Section B - Table 8.1: Rating of Overall Campus Climate Over Three Years - Staff**

	Total	Gender Identity			Race/Ethnicity	
		Woman	Man	Transgender/Non-Binary	White	Non-White
Much Worse/Somewhat Worse	8.0% (93)	5.7% (47)	11.9% (37)	—	6.9% (46)	8.2% (38)
About the Same	45.6% (530)	44.1% (364)	51.0% (158)	41.7% (5)	45.3% (302)	45.9% (213)
Much Better/Somewhat Better	46.4% (540)	50.2% (415)	37.1% (115)	41.7% (5)	47.8% (319)	45.9% (213)

**Section B - Table 8.2: Rating of Overall Campus Climate Over Three Years - Staff - Detailed Race/Ethnicity**

	Total	African American/Black	AANAPISI	Hispanic/Latino/a	Another Race	White
Much Worse/Somewhat Worse	8.0% (93)	7.7% (12)	7.6% (9)	—	17.4% (21)	6.9% (46)
About the Same	45.6% (530)	43.2% (67)	50.4% (60)	47.8% (44)	43.0% (52)	45.3% (302)
Much Better/Somewhat Better	46.4% (540)	49.0% (76)	42.0% (50)	47.8% (44)	39.7% (48)	47.8% (319)

**Section B - Table 8.3: Rating of Overall Campus Climate Over Three Years - Faculty**

	Total	Gender Identity			Race/Ethnicity	
		Woman	Man	Transgender/Non-Binary	White	Non-White
Much Worse/Somewhat Worse	10.6% (135)	8.2% (54)	11.6% (65)	—	8.5% (64)	11.2% (52)
About the Same	46.9% (597)	47.8% (313)	46.3% (260)	66.7% (8)	45.4% (342)	49.6% (230)
Much Better/Somewhat Better	42.5% (541)	44.0% (288)	42.2% (237)	—	46.1% (347)	39.2% (182)

**Section B - Table 8.4: Rating of Overall Campus Climate Over Three Years - Faculty - Detailed Race/Ethnicity**

	Total	African American/Black	AANAPISI	Hispanic/Latino/a	Another Race	White
Much Worse/Somewhat Worse	10.6% (135)	16.4% (12)	5.6% (12)	13.3% (8)	20.1% (33)	8.5% (64)
About the Same	46.9% (597)	41.1% (30)	59.8% (128)	36.7% (22)	44.5% (73)	45.4% (342)
Much Better/Somewhat Better	42.5% (541)	42.5% (31)	34.6% (74)	50.0% (30)	35.4% (58)	46.1% (347)

Participants were asked how well they thought the university is doing in relation to DEI compared to other institutions. Response categories were provided on a 5-point scale, ranging from “Among the very worst” to “Among the very best”.

**Section B - Table 9.1: Comparing DEI Progress to Other Institutions - Staff**

	Total	Gender Identity			Race/Ethnicity	
		Woman	Man	Transgender/Non-Binary	White	Non-White
Among the Very Worst/ Worse Than Most	5.7% (65)	4.5% (36)	7.2% (22)	—	5.1% (33)	6.6% (30)
About Equal	52.7% (599)	52.4% (422)	53.8% (164)	66.7% (8)	52.9% (344)	52.6% (240)
Among the Very Best/ Better Than Most	41.6% (473)	43.2% (348)	39.0% (119)	—	42.0% (273)	40.8% (186)

**Section B - Table 9.2: Comparing DEI Progress to Other Institutions - Staff - Detailed Race/Ethnicity**

	Total	African American/Black	AANAPISI	Hispanic/Latino/a	Another Race	White
Among the Very Worst/ Worse Than Most	5.7% (65)	6.7% (10)	5.1% (6)	—	9.1% (11)	5.1% (33)
About Equal	52.7% (599)	51.0% (76)	56.4% (66)	47.3% (43)	55.4% (67)	52.9% (344)
Among the Very Best/ Better Than Most	41.6% (473)	42.3% (63)	38.5% (45)	48.4% (44)	35.5% (43)	42.0% (273)

**Section B - Table 9.3: Comparing DEI Progress to Other Institutions - Faculty**

	Total	Gender Identity			Race/Ethnicity	
		Woman	Man	Transgender/Non-Binary	White	Non-White
Among the Very Worst/ Worse Than Most	6.1% (77)	6.2% (40)	4.6% (26)	—	4.9% (36)	7.3% (34)
About Equal	56.3% (711)	57.1% (369)	55.9% (314)	66.7% (8)	54.1% (400)	59.7% (279)
Among the Very Best/ Better Than Most	37.6% (475)	36.7% (237)	39.5% (222)	—	41.1% (304)	33.0% (154)

**Section B - Table 9.4: Comparing DEI Progress to Other Institutions - Faculty - Detailed Race/Ethnicity**

	Total	African American/Black	AANAPISI	Hispanic/Latino/a	Another Race	White
Among the Very Worst/ Worse Than Most	6.1% (77)	—	5.6% (12)	10.0% (6)	10.9% (18)	4.9% (36)
About Equal	56.3% (711)	61.8% (47)	61.2% (131)	51.7% (31)	58.2% (96)	54.1% (400)
Among the Very Best/ Better Than Most	37.6% (475)	32.9% (25)	33.2% (71)	38.3% (23)	30.9% (51)	41.1% (304)

After considering the DEI climate at the institution over the past three years, survey participants reflected on several sets of opposite DEI related aspects using a scale called a semantic differential. In this scale, polar adjectives (opposite-meaning terms) are shown and survey participants select a rating for each aspect that they feel best represents their perception of the entity being studied - in this case, individual's perceptions of the overall campus community.

In the following chart, the higher the mean score shown in each bar, the closer ratings were to the positive attribute in each set of adjectives located on the right. A 7-point scale was used to evaluate the paired adjectives, thus the mean values in the following tables utilize the same scale. The colored bars represent the different groups, as defined below.

**Section B - Table 10.1: Perceptions of Overall DEI Aspects (Mean Ratings)\* - Staff**

<b>Hostile</b>	5.2	<b>Friendly</b>
<b>Racist</b>	5.1	<b>Non-Racist</b>
<b>Homogenous</b>	5.4	<b>Diverse</b>
<b>Disrespectful</b>	5.2	<b>Respectful</b>
<b>Contentious</b>	4.7	<b>Collegial</b>
<b>Sexist</b>	4.7	<b>Non-Sexist</b>
<b>Individualistic</b>	4.5	<b>Collaborative</b>
<b>Competitive</b>	4.4	<b>Cooperative</b>
<b>Homophobic</b>	5.6	<b>Non-Homophobic</b>
<b>Unsupportive</b>	5	<b>Supportive</b>
<b>Ageist</b>	4.5	<b>Non-Ageist</b>
<b>Unwelcoming</b>	5.2	<b>Welcoming</b>
<b>Elitist</b>	4.1	<b>Non-Elitist</b>
<b>Transphobic</b>	5.3	<b>Non-Transphobic</b>
<b>Ableist</b>	4.7	<b>Accessible</b>

*\*Note: respondents chose one of seven radio buttons in the survey spaced equally between opposite attributes; for analysis purposes, a 7-point scale was used in which 1=negative attribute and 7=positive attribute, mean ratings are calculated based on this 7-point scale.*



**Section B - Table 10.2: Perceptions of Overall DEI Aspects (Mean Ratings)\* - Faculty**

<b>Hostile</b>	<b>5.2</b>	<b>Friendly</b>
<b>Racist</b>	<b>5.1</b>	<b>Non-Racist</b>
<b>Homogenous</b>	<b>5.5</b>	<b>Diverse</b>
<b>Disrespectful</b>	<b>5.2</b>	<b>Respectful</b>
<b>Contentious</b>	<b>4.9</b>	<b>Collegial</b>
<b>Sexist</b>	<b>4.7</b>	<b>Non-Sexist</b>
<b>Individualistic</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>Collaborative</b>
<b>Competitive</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>Cooperative</b>
<b>Homophobic</b>	<b>5.6</b>	<b>Non-Homophobic</b>
<b>Unsupportive</b>	<b>4.9</b>	<b>Supportive</b>
<b>Ageist</b>	<b>4.8</b>	<b>Non-Ageist</b>
<b>Unwelcoming</b>	<b>5.1</b>	<b>Welcoming</b>
<b>Elitist</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>Non-Elitist</b>
<b>Transphobic</b>	<b>5.2</b>	<b>Non-Transphobic</b>
<b>Ableist</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>Accessible</b>

*\*Note: respondents chose one of seven radio buttons in the survey spaced equally between opposite attributes; for analysis purposes, a 7-point scale was used in which 1=negative attribute and 7=positive attribute, mean ratings are calculated based on this 7-point scale.*

Exploratory factor analysis (EFA) is a statistical technique that condenses data by grouping variables into factors (sets of variables) based on shared variance, the statistical index of the degree to which two variables are associated (shared variance is indicated by correlation coefficients). Thus, the goal of EFA is to identify related underlying constructs within the survey responses to help make the data more comprehensible and useful for practical applications. EFA was conducted on each set of data (per population) separately, and EFA results differed for each group. Thus, each groups' factors are unique and are comprised of different sets of aspects, for this reason, **it is not advisable to make direct comparisons between EFA results.**

The EFA conducted on the semantic differential items answered by population B identified two factors: (1) General Climate Elements, and (2) DEI Elements. The variables that make up each of the factors are:

<b>Factor 1 General Climate Elements</b>
Hostile/Friendly
Homogenous/Diverse
Disrespectful/Respectful
Contentious/Collegial
Individualistic/Collaborative
Competitive/Cooperative
Unsupportive/Supportive
Unwelcoming/Welcoming
Elitist/Non-Elitist

<b>Factor 2 DEI Elements</b>
Racist/Non-Racist
Sexist/Non-Sexist
Homophobic/Non-Homophobic
Ageist/Non-Ageist
Transphobic/Non-Transphobic

**Section B - Table 11.1: Perceptions of General Climate Elements (Factor 1: Mean Ratings) - Staff**

	Total	Gender Identity			Race/Ethnicity	
		Woman	Man	Transgender/Non-Binary	White	Non-White
Factor 1 Average	4.9 (1153)	4.9 (816)	4.8 (311)	4.0 (12)	4.9 (668)	4.9 (454)

**Section B - Table 11.2: Perceptions of General Climate Elements (Factor 1: Mean Ratings) - Staff - Detailed Race/Ethnicity**

	Total	African American/Black	AANAPISI	Hispanic/Latino/a	Another Race	White
Factor 1 Average	4.9 (1153)	4.9 (149)	5.1 (116)	5.0 (92)	4.6 (120)	4.9 (668)

**Section B - Table 11.3: Perceptions of General Climate Elements (Factor 1: Mean Ratings) - Faculty**

	Total	Gender Identity			Race/Ethnicity	
		Woman	Man	Transgender/Non-Binary	White	Non-White
Factor 1 Average	4.9 (1273)	4.9 (653)	4.9 (566)	4.9 (12)	4.9 (754)	4.8 (463)

**Section B - Table 11.4: Perceptions of General Climate Elements (Factor 1: Mean Ratings) - Faculty - Detailed Race/Ethnicity**

	Total	African American/Black	AANAPISI	Hispanic/Latino/a	Another Race	White
Factor 1 Average	4.9 (1273)	4.7 (76)	5.0 (212)	4.8 (61)	4.6 (161)	4.9 (754)

**Section B - Table 12.1: Perceptions of DEI Climate Elements (Factor 2: Mean Ratings) - Staff**

	Total	Gender Identity			Race/Ethnicity	
		Woman	Man	Transgender/Non-Binary	White	Non-White
Factor 2 Average	5.1 (1150)	5.1 (813)	5.3 (311)	4.0 (12)	5.2 (666)	5.0 (453)

**Section B - Table 12.2: Perceptions of DEI Climate Elements (Factor 2: Mean Ratings) - Staff - Detailed Race/Ethnicity**

	Total	African American/Black	AANAPISI	Hispanic/Latino/a	Another Race	White
Factor 2 Average	5.1 (1150)	4.9 (149)	5.1 (115)	5.1 (92)	5.0 (120)	5.2 (666)

**Section B - Table 12.3: Perceptions of DEI Climate Elements (Factor 2: Mean Ratings) - Faculty**

	Total	Gender Identity			Race/Ethnicity	
		Woman	Man	Transgender/Non-Binary	White	Non-White
Factor 2 Average	5.1 (1271)	5.0 (650)	5.3 (566)	4.9 (12)	5.2 (754)	5.0 (460)

**Section B - Table 12.4: Perceptions of DEI Climate Elements (Factor 2: Mean Ratings) - Faculty - Detailed Race/Ethnicity**

	Total	African American/Black	AANAPISI	Hispanic/Latino/a	Another Race	White
Factor 2 Average	5.1 (1271)	4.5 (75)	5.2 (209)	5.0 (61)	5.1 (162)	5.2 (754)

Survey participants were asked to respond to a series of questions about various aspects, experiences, and perceptions of working or studying on their campus. Individuals rated their level of agreement with each statement using the following five-point scale: Strongly Disagree (1), Disagree (2), Neither Agree Nor Disagree (3), Agree (4), Strongly Agree (5). The following table shows combined responses for “Agree” plus “Strongly Agree” (4 + 5) ratings.

**Section B - Table 13.1: Levels of Agreement with Statements About Work Aspects (% Strongly Agree + Agree Responses) - Staff**

	Total	Gender Identity			Race/Ethnicity	
		Woman	Man	Transgender/Non-Binary	White	Non-White
I feel valued as an individual at Rutgers	51.7% (596)	52.0% (425)	52.6% (162)	41.7% (5)	50.7% (339)	54.3% (245)
I feel I belong at Rutgers	62.5% (719)	64.5% (526)	59.7% (184)	—	63.7% (425)	61.9% (280)
Rutgers has a strong commitment to diversity, equity, and inclusion	69.7% (802)	71.4% (583)	68.0% (208)	—	75.4% (504)	61.2% (276)
I have considered leaving Rutgers because I felt isolated or unwelcomed	18.8% (217)	16.5% (135)	23.4% (72)	41.7% (5)	17.9% (120)	19.7% (89)
I am treated with respect at Rutgers	66.0% (756)	67.3% (548)	64.4% (197)	50.0% (6)	66.8% (444)	66.1% (297)
I feel others don't value my opinions at Rutgers	21.5% (246)	20.4% (166)	21.2% (65)	58.3% (7)	20.0% (133)	22.8% (102)
Rutgers is a place where I am able to perform up to my full potential	53.1% (607)	53.7% (436)	53.9% (165)	41.7% (5)	54.1% (360)	52.7% (236)
I have opportunities at Rutgers for professional success that are similar to those of my colleagues	50.9% (581)	49.8% (403)	54.4% (166)	41.7% (5)	53.2% (353)	47.7% (213)
I have found one or more communities or groups where I feel I belong at Rutgers	46.3% (531)	48.7% (396)	40.2% (123)	66.7% (8)	47.1% (313)	45.2% (203)
There is too much emphasis put on issues of diversity, equity, and inclusion at Rutgers	18.9% (217)	15.7% (128)	27.0% (83)	—	21.5% (143)	13.3% (60)
Rutgers provides sufficient programs and resources to foster the success of a diverse faculty/staff	45.6% (523)	46.4% (377)	46.7% (143)	—	51.1% (339)	37.9% (171)
I have to work harder than others to be valued equally at Rutgers	35.9% (411)	38.1% (309)	29.4% (90)	41.7% (5)	28.3% (188)	47.1% (211)
My experience at Rutgers has had a positive influence on my professional growth	65.0% (747)	65.9% (537)	63.5% (195)	58.3% (7)	66.5% (443)	63.6% (287)

**Section B - Table 13.2: Levels of Agreement with Statements About Work Aspects (% Strongly Agree + Agree Responses) - Staff - Detailed Race/Ethnicity**

	<b>Total</b>	<b>African American/Black</b>	<b>AANAPISI</b>	<b>Hispanic/Latino/a</b>	<b>Another Race</b>	<b>White</b>
I feel valued as an individual at Rutgers	<b>51.7%</b> (596)	<b>51.4%</b> (75)	<b>62.7%</b> (74)	<b>60.0%</b> (54)	<b>40.8%</b> (49)	<b>50.7%</b> (339)
I feel I belong at Rutgers	<b>62.5%</b> (719)	<b>56.2%</b> (82)	<b>72.0%</b> (85)	<b>65.9%</b> (60)	<b>51.7%</b> (62)	<b>63.7%</b> (425)
Rutgers has a strong commitment to diversity, equity, and inclusion	<b>69.7%</b> (802)	<b>56.8%</b> (83)	<b>65.3%</b> (77)	<b>65.6%</b> (59)	<b>60.5%</b> (72)	<b>75.4%</b> (504)
I have considered leaving Rutgers because I felt isolated or unwelcomed	<b>18.8%</b> (217)	<b>25.3%</b> (37)	<b>11.1%</b> (13)	<b>15.4%</b> (14)	<b>25.8%</b> (31)	<b>17.9%</b> (120)
I am treated with respect at Rutgers	<b>66.0%</b> (756)	<b>65.1%</b> (95)	<b>72.4%</b> (84)	<b>65.9%</b> (60)	<b>56.7%</b> (68)	<b>66.8%</b> (444)
I feel others don't value my opinions at Rutgers	<b>21.5%</b> (246)	<b>25.3%</b> (37)	<b>13.8%</b> (16)	<b>22.2%</b> (20)	<b>31.9%</b> (38)	<b>20.0%</b> (133)
Rutgers is a place where I am able to perform up to my full potential	<b>53.1%</b> (607)	<b>48.6%</b> (71)	<b>60.3%</b> (70)	<b>50.0%</b> (45)	<b>47.9%</b> (57)	<b>54.1%</b> (360)
I have opportunities at Rutgers for professional success that are similar to those of my colleagues	<b>50.9%</b> (581)	<b>43.8%</b> (64)	<b>50.4%</b> (58)	<b>46.7%</b> (42)	<b>52.1%</b> (62)	<b>53.2%</b> (353)
I have found one or more communities or groups where I feel I belong at Rutgers	<b>46.3%</b> (531)	<b>49.3%</b> (72)	<b>41.9%</b> (49)	<b>43.3%</b> (39)	<b>43.7%</b> (52)	<b>47.1%</b> (313)
There is too much emphasis put on issues of diversity, equity, and inclusion at Rutgers	<b>18.9%</b> (217)	<b>6.1%</b> (9)	<b>19.7%</b> (23)	<b>20.9%</b> (19)	<b>16.8%</b> (20)	<b>21.5%</b> (143)
Rutgers provides sufficient programs and resources to foster the success of a diverse faculty/staff	<b>45.6%</b> (523)	<b>38.1%</b> (56)	<b>40.2%</b> (47)	<b>41.8%</b> (38)	<b>31.9%</b> (38)	<b>51.1%</b> (339)
I have to work harder than others to be valued equally at Rutgers	<b>35.9%</b> (411)	<b>59.6%</b> (87)	<b>31.9%</b> (37)	<b>44.4%</b> (40)	<b>47.1%</b> (56)	<b>28.3%</b> (188)
My experience at Rutgers has had a positive influence on my professional growth	<b>65.0%</b> (747)	<b>63.3%</b> (93)	<b>66.7%</b> (78)	<b>68.1%</b> (62)	<b>54.6%</b> (65)	<b>66.5%</b> (443)

**Section B - Table 13.3: Levels of Agreement with Statements About Work Aspects (% Strongly Agree + Agree Responses) - Faculty**

	Total	Gender Identity			Race/Ethnicity	
		Woman	Man	Transgender/Non-Binary	White	Non-White
I feel valued as an individual at Rutgers	<b>55.1%</b> (698)	<b>54.9%</b> (358)	<b>56.9%</b> (319)	—	<b>55.2%</b> (413)	<b>56.0%</b> (260)
I feel I belong at Rutgers	<b>60.6%</b> (768)	<b>60.2%</b> (393)	<b>63.4%</b> (355)	—	<b>63.5%</b> (475)	<b>57.5%</b> (267)
Rutgers has a strong commitment to diversity, equity, and inclusion	<b>63.8%</b> (805)	<b>62.4%</b> (404)	<b>67.0%</b> (375)	<b>41.7%</b> (5)	<b>67.7%</b> (505)	<b>57.7%</b> (265)
I have considered leaving Rutgers because I felt isolated or unwelcomed	<b>22.3%</b> (283)	<b>25.3%</b> (165)	<b>17.3%</b> (97)	—	<b>20.3%</b> (152)	<b>24.2%</b> (112)
I am treated with respect at Rutgers	<b>70.3%</b> (889)	<b>69.8%</b> (451)	<b>72.5%</b> (408)	<b>75.0%</b> (9)	<b>71.0%</b> (529)	<b>71.6%</b> (331)
I feel others don't value my opinions at Rutgers	<b>18.5%</b> (235)	<b>20.6%</b> (134)	<b>15.1%</b> (85)	—	<b>16.9%</b> (126)	<b>18.8%</b> (87)
Rutgers is a place where I am able to perform up to my full potential	<b>53.0%</b> (670)	<b>51.7%</b> (335)	<b>55.7%</b> (313)	—	<b>55.1%</b> (411)	<b>51.3%</b> (237)
I have opportunities at Rutgers for professional success that are similar to those of my colleagues	<b>57.1%</b> (721)	<b>53.8%</b> (348)	<b>61.5%</b> (346)	<b>58.3%</b> (7)	<b>61.4%</b> (458)	<b>52.4%</b> (242)
I have found one or more communities or groups where I feel I belong at Rutgers	<b>54.7%</b> (690)	<b>55.0%</b> (357)	<b>55.1%</b> (308)	<b>58.3%</b> (7)	<b>56.1%</b> (418)	<b>52.6%</b> (243)
There is too much emphasis put on issues of diversity, equity, and inclusion at Rutgers	<b>19.2%</b> (243)	<b>13.5%</b> (88)	<b>25.4%</b> (143)	—	<b>18.1%</b> (135)	<b>17.9%</b> (83)
Rutgers provides sufficient programs and resources to foster the success of a diverse faculty/staff	<b>47.1%</b> (595)	<b>44.5%</b> (290)	<b>51.8%</b> (289)	—	<b>47.5%</b> (354)	<b>46.5%</b> (215)
I have to work harder than others to be valued equally at Rutgers	<b>35.3%</b> (447)	<b>42.0%</b> (274)	<b>26.4%</b> (148)	—	<b>28.4%</b> (212)	<b>45.0%</b> (209)
My experience at Rutgers has had a positive influence on my professional growth	<b>71.8%</b> (909)	<b>71.3%</b> (464)	<b>73.4%</b> (412)	<b>75.0%</b> (9)	<b>73.0%</b> (546)	<b>71.9%</b> (333)

**Section B - Table 13.4: Levels of Agreement with Statements About Work Aspects (% Strongly Agree + Agree Responses) - Faculty - Detailed Race/Ethnicity**

	<b>Total</b>	<b>African American/Black</b>	<b>AANAPISI</b>	<b>Hispanic/Latino/a</b>	<b>Another Race</b>	<b>White</b>
I feel valued as an individual at Rutgers	<b>55.1%</b> (698)	<b>56.8%</b> (42)	<b>61.9%</b> (133)	<b>55.9%</b> (33)	<b>45.3%</b> (73)	<b>55.2%</b> (413)
I feel I belong at Rutgers	<b>60.6%</b> (768)	<b>59.5%</b> (44)	<b>57.7%</b> (124)	<b>59.3%</b> (35)	<b>53.4%</b> (86)	<b>63.5%</b> (475)
Rutgers has a strong commitment to diversity, equity, and inclusion	<b>63.8%</b> (805)	<b>47.3%</b> (35)	<b>63.2%</b> (134)	<b>60.3%</b> (35)	<b>57.5%</b> (92)	<b>67.7%</b> (505)
I have considered leaving Rutgers because I felt isolated or unwelcomed	<b>22.3%</b> (283)	<b>31.5%</b> (23)	<b>14.0%</b> (30)	<b>28.8%</b> (17)	<b>35.4%</b> (57)	<b>20.3%</b> (152)
I am treated with respect at Rutgers	<b>70.3%</b> (889)	<b>64.0%</b> (48)	<b>73.4%</b> (157)	<b>72.4%</b> (42)	<b>66.7%</b> (108)	<b>71.0%</b> (529)
I feel others don't value my opinions at Rutgers	<b>18.5%</b> (235)	<b>22.4%</b> (17)	<b>15.9%</b> (34)	<b>19.0%</b> (11)	<b>25.8%</b> (42)	<b>16.9%</b> (126)
Rutgers is a place where I am able to perform up to my full potential	<b>53.0%</b> (670)	<b>50.0%</b> (38)	<b>54.2%</b> (116)	<b>48.3%</b> (28)	<b>45.0%</b> (72)	<b>55.1%</b> (411)
I have opportunities at Rutgers for professional success that are similar to those of my colleagues	<b>57.1%</b> (721)	<b>53.9%</b> (41)	<b>56.8%</b> (121)	<b>48.3%</b> (28)	<b>42.9%</b> (69)	<b>61.4%</b> (458)
I have found one or more communities or groups where I feel I belong at Rutgers	<b>54.7%</b> (690)	<b>53.9%</b> (41)	<b>53.5%</b> (114)	<b>46.6%</b> (27)	<b>52.2%</b> (84)	<b>56.1%</b> (418)
There is too much emphasis put on issues of diversity, equity, and inclusion at Rutgers	<b>19.2%</b> (243)	<b>10.5%</b> (8)	<b>19.5%</b> (42)	<b>17.2%</b> (10)	<b>27.0%</b> (44)	<b>18.1%</b> (135)
Rutgers provides sufficient programs and resources to foster the success of a diverse faculty/staff	<b>47.1%</b> (595)	<b>37.3%</b> (28)	<b>52.8%</b> (113)	<b>39.7%</b> (23)	<b>45.1%</b> (73)	<b>47.5%</b> (354)
I have to work harder than others to be valued equally at Rutgers	<b>35.3%</b> (447)	<b>63.2%</b> (48)	<b>40.0%</b> (86)	<b>43.1%</b> (25)	<b>44.2%</b> (72)	<b>28.4%</b> (212)
My experience at Rutgers has had a positive influence on my professional growth	<b>71.8%</b> (909)	<b>72.4%</b> (55)	<b>75.2%</b> (161)	<b>70.7%</b> (41)	<b>62.1%</b> (100)	<b>73.0%</b> (546)

A few survey questions directly focused on interactions with others, as well as personal experiences with discriminatory events in the past 12 months. Survey participants first considered the characteristics of individuals at their campus with whom they interact in a meaningful way on a regular basis.

**Section B - Table 14.1: Frequency of Interactions with Diverse People in Past 12 Months (% Responses) - Staff**

<i>Meaningful interactions with people...</i>	<b>Never</b>	<b>Seldom/Sometimes</b>	<b>Often/Very Often</b>
...whose religious beliefs are different than your own	<b>2.2%</b> (24)	<b>25.1%</b> (279)	<b>72.8%</b> (810)
...whose political opinions are different from your own	<b>5.3%</b> (59)	<b>51.8%</b> (575)	<b>42.8%</b> (475)
...who are immigrants or from an immigrant family	<b>3.2%</b> (36)	<b>30.2%</b> (336)	<b>66.6%</b> (742)
...who are of a different nationality than your own	<b>1.4%</b> (16)	<b>16.5%</b> (186)	<b>82.0%</b> (922)
...who are of a different race or ethnicity than your own	<b>1.2%</b> (13)	<b>12.0%</b> (135)	<b>86.8%</b> (977)
...whose gender is different than your own	<b>1.4%</b> (16)	<b>14.9%</b> (168)	<b>83.7%</b> (943)
...whose sexual orientation is different than your own	<b>3.0%</b> (34)	<b>32.1%</b> (358)	<b>64.8%</b> (723)
...who are from a different social class	<b>3.0%</b> (33)	<b>33.3%</b> (369)	<b>63.8%</b> (707)
...who have physical or other observable disabilities	<b>11.2%</b> (125)	<b>66.0%</b> (740)	<b>22.8%</b> (256)
...who have learning, psychological, or other disabilities that are not readily apparent	<b>9.0%</b> (100)	<b>59.1%</b> (653)	<b>31.9%</b> (352)

**Section B - Table 14.2: Frequency of Interactions with Diverse People in Past 12 Months (% Responses) - Faculty**

<i>Meaningful interactions with people...</i>	<b>Never</b>	<b>Seldom/Sometimes</b>	<b>Often/Very Often</b>
...whose religious beliefs are different than your own	<b>1.2%</b> (15)	<b>23.6%</b> (290)	<b>75.2%</b> (924)
...whose political opinions are different from your own	<b>3.6%</b> (44)	<b>61.8%</b> (762)	<b>34.6%</b> (427)
...who are immigrants or from an immigrant family	<b>1.0%</b> (12)	<b>21.3%</b> (264)	<b>77.8%</b> (965)
...who are of a different nationality than your own	<b>0.6%</b> (7)	<b>13.5%</b> (167)	<b>86.0%</b> (1067)
...who are of a different race or ethnicity than your own	<b>0.5%</b> (6)	<b>10.7%</b> (133)	<b>88.8%</b> (1102)
...whose gender is different than your own	<b>0.5%</b> (6)	<b>12.0%</b> (149)	<b>87.5%</b> (1089)
...whose sexual orientation is different than your own	<b>1.5%</b> (19)	<b>30.0%</b> (372)	<b>68.4%</b> (847)
...who are from a different social class	<b>1.4%</b> (17)	<b>33.9%</b> (415)	<b>64.7%</b> (792)
...who have physical or other observable disabilities	<b>9.3%</b> (115)	<b>68.5%</b> (849)	<b>22.3%</b> (276)
...who have learning, psychological, or other disabilities that are not readily apparent	<b>5.2%</b> (63)	<b>54.1%</b> (657)	<b>40.7%</b> (494)



The survey continued with questions related to whether survey participants have personally felt or experienced some form of discrimination at their campus during the past 12 months.

**Section B - Table 15.1: Those Who Felt Discrimination in the Past 12 Months (% Responses) - Staff**

	Total	Gender Identity			Race/Ethnicity	
		Woman	Man	Transgender/Non-Binary	White	Non-White
Yes	17.1% (194)	16.6% (133)	16.8% (51)	50.0% (6)	13.3% (88)	22.1% (98)
No	82.9% (938)	83.4% (668)	83.2% (253)	50.0% (6)	86.7% (572)	77.9% (345)

**Section B - Table 15.2: Those Who Felt Discrimination in the Past 12 Months (% Responses) - Staff - Detailed Race/Ethnicity**

	Total	African American/Black	AANAPISI	Hispanic/Latino/a	Another Race	White
Yes	17.1% (194)	23.1% (33)	17.5% (20)	22.0% (20)	26.1% (30)	13.3% (88)
No	82.9% (938)	76.9% (110)	82.5% (94)	78.0% (71)	73.9% (85)	86.7% (572)

**Section B - Table 15.3: Those Who Felt Discrimination in the Past 12 Months (% Responses) - Faculty**

	Total	Gender Identity			Race/Ethnicity	
		Woman	Man	Transgender/Non-Binary	White	Non-White
Yes	20.1% (252)	24.1% (155)	13.8% (77)	—	13.8% (103)	28.5% (129)
No	79.9% (1002)	75.9% (488)	86.2% (481)	83.3% (10)	86.2% (641)	71.5% (324)

**Section B - Table 15.4: Those Who Felt Discrimination in the Past 12 Months (% Responses) - Faculty - Detailed Race/Ethnicity**

	Total	African American/Black	AANAPISI	Hispanic/Latino/a	Another Race	White
Yes	20.1% (252)	40.0% (30)	21.6% (45)	28.1% (16)	34.0% (54)	13.8% (103)
No	79.9% (1002)	60.0% (45)	78.4% (163)	71.9% (41)	66.0% (105)	86.2% (641)

**Section B - Table 16.1: Frequency of Experience of One or More Discriminatory Events (% Responses) - Staff**

	Total	Gender Identity			Race/Ethnicity	
		Woman	Man	Transgender/Non-Binary	White	Non-White
Ability or disability status	5.4% (60)	5.7% (45)	3.3% (10)	—	5.5% (36)	5.1% (22)
Racial or ethnic identity	16.2% (181)	15.6% (123)	17.2% (52)	—	7.5% (49)	28.7% (125)
Sex	15.0% (167)	17.4% (137)	7.9% (24)	—	16.1% (105)	13.4% (58)
Sexual orientation	2.5% (28)	1.3% (10)	3.3% (10)	—	2.5% (16)	2.3% (10)
Gender identity or gender expression	5.6% (62)	5.1% (40)	3.9% (12)	50.0% (6)	5.2% (34)	6.2% (27)
Veteran status	—	—	—	—	—	—
Relationship status	4.9% (55)	4.8% (38)	4.3% (13)	—	4.4% (29)	5.1% (22)
National origin	5.9% (66)	5.2% (41)	6.6% (20)	—	2.3% (15)	11.3% (49)
Age	18.9% (211)	19.9% (157)	14.6% (44)	50.0% (6)	18.7% (123)	18.8% (81)
Religion	5.8% (65)	6.1% (48)	4.0% (12)	—	5.6% (37)	5.6% (24)
Height or weight	9.0% (101)	8.7% (69)	8.3% (25)	—	8.5% (56)	10.1% (44)
Political orientation	9.7% (108)	8.2% (65)	12.2% (37)	—	10.4% (68)	8.3% (36)
Social class	8.3% (93)	8.2% (65)	6.3% (19)	—	5.8% (38)	11.7% (51)
Mental health status	6.2% (69)	5.8% (46)	5.0% (15)	—	6.4% (42)	5.3% (23)

**Section B - Table 16.2: Frequency of Experience of One or More Discriminatory Events (% Responses) - Staff - Detailed Race/Ethnicity**

	<b>Total</b>	<b>African American/Black</b>	<b>AANAPISI</b>	<b>Hispanic/Latino/a</b>	<b>Another Race</b>	<b>White</b>
Ability or disability status	<b>5.4%</b> (60)	<b>3.6%</b> (5)	—	<b>5.4%</b> (5)	<b>8.0%</b> (9)	<b>5.5%</b> (36)
Racial or ethnic identity	<b>16.2%</b> (181)	<b>39.1%</b> (54)	<b>17.9%</b> (20)	<b>26.1%</b> (24)	<b>26.3%</b> (30)	<b>7.5%</b> (49)
Sex	<b>15.0%</b> (167)	<b>13.1%</b> (18)	<b>9.8%</b> (11)	<b>12.0%</b> (11)	<b>19.5%</b> (22)	<b>16.1%</b> (105)
Sexual orientation	<b>2.5%</b> (28)	—	—	—	<b>6.2%</b> (7)	<b>2.5%</b> (16)
Gender identity or gender expression	<b>5.6%</b> (62)	<b>4.4%</b> (6)	—	<b>6.6%</b> (6)	<b>10.6%</b> (12)	<b>5.2%</b> (34)
Veteran status	—	—	—	—	—	—
Relationship status	<b>4.9%</b> (55)	—	—	—	<b>13.4%</b> (15)	<b>4.4%</b> (29)
National origin	<b>5.9%</b> (66)	<b>6.5%</b> (9)	<b>13.5%</b> (15)	<b>14.3%</b> (13)	<b>11.5%</b> (13)	<b>2.3%</b> (15)
Age	<b>18.9%</b> (211)	<b>16.8%</b> (23)	<b>10.0%</b> (11)	<b>14.1%</b> (13)	<b>35.4%</b> (40)	<b>18.7%</b> (123)
Religion	<b>5.8%</b> (65)	<b>3.7%</b> (5)	<b>4.5%</b> (5)	—	<b>13.5%</b> (15)	<b>5.6%</b> (37)
Height or weight	<b>9.0%</b> (101)	<b>7.2%</b> (10)	<b>5.4%</b> (6)	<b>14.3%</b> (13)	<b>13.3%</b> (15)	<b>8.5%</b> (56)
Political orientation	<b>9.7%</b> (108)	<b>5.0%</b> (7)	<b>6.3%</b> (7)	<b>11.0%</b> (10)	<b>14.2%</b> (16)	<b>10.4%</b> (68)
Social class	<b>8.3%</b> (93)	<b>12.2%</b> (17)	<b>4.5%</b> (5)	<b>9.8%</b> (9)	<b>19.5%</b> (22)	<b>5.8%</b> (38)
Mental health status	<b>6.2%</b> (69)	—	—	<b>7.8%</b> (7)	<b>10.6%</b> (12)	<b>6.4%</b> (42)

**Section B - Table 16.3: Frequency of Experience of One or More Discriminatory Events (% Responses) - Faculty**

	Total	Gender Identity			Race/Ethnicity	
		Woman	Man	Transgender/Non-Binary	White	Non-White
Ability or disability status	6.1% (76)	6.7% (42)	4.7% (26)	—	5.8% (43)	7.0% (31)
Racial or ethnic identity	16.2% (200)	18.4% (116)	13.7% (76)	—	4.1% (30)	34.5% (154)
Sex	19.4% (240)	31.3% (198)	4.7% (26)	—	18.7% (138)	19.7% (88)
Sexual orientation	4.3% (53)	2.2% (14)	4.8% (27)	—	3.9% (29)	4.5% (20)
Gender identity or gender expression	7.7% (95)	9.5% (60)	3.2% (18)	—	6.8% (50)	8.3% (37)
Veteran status	—	—	—	—	—	—
Relationship status	5.5% (68)	5.4% (34)	4.2% (23)	—	5.3% (39)	5.8% (26)
National origin	8.8% (109)	8.9% (56)	9.0% (50)	—	2.6% (19)	18.6% (83)
Age	16.6% (205)	20.1% (127)	12.1% (67)	—	17.8% (131)	13.9% (62)
Religion	6.0% (74)	7.1% (45)	4.2% (23)	—	4.6% (34)	7.6% (34)
Height or weight	5.7% (70)	6.8% (43)	4.5% (25)	—	5.0% (37)	6.8% (30)
Political orientation	8.9% (110)	7.9% (50)	9.4% (52)	—	7.9% (58)	8.9% (40)
Social class	9.0% (111)	9.3% (59)	8.1% (45)	—	6.6% (49)	12.2% (54)
Mental health status	5.8% (72)	7.4% (47)	3.4% (19)	—	4.8% (35)	8.3% (37)

**Section B - Table 16.4: Frequency of Experience of One or More Discriminatory Events (% Responses) - Faculty - Detailed Race/Ethnicity**

	<b>Total</b>	<b>African American/Black</b>	<b>AANAPISI</b>	<b>Hispanic/Latino/a</b>	<b>Another Race</b>	<b>White</b>
Ability or disability status	<b>6.1%</b> (76)	<b>9.3%</b> (7)	<b>4.4%</b> (9)	<b>14.0%</b> (8)	<b>5.8%</b> (9)	<b>5.8%</b> (43)
Racial or ethnic identity	<b>16.2%</b> (200)	<b>54.7%</b> (41)	<b>27.6%</b> (56)	<b>31.6%</b> (18)	<b>34.4%</b> (54)	<b>4.1%</b> (30)
Sex	<b>19.4%</b> (240)	<b>18.7%</b> (14)	<b>18.6%</b> (38)	<b>16.1%</b> (9)	<b>25.0%</b> (39)	<b>18.7%</b> (138)
Sexual orientation	<b>4.3%</b> (53)	—	<b>4.9%</b> (10)	—	<b>4.5%</b> (7)	<b>3.9%</b> (29)
Gender identity or gender expression	<b>7.7%</b> (95)	<b>6.7%</b> (5)	<b>7.4%</b> (15)	<b>8.8%</b> (5)	<b>13.0%</b> (20)	<b>6.8%</b> (50)
Veteran status	—	—	—	—	—	—
Relationship status	<b>5.5%</b> (68)	—	<b>5.9%</b> (12)	<b>8.9%</b> (5)	<b>5.2%</b> (8)	<b>5.3%</b> (39)
National origin	<b>8.8%</b> (109)	—	<b>23.5%</b> (48)	<b>14.0%</b> (8)	<b>18.5%</b> (29)	<b>2.6%</b> (19)
Age	<b>16.6%</b> (205)	<b>20.0%</b> (15)	<b>10.8%</b> (22)	<b>16.1%</b> (9)	<b>16.8%</b> (26)	<b>17.8%</b> (131)
Religion	<b>6.0%</b> (74)	—	<b>3.4%</b> (7)	—	<b>17.4%</b> (27)	<b>4.6%</b> (34)
Height or weight	<b>5.7%</b> (70)	<b>8.0%</b> (6)	<b>5.9%</b> (12)	—	<b>7.2%</b> (11)	<b>5.0%</b> (37)
Political orientation	<b>8.9%</b> (110)	<b>6.7%</b> (5)	<b>6.8%</b> (14)	<b>8.8%</b> (5)	<b>16.7%</b> (26)	<b>7.9%</b> (58)
Social class	<b>9.0%</b> (111)	<b>13.3%</b> (10)	<b>9.3%</b> (19)	<b>19.3%</b> (11)	<b>13.2%</b> (20)	<b>6.6%</b> (49)
Mental health status	<b>5.8%</b> (72)	<b>8.1%</b> (6)	<b>7.8%</b> (16)	<b>8.8%</b> (5)	<b>6.5%</b> (10)	<b>4.8%</b> (35)

**Section B - Table 17.1: Levels of Agreement with Statements About Campus Aspects (% Strongly Agree + Agree Responses) - Staff**

	Total	Gender Identity			Race/Ethnicity	
		Woman	Man	Transgender/Non-Binary	White	Non-White
I am treated fairly and equitably on campus in general	71.0% (802)	71.9% (575)	70.4% (214)	50.0% (6)	74.8% (492)	65.8% (291)

**Section B - Table 17.2: Levels of Agreement with Statements About Campus Aspects (% Strongly Agree + Agree Responses) - Detailed Race/Ethnicity - Staff**

	Total	African American/Black	AANAPISI	Hispanic/Latino/a	Another Race	White
I am treated fairly and equitably on campus in general	71.0% (802)	62.0% (88)	71.9% (82)	71.7% (66)	60.9% (70)	74.8% (492)

**Section B - Table 17.3: Levels of Agreement with Statements About Campus Aspects (% Strongly Agree + Agree Responses) - Faculty**

	Total	Gender Identity			Race/Ethnicity	
		Woman	Man	Transgender/Non-Binary	White	Non-White
I am treated fairly and equitably on campus in general	70.4% (879)	69.1% (441)	73.1% (408)	83.3% (10)	75.2% (558)	63.9% (288)

**Section B - Table 17.4: Levels of Agreement with Statements About Campus Aspects (% Strongly Agree + Agree Responses) - Detailed Race/Ethnicity - Faculty**

	Total	African American/Black	AANAPISI	Hispanic/Latino/a	Another Race	White
I am treated fairly and equitably on campus in general	70.4% (879)	56.0% (42)	66.0% (136)	63.2% (36)	63.5% (101)	75.2% (558)

## Section B — Part VI: DEI Perceptions & Experiences

After responding to questions thinking about the campus as a whole, employees were instructed to respond to overall satisfaction with the climate/environment at their campus based on their work experiences over the past 12 months.

The final set of survey questions asked survey participants to rate conditions in their time as an employee. If they taught/worked in two departments/units, they were requested to “choose one to rate for this survey.”

The tables in Part VI are specific to population B (employees) only.

**Section B - Table 20.1: Levels of Agreement with Statements About Work Aspects (% Strongly Agree + Agree Responses) - Faculty**

<i>I am valued in my college/unit for my...</i>	Total	Gender Identity			Race/Ethnicity	
		Woman	Man	Transgender/Non-Binary	White	Non-White
Teaching	73.0% (896)	70.3% (441)	76.5% (421)	50.0% (6)	76.4% (561)	67.4% (298)
Research, scholarship, and/or creativity	63.9% (787)	62.3% (392)	65.8% (363)	58.3% (7)	63.1% (465)	64.3% (285)
Service contributions	55.9% (687)	54.7% (343)	57.6% (318)	—	60.0% (440)	48.5% (216)
Mentoring of students	62.5% (769)	58.3% (367)	67.2% (371)	66.7% (8)	66.6% (490)	55.4% (246)
Mentoring of faculty	28.2% (346)	22.7% (142)	34.8% (191)	—	32.7% (239)	19.4% (86)
Clinical practice	7.1% (87)	7.4% (46)	7.2% (39)	—	6.6% (48)	8.4% (37)
Community-engaged scholarship	28.9% (354)	29.4% (183)	28.9% (159)	—	29.2% (213)	28.5% (126)
DEI Work	26.1% (318)	28.0% (174)	23.2% (127)	—	25.4% (185)	28.3% (125)

**Section B - Table 20.2: Levels of Agreement with Statements About Work Aspects (% Strongly Agree + Agree Responses) - Faculty - Detailed Race/Ethnicity**

<i>I am valued in my college/unit for my...</i>	Total	African American/Black	AANAPISI	Hispanic/Latino/a	Another Race	White
Teaching	73.0% (896)	67.1% (49)	65.7% (132)	68.4% (39)	71.0% (110)	76.4% (561)
Research, scholarship, and/or creativity	63.9% (787)	51.4% (37)	71.3% (144)	66.7% (38)	63.5% (99)	63.1% (465)
Service contributions	55.9% (687)	51.4% (37)	45.6% (93)	54.4% (31)	52.6% (82)	60.0% (440)
Mentoring of students	62.5% (769)	51.4% (37)	61.6% (125)	52.6% (30)	54.5% (85)	66.6% (490)
Mentoring of faculty	28.2% (346)	16.4% (12)	19.3% (39)	22.8% (13)	25.8% (40)	32.7% (239)
Clinical practice	7.1% (87)	11.1% (8)	8.9% (18)	—	5.2% (8)	6.6% (48)
Community-engaged scholarship	28.9% (354)	30.6% (22)	28.7% (58)	31.6% (18)	25.8% (40)	29.2% (213)
DEI Work	26.1% (318)	33.3% (24)	26.1% (53)	24.6% (14)	26.8% (41)	25.4% (185)

**Section B - Table 21.1: Levels of Agreement with Statements About College/Department Aspects (% Strongly Agree + Agree Responses) - Staff**

	Total	Gender Identity			Race/Ethnicity	
		Woman	Man	Transgender/Non-Binary	White	Non-White
My ideas are seriously considered in my college/department.	62.5% (696)	61.4% (486)	66.2% (198)	54.5% (6)	64.6% (422)	59.6% (258)
I have a voice in the decision-making that affects my work in my college/department.	56.0% (623)	54.3% (429)	61.9% (185)	—	57.9% (378)	53.4% (230)
The workload is fairly and equitably distributed in my college/department.	41.5% (459)	39.6% (311)	46.8% (139)	—	40.5% (263)	42.7% (183)
There are fair and equitable processes for determining compensation in my college/department.	27.1% (299)	27.2% (213)	28.0% (83)	—	27.0% (175)	27.5% (117)
Support is provided fairly and equitably in my college/department.	53.7% (597)	52.8% (417)	57.4% (171)	—	53.6% (349)	54.4% (235)
Rewards for work performance are fairly and equitably distributed in my college/department.	29.8% (331)	28.6% (225)	33.7% (101)	—	30.0% (195)	29.7% (128)

**Section B - Table 21.2: Levels of Agreement with Statements About College/Department Aspects (% Strongly Agree + Agree Responses) - Staff - Detailed Race/Ethnicity**

	Total	African	AANAPISI	Hispanic/Latino/a	Another	White
		American/Black			Race	
My ideas are seriously considered in my college/department.	62.5% (696)	61.0% (86)	66.4% (73)	56.7% (51)	52.2% (59)	64.6% (422)
I have a voice in the decision-making that affects my work in my college/department.	56.0% (623)	55.7% (78)	57.3% (63)	50.0% (45)	47.3% (53)	57.9% (378)
The workload is fairly and equitably distributed in my college/department.	41.5% (459)	37.1% (52)	54.1% (59)	42.2% (38)	37.3% (41)	40.5% (263)
There are fair and equitable processes for determining compensation in my college/department.	27.1% (299)	23.9% (33)	36.7% (40)	23.9% (21)	23.2% (26)	27.0% (175)
Support is provided fairly and equitably in my college/department.	53.7% (597)	53.6% (75)	62.7% (69)	57.8% (52)	41.6% (47)	53.6% (349)
Rewards for work performance are fairly and equitably distributed in my college/department.	29.8% (331)	25.0% (35)	39.1% (43)	33.3% (30)	21.4% (24)	30.0% (195)



**Section B - Table 22.1: Levels of Agreement with Statements About College/Department Aspects (% Strongly Agree + Agree Responses) - Faculty**

	Total	Gender Identity			Race/Ethnicity	
		Woman	Man	Transgender/Non-Binary	White	Non-White
I have a voice in the decision-making that affects the direction of my college/department.	<b>45.9%</b> (561)	<b>42.7%</b> (265)	<b>50.8%</b> (280)	—	<b>49.1%</b> (360)	<b>40.4%</b> (177)
The teaching workload is fairly and equitably distributed in my college/department.	<b>44.8%</b> (544)	<b>42.7%</b> (265)	<b>48.7%</b> (266)	—	<b>42.3%</b> (309)	<b>49.0%</b> (213)
There are fair and equitable expectations regarding research in my college/department.	<b>50.7%</b> (612)	<b>47.6%</b> (292)	<b>55.1%</b> (301)	—	<b>53.7%</b> (388)	<b>46.5%</b> (202)
There are fair and equitable expectations regarding service in my college/department.	<b>45.6%</b> (555)	<b>41.1%</b> (255)	<b>51.7%</b> (283)	—	<b>46.8%</b> (340)	<b>44.9%</b> (197)
There are fair and equitable processes for determining compensation in my college/department.	<b>35.6%</b> (432)	<b>33.1%</b> (205)	<b>39.7%</b> (217)	—	<b>36.5%</b> (266)	<b>34.9%</b> (152)
Support is provided fairly and equitably in my college/department.	<b>52.1%</b> (629)	<b>48.9%</b> (302)	<b>57.6%</b> (312)	—	<b>53.8%</b> (389)	<b>49.1%</b> (214)
Rewards for work performance are fairly and equitably distributed in my college/department.	<b>37.9%</b> (461)	<b>35.1%</b> (217)	<b>42.9%</b> (234)	—	<b>39.3%</b> (286)	<b>36.5%</b> (159)
DEI work is valued in my college/department.	<b>62.2%</b> (758)	<b>63.9%</b> (397)	<b>61.2%</b> (335)	<b>58.3%</b> (7)	<b>67.1%</b> (490)	<b>54.7%</b> (240)

**Section B - Table 22.2: Levels of Agreement with Statements About College/Department Aspects (% Strongly Agree + Agree Responses) - Faculty - Detailed Race/Ethnicity**

	Total	African	AANAPISI	Hispanic/Latino/a	Another	White
		American/Black			Race	
I have a voice in the decision-making that affects the direction of my college/department.	<b>45.9%</b> (561)	<b>46.4%</b> (32)	<b>39.8%</b> (80)	<b>41.1%</b> (23)	<b>40.4%</b> (63)	<b>49.1%</b> (360)
The teaching workload is fairly and equitably distributed in my college/department.	<b>44.8%</b> (544)	<b>44.9%</b> (31)	<b>55.3%</b> (110)	<b>36.4%</b> (20)	<b>45.5%</b> (71)	<b>42.3%</b> (309)
There are fair and equitable expectations regarding research in my college/department.	<b>50.7%</b> (612)	<b>37.7%</b> (26)	<b>51.3%</b> (102)	<b>57.1%</b> (32)	<b>40.3%</b> (62)	<b>53.7%</b> (388)
There are fair and equitable expectations regarding service in my college/department.	<b>45.6%</b> (555)	<b>38.6%</b> (27)	<b>50.2%</b> (101)	<b>48.2%</b> (27)	<b>37.2%</b> (58)	<b>46.8%</b> (340)
There are fair and equitable processes for determining compensation in my college/department.	<b>35.6%</b> (432)	<b>31.9%</b> (22)	<b>40.5%</b> (81)	<b>28.6%</b> (16)	<b>29.7%</b> (46)	<b>36.5%</b> (266)
Support is provided fairly and equitably in my college/department.	<b>52.1%</b> (629)	<b>43.5%</b> (30)	<b>54.0%</b> (108)	<b>52.7%</b> (29)	<b>45.2%</b> (70)	<b>53.8%</b> (389)
Rewards for work performance are fairly and equitably distributed in my college/department.	<b>37.9%</b> (461)	<b>30.9%</b> (21)	<b>41.8%</b> (84)	<b>28.6%</b> (16)	<b>33.5%</b> (52)	<b>39.3%</b> (286)
DEI work is valued in my college/department.	<b>62.2%</b> (758)	<b>51.4%</b> (36)	<b>52.2%</b> (105)	<b>55.4%</b> (31)	<b>60.4%</b> (93)	<b>67.1%</b> (490)

Employees were asked if they have personally felt or experienced some form of discrimination in their college/department during the past 12 months.

**Section B - Table 23.1: Frequency of Experience of One or More Discriminatory Events in Your College/Department (% Responses) - Staff**

	Total	Gender Identity			Race/Ethnicity	
		Woman	Man	Transgender/Non-Binary	White	Non-White
Ability or disability status	<b>4.1%</b> (45)	<b>3.8%</b> (30)	<b>3.0%</b> (9)	—	<b>4.0%</b> (26)	<b>4.0%</b> (17)
Racial or ethnic identity	<b>9.9%</b> (110)	<b>9.2%</b> (72)	<b>11.7%</b> (35)	—	<b>4.3%</b> (28)	<b>18.7%</b> (80)
Sex	<b>8.2%</b> (91)	<b>9.7%</b> (76)	<b>3.7%</b> (11)	—	<b>9.4%</b> (61)	<b>6.6%</b> (28)
Sexual orientation	<b>1.6%</b> (18)	<b>0.6%</b> (5)	<b>3.0%</b> (9)	—	<b>2.1%</b> (14)	—
Gender identity or gender expression	<b>2.3%</b> (26)	<b>2.0%</b> (16)	<b>2.0%</b> (6)	—	<b>2.3%</b> (15)	<b>2.6%</b> (11)
Veteran status	—	—	—	—	—	—
Relationship status	<b>3.0%</b> (33)	<b>2.7%</b> (21)	<b>3.0%</b> (9)	—	<b>2.9%</b> (19)	<b>3.1%</b> (13)
National origin	<b>3.8%</b> (42)	<b>3.6%</b> (28)	<b>3.7%</b> (11)	—	<b>1.8%</b> (12)	<b>6.8%</b> (29)
Age	<b>13.5%</b> (149)	<b>13.5%</b> (105)	<b>12.1%</b> (36)	—	<b>12.9%</b> (84)	<b>13.9%</b> (59)
Religion	<b>3.0%</b> (33)	<b>3.3%</b> (26)	—	—	<b>3.1%</b> (20)	<b>2.6%</b> (11)
Height or weight	<b>5.6%</b> (62)	<b>5.6%</b> (44)	<b>4.7%</b> (14)	—	<b>4.9%</b> (32)	<b>6.8%</b> (29)
Political orientation	<b>5.2%</b> (57)	<b>4.6%</b> (36)	<b>6.0%</b> (18)	—	<b>5.4%</b> (35)	<b>4.2%</b> (18)
Social class	<b>5.0%</b> (55)	<b>5.1%</b> (40)	<b>3.3%</b> (10)	—	<b>4.1%</b> (27)	<b>6.3%</b> (27)
Mental health status	<b>4.9%</b> (54)	<b>4.6%</b> (36)	<b>4.0%</b> (12)	—	<b>4.9%</b> (32)	<b>4.2%</b> (18)

**Section B - Table 23.2: Frequency of Experience of One or More Discriminatory Events in Your College/Department (% Responses) - Staff - Detailed Race/Ethnicity**

	<b>Total</b>	<b>African American/Black</b>	<b>AANAPISI</b>	<b>Hispanic/Latino/a</b>	<b>Another Race</b>	<b>White</b>
Ability or disability status	<b>4.1%</b> (45)	<b>5.8%</b> (8)	—	—	<b>5.5%</b> (6)	<b>4.0%</b> (26)
Racial or ethnic identity	<b>9.9%</b> (110)	<b>26.6%</b> (37)	<b>10.1%</b> (11)	<b>18.7%</b> (17)	<b>14.7%</b> (16)	<b>4.3%</b> (28)
Sex	<b>8.2%</b> (91)	<b>7.2%</b> (10)	<b>4.6%</b> (5)	<b>7.7%</b> (7)	<b>7.3%</b> (8)	<b>9.4%</b> (61)
Sexual orientation	<b>1.6%</b> (18)	—	—	—	—	<b>2.1%</b> (14)
Gender identity or gender expression	<b>2.3%</b> (26)	—	—	—	—	<b>2.3%</b> (15)
Veteran status	—	—	—	—	—	—
Relationship status	<b>3.0%</b> (33)	—	—	—	<b>6.5%</b> (7)	<b>2.9%</b> (19)
National origin	<b>3.8%</b> (42)	<b>5.1%</b> (7)	<b>6.4%</b> (7)	<b>8.9%</b> (8)	<b>6.4%</b> (7)	<b>1.8%</b> (12)
Age	<b>13.5%</b> (149)	<b>12.3%</b> (17)	<b>7.3%</b> (8)	<b>11.1%</b> (10)	<b>26.9%</b> (29)	<b>12.9%</b> (84)
Religion	<b>3.0%</b> (33)	—	—	—	<b>8.3%</b> (9)	<b>3.1%</b> (20)
Height or weight	<b>5.6%</b> (62)	<b>3.6%</b> (5)	<b>4.6%</b> (5)	<b>12.1%</b> (11)	<b>7.3%</b> (8)	<b>4.9%</b> (32)
Political orientation	<b>5.2%</b> (57)	—	—	<b>8.9%</b> (8)	<b>8.2%</b> (9)	<b>5.4%</b> (35)
Social class	<b>5.0%</b> (55)	<b>6.5%</b> (9)	—	<b>5.5%</b> (5)	<b>10.9%</b> (12)	<b>4.1%</b> (27)
Mental health status	<b>4.9%</b> (54)	<b>4.3%</b> (6)	—	—	<b>6.4%</b> (7)	<b>4.9%</b> (32)

**Section B - Table 23.3: Frequency of Experience of One or More Discriminatory Events in Your College/Department (% Responses) - Faculty**

	Total	Gender Identity			Race/Ethnicity	
		Woman	Man	Transgender/Non-Binary	White	Non-White
Ability or disability status	5.2% (63)	5.5% (34)	3.8% (21)	—	4.4% (32)	6.2% (27)
Racial or ethnic identity	10.7% (130)	13.0% (80)	8.0% (44)	—	2.3% (17)	24.2% (105)
Sex	12.9% (157)	21.4% (132)	2.5% (14)	—	11.9% (87)	14.1% (61)
Sexual orientation	2.8% (34)	1.3% (8)	3.1% (17)	—	2.6% (19)	2.8% (12)
Gender identity or gender expression	5.1% (62)	6.2% (38)	2.2% (12)	—	4.4% (32)	5.8% (25)
Veteran status	—	—	—	—	—	—
Relationship status	3.5% (42)	3.9% (24)	1.8% (10)	—	3.8% (28)	2.8% (12)
National origin	5.2% (63)	5.4% (33)	5.1% (28)	—	1.8% (13)	10.4% (45)
Age	12.6% (152)	16.2% (99)	8.6% (47)	—	13.6% (99)	10.4% (45)
Religion	3.1% (37)	3.1% (19)	2.2% (12)	—	1.9% (14)	4.2% (18)
Height or weight	3.8% (46)	4.4% (27)	2.9% (16)	—	3.6% (26)	4.2% (18)
Political orientation	5.1% (62)	4.4% (27)	5.6% (31)	—	4.8% (35)	4.9% (21)
Social class	5.7% (69)	6.0% (37)	4.7% (26)	—	4.3% (31)	7.4% (32)
Mental health status	4.7% (57)	5.2% (32)	3.5% (19)	—	4.0% (29)	6.3% (27)

**Section B - Table 23.4: Frequency of Experience of One or More Discriminatory Events in Your College/Department (% Responses) - Faculty - Detailed Race/Ethnicity**

	<b>Total</b>	<b>African American/Black</b>	<b>AANAPISI</b>	<b>Hispanic/Latino/a</b>	<b>Another Race</b>	<b>White</b>
Ability or disability status	<b>5.2%</b> (63)	<b>8.6%</b> (6)	<b>4.5%</b> (9)	<b>12.7%</b> (7)	<b>5.9%</b> (9)	<b>4.4%</b> (32)
Racial or ethnic identity	<b>10.7%</b> (130)	<b>41.4%</b> (29)	<b>16.7%</b> (33)	<b>26.3%</b> (15)	<b>22.2%</b> (34)	<b>2.3%</b> (17)
Sex	<b>12.9%</b> (157)	<b>15.7%</b> (11)	<b>12.1%</b> (24)	<b>8.9%</b> (5)	<b>18.4%</b> (28)	<b>11.9%</b> (87)
Sexual orientation	<b>2.8%</b> (34)	—	<b>3.5%</b> (7)	—	<b>3.3%</b> (5)	<b>2.6%</b> (19)
Gender identity or gender expression	<b>5.1%</b> (62)	—	<b>5.5%</b> (11)	—	<b>8.5%</b> (13)	<b>4.4%</b> (32)
Veteran status	—	—	—	—	—	—
Relationship status	<b>3.5%</b> (42)	—	<b>3.0%</b> (6)	—	—	<b>3.8%</b> (28)
National origin	<b>5.2%</b> (63)	—	<b>11.1%</b> (22)	<b>10.7%</b> (6)	<b>12.4%</b> (19)	<b>1.8%</b> (13)
Age	<b>12.6%</b> (152)	<b>13.0%</b> (9)	<b>6.5%</b> (13)	<b>12.5%</b> (7)	<b>14.6%</b> (22)	<b>13.6%</b> (99)
Religion	<b>3.1%</b> (37)	—	—	—	<b>11.3%</b> (17)	<b>1.9%</b> (14)
Height or weight	<b>3.8%</b> (46)	—	<b>3.0%</b> (6)	—	<b>5.9%</b> (9)	<b>3.6%</b> (26)
Political orientation	<b>5.1%</b> (62)	—	—	—	<b>10.5%</b> (16)	<b>4.8%</b> (35)
Social class	<b>5.7%</b> (69)	<b>10.0%</b> (7)	<b>5.5%</b> (11)	<b>12.5%</b> (7)	<b>7.9%</b> (12)	<b>4.3%</b> (31)
Mental health status	<b>4.7%</b> (57)	—	<b>6.5%</b> (13)	—	<b>5.3%</b> (8)	<b>4.0%</b> (29)

**Section B - Table 26.1: Levels of Agreement with Statements About College/Department Aspects (% Strongly Agree + Agree Responses) - Staff**

	Total	Gender Identity			Race/Ethnicity	
		Woman	Man	Transgender/Non-Binary	White	Non-White
I feel valued as an individual in my college/department	70.1% (789)	71.8% (573)	67.1% (202)	50.0% (6)	72.1% (472)	68.6% (302)
I feel I belong in my college/department	70.8% (797)	73.1% (583)	65.8% (198)	50.0% (6)	73.1% (478)	68.9% (303)
My college/department has a strong commitment to diversity, equity, and inclusion	74.9% (843)	76.9% (613)	71.8% (216)	50.0% (6)	80.1% (524)	67.5% (297)
I have considered leaving my college/department because I felt isolated or unwelcomed	18.8% (212)	17.8% (142)	20.9% (63)	—	17.4% (114)	20.9% (92)
I am treated with respect in my college/department	76.6% (853)	77.5% (612)	74.8% (223)	72.7% (8)	78.0% (506)	75.9% (330)
I feel others don't value my opinions in my college/department	20.1% (223)	18.4% (145)	22.9% (68)	—	18.8% (122)	20.9% (91)
My college/department is a place where I am able to perform up to my full potential	58.5% (649)	58.9% (463)	59.1% (176)	45.5% (5)	59.4% (384)	58.1% (252)
I have opportunities in my college/department for professional success that are similar to those of my peers	53.7% (596)	51.9% (408)	58.6% (174)	54.5% (6)	55.7% (360)	51.0% (222)

**Section B - Table 26.2: Levels of Agreement with Statements About College/Department Aspects (% Strongly Agree + Agree Responses) - Staff - Detailed Race/Ethnicity**

	Total	African American/Black	AANAPISI	Hispanic/Latino/a	Another Race	White
I feel valued as an individual in my college/department	70.1% (789)	66.2% (94)	73.5% (83)	69.2% (63)	62.1% (72)	72.1% (472)
I feel I belong in my college/department	70.8% (797)	67.6% (96)	75.2% (85)	70.3% (64)	59.5% (69)	73.1% (478)
My college/department has a strong commitment to diversity, equity, and inclusion	74.9% (843)	58.5% (83)	76.1% (86)	70.3% (64)	68.1% (79)	80.1% (524)
I have considered leaving my college/department because I felt isolated or unwelcomed	18.8% (212)	23.2% (33)	11.5% (13)	20.9% (19)	26.7% (31)	17.4% (114)
I am treated with respect in my college/department	76.6% (853)	77.1% (108)	78.6% (88)	73.6% (67)	69.3% (79)	78.0% (506)
I feel others don't value my opinions in my college/department	20.1% (223)	22.9% (32)	17.0% (19)	22.0% (20)	24.6% (28)	18.8% (122)
My college/department is a place where I am able to perform up to my full potential	58.5% (649)	56.4% (79)	64.0% (71)	59.3% (54)	48.7% (55)	59.4% (384)
I have opportunities in my college/department for professional success that are similar to those of my peers	53.7% (596)	50.0% (70)	57.1% (64)	48.4% (44)	48.2% (54)	55.7% (360)

**Section B - Table 26.3: Levels of Agreement with Statements About College/Department Aspects (% Strongly Agree + Agree Responses) - Faculty**

	Total	Gender Identity			Race/Ethnicity	
		Woman	Man	Transgender/Non-Binary	White	Non-White
I feel valued as an individual in my college/department	70.5% (872)	68.8% (437)	73.1% (403)	66.7% (8)	73.3% (540)	66.5% (298)
I feel I belong in my college/department	70.7% (875)	68.0% (431)	75.5% (417)	50.0% (6)	74.2% (547)	66.0% (295)
My college/department has a strong commitment to diversity, equity, and inclusion	71.9% (887)	70.5% (446)	74.4% (410)	50.0% (6)	76.1% (561)	64.5% (287)
I have considered leaving my college/department because I felt isolated or unwelcomed	19.9% (246)	23.0% (146)	15.2% (84)	—	18.0% (133)	23.9% (107)
I am treated with respect in my college/department	75.7% (926)	72.5% (454)	79.3% (434)	100.0% (12)	78.8% (576)	71.0% (314)
I feel others don't value my opinions in my college/department	18.0% (220)	17.9% (112)	17.7% (97)	—	17.5% (128)	18.4% (81)
My college/department is a place where I am able to perform up to my full potential	59.8% (730)	58.6% (366)	62.2% (339)	41.7% (5)	62.0% (452)	57.2% (253)
I have opportunities in my college/department for professional success that are similar to those of my peers	61.9% (756)	60.1% (375)	64.9% (355)	58.3% (7)	66.3% (484)	56.2% (248)

**Section B - Table 26.4: Levels of Agreement with Statements About College/Department Aspects (% Strongly Agree + Agree Responses) - Faculty - Detailed Race/Ethnicity**

	Total	African American/Black	AANAPISI	Hispanic/Latino/a	Another Race	White
I feel valued as an individual in my college/department	70.5% (872)	67.6% (50)	67.8% (139)	63.2% (36)	65.4% (102)	73.3% (540)
I feel I belong in my college/department	70.7% (875)	63.5% (47)	68.3% (140)	68.4% (39)	62.2% (97)	74.2% (547)
My college/department has a strong commitment to diversity, equity, and inclusion	71.9% (887)	56.8% (42)	67.8% (137)	64.9% (37)	67.9% (106)	76.1% (561)
I have considered leaving my college/department because I felt isolated or unwelcomed	19.9% (246)	29.7% (22)	17.6% (36)	24.6% (14)	26.5% (41)	18.0% (133)
I am treated with respect in my college/department	75.7% (926)	68.9% (51)	71.9% (143)	71.9% (41)	71.0% (110)	78.8% (576)
I feel others don't value my opinions in my college/department	18.0% (220)	20.5% (15)	16.1% (32)	15.8% (9)	21.9% (34)	17.5% (128)
My college/department is a place where I am able to perform up to my full potential	59.8% (730)	52.7% (39)	62.8% (125)	54.4% (31)	50.6% (78)	62.0% (452)
I have opportunities in my college/department for professional success that are similar to those of my peers	61.9% (756)	51.4% (38)	63.1% (125)	47.4% (27)	51.0% (79)	66.3% (484)

## Adverse Affects of COVID-19

A series of questions were designed to understand how much the community has been adversely affected by COVID-19 in a variety of ways over the past three years. Participants were asked to indicate if each of these areas were affected “Not at all”, “Somewhat”, or “A great deal”. The following tables break down a variety of COVID-19 impacts.

**Section B - Table 27.1: Adverse COVID-19 Effects - Staff**

	Total	Gender Identity			Race/Ethnicity	
		Woman	Man	Transgender/Non-Binary	White	Non-White
<b>My own physical health</b>						
Not at all affected	<b>41.4%</b> (463)	<b>41.8%</b> (332)	<b>41.7%</b> (125)	—	<b>44.1%</b> (289)	<b>38.1%</b> (165)
Somewhat affected	<b>47.6%</b> (532)	<b>46.6%</b> (370)	<b>49.0%</b> (147)	<b>72.7%</b> (8)	<b>45.4%</b> (298)	<b>50.3%</b> (218)
Affected a great deal	<b>11.0%</b> (123)	<b>11.6%</b> (92)	<b>9.3%</b> (28)	—	<b>10.5%</b> (69)	<b>11.5%</b> (50)
<b>My own mental health</b>						
Not at all affected	<b>30.1%</b> (336)	<b>27.5%</b> (218)	<b>38.0%</b> (114)	—	<b>28.4%</b> (186)	<b>33.7%</b> (146)
Somewhat affected	<b>47.5%</b> (530)	<b>50.5%</b> (400)	<b>40.7%</b> (122)	—	<b>49.8%</b> (326)	<b>43.0%</b> (186)
Affected a great deal	<b>22.4%</b> (250)	<b>22.0%</b> (174)	<b>21.3%</b> (64)	<b>72.7%</b> (8)	<b>21.7%</b> (142)	<b>23.3%</b> (101)
<b>The health of a loved one</b>						
Not at all affected	<b>37.5%</b> (418)	<b>38.0%</b> (301)	<b>36.7%</b> (110)	—	<b>39.8%</b> (260)	<b>33.7%</b> (146)
Somewhat affected	<b>40.3%</b> (450)	<b>38.0%</b> (301)	<b>45.7%</b> (137)	<b>45.5%</b> (5)	<b>42.5%</b> (278)	<b>38.1%</b> (165)
Affected a great deal	<b>22.2%</b> (248)	<b>24.0%</b> (190)	<b>17.7%</b> (53)	—	<b>17.7%</b> (116)	<b>28.2%</b> (122)
<b>My ability to maintain social relationships</b>						
Not at all affected	<b>43.2%</b> (483)	<b>44.5%</b> (353)	<b>42.5%</b> (127)	—	<b>43.2%</b> (283)	<b>43.0%</b> (186)
Somewhat affected	<b>41.7%</b> (466)	<b>41.9%</b> (333)	<b>40.8%</b> (122)	—	<b>43.2%</b> (283)	<b>39.7%</b> (172)
Affected a great deal	<b>15.0%</b> (168)	<b>13.6%</b> (108)	<b>16.7%</b> (50)	<b>63.6%</b> (7)	<b>13.6%</b> (89)	<b>17.3%</b> (75)
<b>My financial situation</b>						
Not at all affected	<b>49.9%</b> (557)	<b>49.2%</b> (390)	<b>53.7%</b> (161)	—	<b>53.7%</b> (352)	<b>43.0%</b> (186)
Somewhat affected	<b>33.9%</b> (379)	<b>34.4%</b> (273)	<b>30.7%</b> (92)	<b>63.6%</b> (7)	<b>32.4%</b> (212)	<b>37.0%</b> (160)
Affected a great deal	<b>16.2%</b> (181)	<b>16.4%</b> (130)	<b>15.7%</b> (47)	—	<b>13.9%</b> (91)	<b>20.1%</b> (87)
<b>My housing situation</b>						
Not at all affected	<b>79.2%</b> (883)	<b>79.2%</b> (627)	<b>80.3%</b> (240)	<b>63.6%</b> (7)	<b>82.6%</b> (541)	<b>72.9%</b> (314)
Somewhat affected	<b>15.0%</b> (167)	<b>15.5%</b> (123)	<b>13.0%</b> (39)	—	<b>13.7%</b> (90)	<b>17.9%</b> (77)
Affected a great deal	<b>5.8%</b> (65)	<b>5.3%</b> (42)	<b>6.7%</b> (20)	—	<b>3.7%</b> (24)	<b>9.3%</b> (40)
<b>My work or academic performance</b>						
Not at all affected	<b>50.0%</b> (559)	<b>51.7%</b> (410)	<b>47.0%</b> (141)	—	<b>50.2%</b> (329)	<b>49.9%</b> (216)
Somewhat affected	<b>38.6%</b> (431)	<b>39.0%</b> (309)	<b>37.3%</b> (112)	—	<b>39.8%</b> (261)	<b>37.4%</b> (162)
Affected a great deal	<b>11.4%</b> (127)	<b>9.3%</b> (74)	<b>15.7%</b> (47)	—	<b>9.9%</b> (65)	<b>12.7%</b> (55)
<b>My experience of discrimination related to one or more of my identities</b>						
Not at all affected	<b>88.5%</b> (983)	<b>87.8%</b> (694)	<b>92.3%</b> (274)	<b>54.5%</b> (6)	<b>93.4%</b> (611)	<b>80.4%</b> (344)
Somewhat affected	<b>8.2%</b> (91)	<b>8.7%</b> (69)	<b>5.7%</b> (17)	—	<b>4.9%</b> (32)	<b>13.8%</b> (59)
Affected a great deal	<b>3.3%</b> (37)	<b>3.4%</b> (27)	<b>2.0%</b> (6)	—	<b>1.7%</b> (11)	<b>5.8%</b> (25)



**Section B - Table 27.2: Adverse COVID-19 Effects - Staff - Detailed Race/Ethnicity**

	Total	African American/Black	AANAPISI	Hispanic/Latino/a	Another Race	White
<b>My own physical health</b>						
Not at all affected	41.4% (463)	42.1% (59)	34.2% (38)	35.2% (32)	38.4% (43)	44.1% (289)
Somewhat affected	47.6% (532)	47.9% (67)	55.9% (62)	48.4% (44)	50.0% (56)	45.4% (298)
Affected a great deal	11.0% (123)	10.0% (14)	9.9% (11)	16.5% (15)	11.6% (13)	10.5% (69)
<b>My own mental health</b>						
Not at all affected	30.1% (336)	30.0% (42)	36.0% (40)	37.4% (34)	29.5% (33)	28.4% (186)
Somewhat affected	47.5% (530)	46.4% (65)	44.1% (49)	34.1% (31)	47.3% (53)	49.8% (326)
Affected a great deal	22.4% (250)	23.6% (33)	19.8% (22)	28.6% (26)	23.2% (26)	21.7% (142)
<b>The health of a loved one</b>						
Not at all affected	37.5% (418)	32.1% (45)	32.4% (36)	40.7% (37)	32.1% (36)	39.8% (260)
Somewhat affected	40.3% (450)	33.6% (47)	47.7% (53)	27.5% (25)	41.1% (46)	42.5% (278)
Affected a great deal	22.2% (248)	34.3% (48)	19.8% (22)	31.9% (29)	26.8% (30)	17.7% (116)
<b>My ability to maintain social relationships</b>						
Not at all affected	43.2% (483)	47.9% (67)	38.7% (43)	42.9% (39)	42.0% (47)	43.2% (283)
Somewhat affected	41.7% (466)	37.1% (52)	45.0% (50)	39.6% (36)	37.5% (42)	43.2% (283)
Affected a great deal	15.0% (168)	15.0% (21)	16.2% (18)	17.6% (16)	20.5% (23)	13.6% (89)
<b>My financial situation</b>						
Not at all affected	49.9% (557)	47.1% (66)	42.3% (47)	46.2% (42)	40.2% (45)	53.7% (352)
Somewhat affected	33.9% (379)	30.7% (43)	42.3% (47)	34.1% (31)	39.3% (44)	32.4% (212)
Affected a great deal	16.2% (181)	22.1% (31)	15.3% (17)	19.8% (18)	20.5% (23)	13.9% (91)
<b>My housing situation</b>						
Not at all affected	79.2% (883)	74.8% (104)	70.0% (77)	80.2% (73)	71.4% (80)	82.6% (541)
Somewhat affected	15.0% (167)	16.5% (23)	22.7% (25)	8.8% (8)	18.8% (21)	13.7% (90)
Affected a great deal	5.8% (65)	8.6% (12)	7.3% (8)	11.0% (10)	9.8% (11)	3.7% (24)
<b>My work or academic performance</b>						
Not at all affected	50.0% (559)	49.3% (69)	55.9% (62)	50.5% (46)	42.9% (48)	50.2% (329)
Somewhat affected	38.6% (431)	37.9% (53)	30.6% (34)	38.5% (35)	41.1% (46)	39.8% (261)
Affected a great deal	11.4% (127)	12.9% (18)	13.5% (15)	11.0% (10)	16.1% (18)	9.9% (65)
<b>My experience of discrimination related to one or more of my identities</b>						
Not at all affected	88.5% (983)	83.6% (117)	74.3% (81)	84.4% (76)	82.7% (91)	93.4% (611)
Somewhat affected	8.2% (91)	9.3% (13)	17.4% (19)	13.3% (12)	13.6% (15)	4.9% (32)
Affected a great deal	3.3% (37)	7.1% (10)	8.3% (9)	—	—	1.7% (11)

**Section B - Table 27.3: Adverse COVID-19 Effects - Faculty**

	Total	Gender Identity			Race/Ethnicity	
		Woman	Man	Transgender/Non-Binary	White	Non-White
<b>My own physical health</b>						
Not at all affected	<b>44.8%</b> (545)	<b>44.7%</b> (276)	<b>45.8%</b> (251)	<b>58.3%</b> (7)	<b>47.0%</b> (343)	<b>40.6%</b> (177)
Somewhat affected	<b>45.1%</b> (548)	<b>43.5%</b> (269)	<b>46.9%</b> (257)	<b>41.7%</b> (5)	<b>44.8%</b> (327)	<b>46.3%</b> (202)
Affected a great deal	<b>10.1%</b> (123)	<b>11.8%</b> (73)	<b>7.3%</b> (40)	—	<b>8.2%</b> (60)	<b>13.1%</b> (57)
<b>My own mental health</b>						
Not at all affected	<b>29.0%</b> (351)	<b>23.7%</b> (146)	<b>35.2%</b> (192)	—	<b>28.4%</b> (207)	<b>28.5%</b> (124)
Somewhat affected	<b>50.4%</b> (611)	<b>52.7%</b> (325)	<b>48.8%</b> (266)	—	<b>53.8%</b> (392)	<b>45.7%</b> (199)
Affected a great deal	<b>20.6%</b> (250)	<b>23.7%</b> (146)	<b>16.0%</b> (87)	—	<b>17.7%</b> (129)	<b>25.7%</b> (112)
<b>The health of a loved one</b>						
Not at all affected	<b>40.9%</b> (497)	<b>41.9%</b> (259)	<b>40.3%</b> (220)	<b>50.0%</b> (6)	<b>42.9%</b> (312)	<b>38.3%</b> (167)
Somewhat affected	<b>40.4%</b> (490)	<b>36.9%</b> (228)	<b>45.2%</b> (247)	—	<b>40.4%</b> (294)	<b>40.4%</b> (176)
Affected a great deal	<b>18.7%</b> (227)	<b>21.2%</b> (131)	<b>14.5%</b> (79)	—	<b>16.8%</b> (122)	<b>21.3%</b> (93)
<b>My ability to maintain social relationships</b>						
Not at all affected	<b>33.1%</b> (402)	<b>32.7%</b> (202)	<b>34.1%</b> (186)	—	<b>32.2%</b> (235)	<b>34.0%</b> (148)
Somewhat affected	<b>46.9%</b> (569)	<b>48.1%</b> (297)	<b>45.2%</b> (247)	<b>58.3%</b> (7)	<b>50.0%</b> (365)	<b>43.0%</b> (187)
Affected a great deal	<b>20.0%</b> (243)	<b>19.3%</b> (119)	<b>20.7%</b> (113)	—	<b>17.8%</b> (130)	<b>23.0%</b> (100)
<b>My financial situation</b>						
Not at all affected	<b>55.5%</b> (673)	<b>55.4%</b> (341)	<b>55.5%</b> (304)	<b>50.0%</b> (6)	<b>61.3%</b> (447)	<b>45.7%</b> (199)
Somewhat affected	<b>31.4%</b> (381)	<b>32.4%</b> (199)	<b>31.0%</b> (170)	—	<b>29.2%</b> (213)	<b>35.6%</b> (155)
Affected a great deal	<b>13.1%</b> (159)	<b>12.2%</b> (75)	<b>13.5%</b> (74)	—	<b>9.5%</b> (69)	<b>18.6%</b> (81)
<b>My housing situation</b>						
Not at all affected	<b>78.7%</b> (952)	<b>79.0%</b> (486)	<b>78.8%</b> (431)	<b>66.7%</b> (8)	<b>84.8%</b> (618)	<b>67.7%</b> (294)
Somewhat affected	<b>14.4%</b> (174)	<b>14.3%</b> (88)	<b>14.3%</b> (78)	—	<b>10.8%</b> (79)	<b>21.0%</b> (91)
Affected a great deal	<b>6.9%</b> (84)	<b>6.7%</b> (41)	<b>6.9%</b> (38)	—	<b>4.4%</b> (32)	<b>11.3%</b> (49)
<b>My work or academic performance</b>						
Not at all affected	<b>30.7%</b> (372)	<b>27.6%</b> (170)	<b>35.5%</b> (194)	—	<b>30.4%</b> (222)	<b>31.6%</b> (137)
Somewhat affected	<b>46.9%</b> (569)	<b>49.2%</b> (303)	<b>44.1%</b> (241)	<b>58.3%</b> (7)	<b>50.3%</b> (367)	<b>41.1%</b> (178)
Affected a great deal	<b>22.4%</b> (272)	<b>23.2%</b> (143)	<b>20.5%</b> (112)	—	<b>19.3%</b> (141)	<b>27.3%</b> (118)
<b>My experience of discrimination related to one or more of my identities</b>						
Not at all affected	<b>86.2%</b> (1042)	<b>83.7%</b> (514)	<b>90.8%</b> (495)	<b>66.7%</b> (8)	<b>92.6%</b> (674)	<b>75.7%</b> (327)
Somewhat affected	<b>9.3%</b> (112)	<b>10.9%</b> (67)	<b>6.4%</b> (35)	—	<b>4.8%</b> (35)	<b>16.7%</b> (72)
Affected a great deal	<b>4.5%</b> (55)	<b>5.4%</b> (33)	<b>2.8%</b> (15)	—	<b>2.6%</b> (19)	<b>7.6%</b> (33)

**Section B - Table 27.4: Adverse COVID-19 Effects - Faculty - Detailed Race/Ethnicity**

	Total	African American/Black	AANAPISI	Hispanic/Latino/a	Another Race	White
<b>My own physical health</b>						
Not at all affected	44.8% (545)	43.7% (31)	42.9% (85)	38.6% (22)	38.6% (59)	47.0% (343)
Somewhat affected	45.1% (548)	40.8% (29)	41.9% (83)	54.4% (31)	51.0% (78)	44.8% (327)
Affected a great deal	10.1% (123)	15.5% (11)	15.2% (30)	—	10.5% (16)	8.2% (60)
<b>My own mental health</b>						
Not at all affected	29.0% (351)	28.2% (20)	29.9% (59)	33.3% (19)	27.5% (42)	28.4% (207)
Somewhat affected	50.4% (611)	43.7% (31)	45.2% (89)	40.4% (23)	49.0% (75)	53.8% (392)
Affected a great deal	20.6% (250)	28.2% (20)	24.9% (49)	26.3% (15)	23.5% (36)	17.7% (129)
<b>The health of a loved one</b>						
Not at all affected	40.9% (497)	29.6% (21)	46.5% (92)	31.6% (18)	33.1% (51)	42.9% (312)
Somewhat affected	40.4% (490)	33.8% (24)	42.4% (84)	36.8% (21)	42.2% (65)	40.4% (294)
Affected a great deal	18.7% (227)	36.6% (26)	11.1% (22)	31.6% (18)	24.7% (38)	16.8% (122)
<b>My ability to maintain social relationships</b>						
Not at all affected	33.1% (402)	43.7% (31)	33.0% (65)	35.1% (20)	30.7% (47)	32.2% (235)
Somewhat affected	46.9% (569)	33.8% (24)	44.2% (87)	45.6% (26)	42.5% (65)	50.0% (365)
Affected a great deal	20.0% (243)	22.5% (16)	22.8% (45)	19.3% (11)	26.8% (41)	17.8% (130)
<b>My financial situation</b>						
Not at all affected	55.5% (673)	47.9% (34)	45.7% (90)	42.1% (24)	47.1% (72)	61.3% (447)
Somewhat affected	31.4% (381)	28.2% (20)	36.0% (71)	43.9% (25)	34.0% (52)	29.2% (213)
Affected a great deal	13.1% (159)	23.9% (17)	18.3% (36)	14.0% (8)	19.0% (29)	9.5% (69)
<b>My housing situation</b>						
Not at all affected	78.7% (952)	73.2% (52)	61.4% (121)	75.4% (43)	74.7% (112)	84.8% (618)
Somewhat affected	14.4% (174)	12.7% (9)	27.4% (54)	10.5% (6)	17.3% (26)	10.8% (79)
Affected a great deal	6.9% (84)	14.1% (10)	11.2% (22)	14.0% (8)	8.0% (12)	4.4% (32)
<b>My work or academic performance</b>						
Not at all affected	30.7% (372)	37.1% (26)	31.5% (62)	28.1% (16)	28.1% (43)	30.4% (222)
Somewhat affected	46.9% (569)	34.3% (24)	43.7% (86)	42.1% (24)	42.5% (65)	50.3% (367)
Affected a great deal	22.4% (272)	28.6% (20)	24.9% (49)	29.8% (17)	29.4% (45)	19.3% (141)
<b>My experience of discrimination related to one or more of my identities</b>						
Not at all affected	86.2% (1042)	73.2% (52)	75.5% (148)	76.8% (43)	78.9% (120)	92.6% (674)
Somewhat affected	9.3% (112)	18.3% (13)	16.3% (32)	17.9% (10)	13.8% (21)	4.8% (35)
Affected a great deal	4.5% (55)	8.5% (6)	8.2% (16)	—	7.2% (11)	2.6% (19)

## Use of Findings & Next Steps

The results of the Rutgers Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion (DEI) Campus Climate Survey underscore the importance of regularly collecting data to promote a shared understanding of the DEI campus climate. The community's perspectives, opinions, and experiences related to these topics will inform current and future decisions about how best to support a diverse, inclusive, and vibrant campus and guide actions within academic and administrative units to improve the DEI climate. The results also provide a baseline understanding that enables us to measure change over time as we work to foster an inclusive campus environment that advances our university goal.

## University Diversity Goal

Consistent with Rutgers' mission as the State University of New Jersey, Rutgers is committed to nurturing, maintaining, and enhancing opportunities for learning and understanding among individuals, communities and disciplines, and to promoting meaningful and inclusive engagement across a wide spectrum of characteristics and experiences.

Rutgers' goal is to prepare tomorrow's leaders for a world that is ever less homogenous and ever more challenged by the task of connecting across differences to build community, solve problems, and ensure prosperity. Rutgers considers diversity to be necessary to foster the cultural competencies, resilience, and openness to dialogue that promote the intellectual growth and intergroup understanding that are essential for success in the classroom, the university community, and society at large.

Overall, Rutgers pursues diversity to enhance the excellence of ideas, innovation, civic debate, learning, and teaching and to build a "beloved community" based on working together to reflect, respect, and embrace the complexities of all of our parts.

## Contact Us

For questions about the Rutgers DEI Campus Climate Survey, please contact University Equity and Inclusion via email at [diversity@rutgers.edu](mailto:diversity@rutgers.edu) or by phone at 848-932-4400. To learn more about university diversity initiatives, please visit [diversity.rutgers.edu](http://diversity.rutgers.edu).